

Lawton Smith

From: Peter Griffiths [pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com]
Sent: 20 February 2015 11:49
To: Lawton Smith; 'Stephen Musk'
Subject: Re: 1909

added to my master copy - also changed H Coulson to Harry Coulson
Pete

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

On 20/02/2015 10:54, Lawton Smith wrote:

I have established the following Christian names, mainly from CricketArchive:

SR Nicholson (Wilts) – Stanley
WE King (Beds) – Wilfred
H Mitchell (Wilts) – Harry
E Gee (Carmarthenshire) – Ernest
J Armstrong (Notts II) – John (from Peter W-T)
WN Bickford-Smith (Cornwall) – William
Sir CEMY Nepean - Charles

Pete, can you please update the Leading Performances in the Introduction.

Thanks

Julian

From: Peter Griffiths [<mailto:pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com>]
Sent: 20 February 2015 09:38
To: Stephen Musk
Cc: lawtonsmith@supanet.com
Subject: Re: 1909

It turns out that the question we asked the database for 100 matches was wrong - the list on page 12 is totally wrong - there were no players who reached 100 matches in 1909 so I've deleted the table.

thank goodness you spotted this.

Pete

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information.

Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

On 18/02/2015 21:08, Stephen Musk wrote:

To: StephenMusk@outlook.com
Subject: 1909

Another proof
Pete

--

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information.

Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

Good evening, Julian.

Here is the last batch. I split the job into 5 parts as I have had a rather busy week with household duties etc., on my return from the beautiful island and devoted afternoons to the book. If you think I have been too fussy, please tell me. I will not be offended. I hope that I have not missed too much through doing it comparatively quickly.

Page 165 line 2 Bedfordshire lost 2, not 3, on first innings.

Page 166 line 2 Berkshire lost one on first innings, not none.

Page 170 In the heading to the Cambridgeshire averages the year should read 1909, not 1908.

Page 174 Cornwall. In the list of professionals, Vibart, not Vibert

Notes line 3 Hawken, not Hawkin (at least I think that is what my note says but I cannot read my own lousy writing)

Page 176 Dorset In the "final season" list at line 15 Barnes, not Banres, and Bosworth-Smith, not Bosworth-Smioth

Page 177 Notes line 3 Would not at 28.80 be preferable to and 28.80(Durham)

Page 179 Glamorgan. In the notes we seem to deal in Christian names where they are available. According

to Andrew Hignell's history, Maxwell was James and Sweet-Escott was Edward.

Page 180 Notes line 3 "in 1908 when he scored 656 to 50.46" should read "in 1908 when he scored 656 at 50.46".

Page 183 In respect of Match 94 I said that Geen took over as w/k. From what is shown on page 183 it looks

as if the player's name was Green not Geen. An amendment to the Monmouthshire batting card in

Match 94 is, therefore, needed as are two amendments to the Cornwall card for catches by Green.

In the notes above the averages, for the sake of consistency, I think we should say that 1909 was

"the last or only season that these 5....."

Green has become Geen in the averages. I have no way of checking this chap's name as he is not

mentioned in 1910 Wisden. Cricketarchive has WP Geen so this seems correct. However I noted a couple of entries in CA for WP Green which probably need merging into Geen's records.

Page 186 Again for consistency I think we should say 3 players who made their (not his) debut in the

heading to the averages.

Page 187 In the notes above the averages insert "XI" after 2nd in line 2.

Page 188 Notes line 2 Edmund' not Edmind.

Page 191 Surrey secretary was Findlay not Findley.

Page 192 In line 1 of the notes "defeating Glamorgan"

Page 194 In the 7th wicket partnership for Bedfordshire in "milestones" we have T A Brown. I have a feeling that

Brown was a pro - he certainly was with Northamptonshire - and, if so, his initials need moving.

The same applies to George Pollitt for the 8th and 9th partnerships. Again, in the bowling,

Brown's initials need moving in 3 places. In the number of appearances below both Brown and

Pollitt need adjustment. I think that David is correct and that these two were both professionals.

Page 195 Cambridgeshire bowling - I think D.M. Hayward was a pro(3 places). Agreed, and also below

Page 196 Norfolk batting - Holland F.C. 5th wicket Relf A.E., 5th wicket (against Hayward 6th Holland and

10th Davis were all pros.

Norfolk bowling Shore C.(4 places) and Tomlin E.

Page 197 These are all pros.

batting Ducat, Thompson & Baker

partnerships Hayes, Ducat, Holland, Davis (twice), Rothery (twice),
Hutchinson (not sure) – he was a pro,
Thompson, Makepeace and Ellis.
bowling Thompson (2), Smith (2), Nice
appearances Baker.

I appreciate that all these minor things will cause a lot of work and leave you to
decide what to do about them. All my previous MC books are in the loft so I have not
checked who was a pro and who was not. I cannot remember if Albert Relf began as
an amateur in MC.

It is a big job typesetting all these figures and I certainly don't envy Peter.

If you have any queries on what I have done, don't hesitate to get in touch and' if it
is urgent, you can get me on 0115 9273937.

Good luck.

David.

Lawton Smith

From: Peter Griffiths [pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com]
Sent: 19 January 2015 15:09
To: Lawton Smith
Subject: Re: 1909 Changes

thanks for all of these - I've marked up everything on my master copy - do you want to see a new version before I get any marks from Colin?

match 49 - no indication on whether the missing runs we all attributed to Gee - I think that it's likely though.

Barmby - this was caused by us saying that his last match was in 1900 in the 1900 book

grounds - all pages are generated from the CricketArchive database where, for instance, we don't believe in Wearmouth which did not exist at this time and has been used incorrectly by Tony Webb as a shorthand. When I get Colin's marks, I'll edit to what is required.

best wishes
Pete

o-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

On 17/01/2015 16:16, Lawton Smith wrote:

Hi Pete,

Colin and I have started to check the latest information that you sent through. He will post his comments back when he is finished but in the meantime here are a few other amendments:

Orton – I incorrectly advised you to show Orton as an amateur. Colin reminded me of Douglas's comments:

Orton is a young man who certainly started out with initials but had them taken away in 1909. He was groundsman class, his family having a long association with the Amersham club. I know he had initials in front of his name 1908, but I honestly believe that they should go behind in 1909, as shown in the local papers and Cricket!

So could you please change him to show as Orton RW in 1909.

BN Boswell-Smith – his only appearance was in match 99. In the averages for Dorset he shows as BNB Smith. CricketArchive seems to have both versions. We feel that he should be BN Boswell-Smith in the 1909 book (his only Minor Counties appearance).

Match 49 – you have added in the missing bowling analyses for the match but the Carmarthenshire figures, although showing a total of 313, are 95 runs short. Should these runs be attributed to Gee? If there is no evidence of this then the total should be adjusted to 218 and a comment added about the discrepancy. The current comment needs removing. Also in the Devon bowling figures there is a 24 run discrepancy. When compared to CricketArchive this is explained by Scott conceding 24 runs not 21 and the addition of Wilson 9-1-21-0.

Lawton Smith

From: Peter Griffiths [pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com]
Sent: 09 January 2015 11:38
To: Lawton Smith
Subject: Re: 1909 Professionals

thanks for these - master copy updated
I'll be working on the professionals this afternoon
best wishes
Pete

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

On 08/01/2015 20:36, Lawton Smith wrote:

Hi Pete,

Please find attached a list of professionals by county for 1909. In the course of compiling this I spotted the following cases where full initials were not shown:

Match 45 – Higgins J should be JB

Match 63 – Carr J should be JT

Match 98 – Smith W should be WRR

Also in Match 31 RW Orton has his initials incorrectly after his surname and in match 43 he has initials before and after! He should be shown as RW Orton.

Please let me know if you are missing any other information.

Thanks

Julian

Julian Lawton Smith

Home: 01865 768258

Mobile: 07740 292173

Lawton Smith

From: Peter Griffiths [pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com]
Sent: 05 January 2015 10:10
To: Lawton Smith
Subject: Re: Reading ground update

thanks - added to my master copy
Pete

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

On 02/01/2015 10:19, Lawton Smith wrote:

Hi Pete,

Colin raised a query over the over the address of the ground in Reading used for Berkshire matches. In 1908 we recorded it as

County Ground, Kensington Road, Reading

Whereas in 1909 it is currently shown as

The Amateur Sports Club Ground, Elm Park, Kensington Road, Reading

Roy new has confirmed that the correct address is **County Ground, Kensington Road, Reading**. Could you therefore please update matches 61, 68, 80, and 91.

Many thanks
Julian

Julian Lawton Smith
Home: 01865 768258
Mobile: 07740 292173

Match 53 – this relates to an earlier query. The FOW in Cheshire’s innings should show the fall of the 6th wicket as blank (unknown) and the 7th wicket as 264 (confirmed in 3 sources).

Berkshire County Page - FJ Barmby’s batting should show 1 match, 2 innings, 1 not out, 3 runs, highest 3 and average 3.00. This will amend the totals to 170 innings, 18 not outs, and 2341 runs to match the aggregate totals on page 22 of the book.

Staffordshire County Page – the totals at the bottom of the averages still show 1908 totals. Also there should be 1 substitute catch added to the line above.

Season’s Results at a Glance – your version does not match the one I sent you on 1st January, please replace with mine.

100 matches summaries – Colin has noticed that a number of ground descriptions are different to those we have previously settled on. I’m not sure how this happened but we should aim to be consistent. I think that he will note the grounds affected.

Please let me know if you have any queries on these proposed changes.

Thanks
Julian

Julian Lawton Smith

Home: 01865 768258

Mobile: 07740 292173

Lawton Smith

From: Lawton Smith [lawtonsmith@supanet.com]
Sent: 06 December 2014 19:52
To: 'pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com'
Cc: 'Stephen Musk'
Subject: RE: 1909

See comments **in red** below.

From: Peter Griffiths [<mailto:pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com>]
Sent: 03 December 2014 08:41
To: Julian Lawton Smith; Stephen Musk
Subject: Fwd: 1909

please comment on these
Pete

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information.

Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: 1909

Date: Tue, 2 Dec 2014 23:53:27 +0000

From: Philip Bailey <philip_bailey@btconnect.com>

To: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com <pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com>

Noticed a few things in the cards you uploaded. A few typos that the upload sorted on initials which I won't comment on. Where you had a change to a county we haven't done a booklet for I have assumed the new version is correct, with one exception below.

87508

Your card has ES Whittaker. From Tony's Wiltshire list (the current booklet being worked on) S is correct so have changed back. **The 1908 Addendum & Corrigendum confirms that it should be S (Sam) not ES.**

87510

Your card had HM Blackwell (Herbert the 1904 player) where we have H (Henry) playing in 1909 - upload has assumed Henry is correct as we have it and I'm pretty sure Michael Weeks sorted the Blackwells out for me. **Agreed H not HM in 1909.**

87542

Your card has F Christian we have WF from Devon book so combined back. **Agreed should be WF not F.**

Two matches you have SG Bennett of Wiltshire we had as SG Bennet - also played in 1910 and 11, have combined back into one player. For now changed the name to Bennett but then I checked in the Suffolk

yearbook and the latest version I can see of their career records which they print each year does say Bennet so maybe I should have left it as we had it.

I have checked with Colin and it should be Bennett – it's an error in the current yearbook.

Philip

Lawton Smith

From: Lawton Smith [lawtonsmith@supanet.com]
Sent: 06 December 2014 19:57
To: 'pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com'
Cc: 'Stephen Musk'
Subject: RE: 1909 - match 29 Glam v Carm

Colin and I think that we should just remove the reference to Mills after the run out.

From: Peter Griffiths [<mailto:pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com>]
Sent: 02 December 2014 13:21
To: Julian Lawton Smith; Stephen Musk
Subject: 1909 - match 29 Glam v Carm

In the Carmartehn first innings we give John run out by Mills - is this right?
Was Mills a sub?
Pete

--

0-

Peter Griffiths

Email: pgriffiths@cricketarchive.com

Web: <http://cricketarchive.com/>

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information.

Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance.

