

HERTFORDSHIRE v. SUFFOLK.

Played at Watford on June 28 and 29 and won by Suffolk by 6 runs. Score and analysis:—

SUFFOLK.		HERTFORDSHIRE.	
C. L. Alexander, c Titchmarsh, b March ...	6	c Clarke, b Marsh ...	4
S. Hill-Wood, c Coleman, b White ...	1	not out ...	11
C. W. Alexander, b White ...	21	b White ...	9
Quaife (W.), b White ...	2	c Doll, b Marsh ...	2
Capt. P. Wilson, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh ...	2	c Marsh, b White ...	2
K. Raynor, c Harford, b White ...	24	b White ...	33
R. L. L. Braddell, b White ...	23	c Cowley, b Marsh ...	31
Capt. Murray, b White ...	2	b White ...	7
Penfold, c Marsh, b White ...	1	b White ...	0
P. W. Cobbold, c Marsh, b White ...	5	c Cowley, b Coleman ...	0
P. P. Connell, not out ...	0	man ...	0
Byes, &c. ...	1	c Butcher, b White ...	0
		Byes, &c. ...	4
Total ...	SS	Total ...	103

HERTFORDSHIRE.		SUFFOLK.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
Coleman, b Penfold ...	13	b Penfold ...	5
Golding, lbw, b Cobbold ...	3	run out ...	16
Dr. A. E. Clarke, st Connell, b Cobbold ...	0	b Braddell ...	9
White, c Quaife, b Cobbold ...	1	b Penfold ...	4
E. H. Cuthbertson, st Connell, b Cobbold ...	1	c Wilson, b Cobbold ...	20
C. H. Titchmarsh, c Raynor, b Cobbold ...	4	c Cobbold, b Penfold ...	14
A. Butcher, b Penfold ...	6	c Cornell, b Braddell ...	11
R. B. Cowley, c Quaife, b Penfold ...	0	c Braddell, b Cobbold ...	27
M. H. C. Doll, c & b Cobbold ...	13	b Penfold ...	0
H. M. Harford, c C. W. Alexander, b Penfold ...	6	b Cobbold ...	1
W. H. Marsh, not out ...	23	not out ...	4
Byes, &c. ...	1	Byes, &c. ...	3
Total ...	71	Total ...	114

HERTFORDSHIRE.		SUFFOLK.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.
White ...	21 1 8 40 8	... 22 1 10 41 6	
Marsh ...	13 4 29 2 0	... 18 2 52 3	
Golding ...	8 2 18 0	... 4 0 6 1	

UPPINGHAM v. SHREWSBURY.

Played at Uppingham on June 29 and won by the home side by an innings and 74 runs. Score:—

SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.		UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
J. L. Heselton, c Harper, b Palmer ...	3	b Moore ...	6
D. Boumphrey, c Tomlin, b Palmer ...	7	run out ...	10
E. H. Rogers, c Raven, b Moore ...	2	run out ...	1
R. C. Burton, b Palmer ...	0	c Crothwaite, b Lumgair ...	29
R. V. Bardsley, run out ...	1	b Raven ...	0
J. H. Parry, c Tomlin, b Palmer ...	2	b Raven ...	0
A. R. A. Dickens, c Moore, b Palmer ...	11	b Palmer ...	12
J. Sidebotham, c and b Palmer ...	4	c Raven, b Lumgair ...	1
A. Delap, b Moore ...	0	not out ...	16
J. H. Cooke, not out ...	0	c Tomlin, b Moore ...	17
H. Leake, b Moore ...	1	b Palmer ...	1
Byes, &c. ...	1	Byes, &c. ...	4
Total ...	32	Total ...	97

UPPINGHAM SCHOOL.		SHREWSBURY SCHOOL.	
G. W. Palmer, b Boumphrey ...	12	G. T. R. Moore, c and b Cook ...	2
J. F. Bishop, c Heselton, b Bardsley ...	34	R. R. M. Lumgair, c Boumphrey, b Leake ...	49
R. B. Harper, b Bardsley ...	8	C. G. Tomlin, b Leake ...	0
A. P. Graves, not out ...	60	E. E. Raden, b Delap ...	18
J. D. Crothwaite, b Burton ...	10	Byes, &c. ...	18
M. K. Young, c Leake, b Burton ...	3		
K. B. Moore, b Bardsley ...	7	Total ...	203



Economical
because *Durable.*

'Viyella'

The Ideal Washing Material

FOR

CRICKET SHIRTS, &c.

The "STANDARD" says:

"Viyella is largely employed in making day and night shirts, pyjamas, and athletic costumes for men. Its uses are innumerable. . . . Wears and washes well."

To be obtained in the latest colourings and designs from the leading Outfitters, Hosiery, &c.

or name of nearest, with illustrated booklet, sent on application, by "Viyella," 7 "Viyella" House, Newgate Street, London, E.C.



LINCOLNSHIRE v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.
Played at Spalding on July 14 and 15 and won by Lincolnshire by eight wickets. Score and analysis:—

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.		LINCOLNSHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
Watts, lbw, b Broughton ...	6	c Crooks, b Riley ...	4
Coulson, b Geeson ...	0	lbw, b Cook ...	4
F. W. Bryan, b Riley ...	13	run out ...	7
F. Robertson, c and b Broughton ...	6	b Broughton ...	0
A. S. Ling, b Riley ...	30	b Cook ...	2
B. W. Pigg, c Geeson, b Cook ...	5	b Riley ...	41
E. Laurie, c Allen, b Riley ...	7	b Riley ...	1
F. E. Morgan, not out ...	14	b Broughton ...	0
O. W. Bocock, run out ...	5	not out ...	15
C. Pigg, b Riley ...	2	c Allen, b Riley ...	3
A. C. Bellars, b Riley ...	1	hit wkt, b Riley ...	0
Byes ...	7	Byes, &c. ...	7
Total ...	96	Total ...	84

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.		LINCOLNSHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.
Cook ...	8 3 16 1	... 9 0 29 2	
Geeson ...	20 9 34 1	... 7 30 2	
Broughton ...	15 7 30 2	... 4 1 22 2	
Riley ...	13 8 9 5	... 14 7 26 5	

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.		LINCOLNSHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.
Cook ...	8 3 16 1	... 9 0 29 2	
Geeson ...	20 9 34 1	... 7 30 2	
Broughton ...	15 7 30 2	... 4 1 22 2	
Riley ...	13 8 9 5	... 14 7 26 5	

STAFFORDSHIRE v. CHESHIRE.
Played at Crewe on July 7 and 8 and won by Staffordshire by an innings and 23 runs. Score and analysis:—

CHESHIRE.		STAFFORDSHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
F. C. Fallows, b Nichols ...	0	c Winsor, b Barnes ...	7
R. M. Chadwick, run out ...	17	b Bucknell ...	12
G. B. Price, c Winsor, b Nichols ...	8	c Briggs, b Nichols ...	0
K. R. Morrice, c Nichols, b Barnes ...	32	b Bucknell ...	17
W. E. Jones, b Barnes ...	24	b Bucknell ...	5
H. P. Rigby, c Page, b Barnes ...	14	c Meakin, b Barnes ...	0
Rev. L. Hamilton, b Nicholls ...	4	st Winsor, b Barnes ...	0
A. S. Turner, st Winsor, b Barnes ...	9	b Bucknell ...	0
Smoker, c Hollowood, b Bucknell ...	11	not out ...	0
Chambers, not out ...	10	b Bucknell ...	0
J. L. Jones, b Nichols ...	1	b Bucknell ...	0
B 2, nb 2 ...	4	B 3, lb 1 ...	4
Total ...	134	Total ...	45

STAFFORDSHIRE.		CHESHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.
Barnes ...	28 13 54 4	... 13 7 22 3	
Nichols ...	21 4 4 50 4	... 7 1 17 1	
Lanc ...	3 0 6 0	... 5 5 4 2 6	
Bucknell ...	9 3 11 1	... 0 9 0 0	
Page ...	1 0 9 0	... 0 0 0 0	

STAFFORDSHIRE.		CHESHIRE.	
First innings.	Second innings.	First innings.	Second innings.
O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.	O. M. R. W.
Smoker ...	25 7 64 3	Morrice ...	11 1 36 1
Chambers ...	20 10 35 5	Price ...	6 1 23 0
Turner ...	7 0 35 1		

CRICKET.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

SUFFOLK v. HERTFORDSHIRE.

There was a welcome improvement in the weather on Monday, when Suffolk met Hertfordshire at Watford with a particularly good side. The heavy rains recently had made the ground soft and difficult for rapid run-getting, and it was doubtful whether winning the toss would be to Suffolk's advantage. O. L. Alexander and S. Hill-Wood opened the visitors' innings, being opposed to White and W. H. Marsh. Three runs came off the latter in two overs. White sent down a couple of maidens, and with the first ball of third got Hill-Wood caught in the slips, Coleman effecting a clever catch low down. O. W. Alexander followed in, and cooked up one from a mis-hit just clear of the fielders. Another brilliant catch, this time by Titchmarsh, at short leg, who held the ball in spite of falling, disposed of the elder Alexander for two for 13. His bowlers were making the ball do a lot, and were evidently masters of the situation, for at 20 Quaife was bowled all ends up by White. Captain Wilson made a couple before Titchmarsh dismissed him with a difficult one-handed catch. Raynor and O. W. Alexander made quite a prolonged stand in comparison, both making useful opening shots, without getting the ball to travel far. The former secured the first boundary of the match, sending up 40 without addition. Alexander was bowled by White, and Cuthbertson missed an easy chance of stumping Raynor off Marsh. Braddell got in some hard hits, bringing off Golding for Marsh at 54. The separation came from the other end, White bowling Braddell for a distinctly useful partnership, realising 28. At 75 Murray had his stumps disturbed. Raynor continued to play skilfully and stylishly, losing Penfold at 83, well caught in the country. He left himself at the same total, caught from what looked suspiciously like a bump ball, and the innings closed for 88, White getting 8 wickets for 40.

Hertha opened with Golding and Coleman, and the latter got a boundary off the first ball. At 9 Golding was leg-before to Cobbold, and Dr. Clarke was smartly stumped next ball. Coleman got in a couple of good smacks before Penfold bowled him at 17, at which total White left-out to a smart running catch by Quaife. With Titchmarsh splendidly caught in the long field, half the side were out for 21. Things continued badly for the home team, Cuthbertson leaving at 25, a victim to smart work by Cornell, whilst one later Cowley was caught off a wretched stroke. Doll improved matters considerably by going for the bowling, and took the score to 41 before Penfold bowled Butcher. Doll left at the same total. The last wicket gave trouble, Marsh making some big drives. It was a repetition of the last wicket stand by Norfolk last week, 30 being put on before Harford was caught.

Suffolk started the second innings badly, losing the elder Alexander at 10, Quaife at 11, and Wilson at 19. Braddell and C. W. Alexander made a useful stand, the former hitting with great power, and carrying the score to 51 before he was finely caught one-handed at mid-on. Alexander picked out the wrong ball to slog at, and was bowled at 57, half the side being out. Murray was bowled off the legs at 69, the exact total of Suffolk's first innings at the fall of the sixth wicket. Raynor again played splendidly, and with he and S. Hill-Wood together the first innings total was passed. At 93 Coleman relieved Marsh, and the century went up, and then White bowled Raynor, who had contributed 33 very cleverly. The last three batsmen failed completely, the innings realising a hundred and three.

Requiring 121 to win, Herts had half an hour's batting, and by careful play Cuthbertson and Golding withstood the attacks of Penfold and Cobbold. Braddell and Wilson bowled, but the pair remained together, the score being 27.

SUFFOLK.

C. L. Alexander, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	6	c F. Clark, b Marsh	4
S. Hill-Wood, c Coleman, b White	1	not out	11
C. W. Alexander, b White	21	b White	9
Quaife, b White	2	c Doll, b Marsh	2
Capt. Wilson, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	2	c Marsh, b White	2
K. Raynor, c Harford, b White	24	b White	33
R. L. Braddell, b White	23	c Cowley, b Marsh	31
Capt. Murray, b White	2	b White	7
Penfold, c Marsh, b White	1	b White	0
P. W. Cobbold, c Marsh, b White	5	c Cowley, b Coleman	0
P. P. Cornell, not out	1	c Butcher, b White	0
Extras	1	Extras	4
	88		103

HERTS.

Coleman, b Penfold	15		
Golding, lbw, b Cobbold	3	not out	16
Dr. A. E. Clarke, st Cornell, b Cobbold	0		
White, c Quaife, b Cobbold	1		
E. H. Cuthbertson, st Cornell, b Cobbold	1	not out	8
C. H. Titchmarsh, c Raynor, b Cobbold	4		
A. Butcher, b Penfold	6		
R. B. Cowley, c Quaife, b Penfold	0		
M. H. C. Doll, c and b Cobbold	13		
H. M. Harford, c C. W. Alexander, b Penfold	5		
W. H. Marsh, not out	23		
Extras	1	Extras	3
	71	(no wicket)	27

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK.—1st Innings.

White	21.1	O. M.	R.	W.
Marsh	13	4	29	2
Golding	8	2	18	0

SUFFOLK.—2nd Innings.

White	20.1	10	41	6
Marsh	14	2	53	3
Coleman	4	0	6	1

HERTS.—1st Innings.

P. W. Cobbold	12	5	32	6
Penfold	11.2	4	38	4

CRICKET.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

SUFFOLK v. HERTFORDSHIRE.

GRAND SUFFOLK VICTORY.

It was fortunate that Watford escaped the thunderstorms which were hanging about the district on Tuesday, as the West Herts ground was in a condition which would not stand much more rain. The home team needed 94 to win, with all their wickets intact at last comparatively easy, considering that the bowlers were not likely to derive that assistance from the ground which they did the previous day. The two not-outs (Cuthbertson and Golding) were opposed to Cobbold and Penfold, and Golding, without adding to his score, was thrown out by Wilson, in foolishly attempting a third run, but he only missed by a hair's breadth, and white was missed by Penfold. The score was only 41, before he played on to Penfold. The score was then 41. Four later Cuthbertson slammed one of Cobbold's to leg, and was grandly caught by Wilson. Titchmarsh and Clark carried the score to 66 before the former was caught in the slips off Penfold. At 72 Braddell relieved Cobbold, and met with immediate success, bowling Clarke in his first over. Things were getting exciting now. Butcher and Cowley stayed together at a critical juncture, and when within 30 of victory the former was smartly caught at the wicket. Cowley hit very hard, and one over of Braddell's realised 11. Farmer sent up the three figures with a fine off-boundary drive, at 108 losing Coleman, whilst without addition Doll was bowled. Thirteen were required, and two wickets to go. Cobbold bowled again, and with his third ball bowled Harford, and with his fourth ball drove Cobbold for a single. One was secured off Penfold's next over, after which Cowley let fly at Cobbold, and Braddell, with a 30 yards sprint, brought off one of the greatest catches which ever won a match, and Suffolk won by six runs.

SUFFOLK.		HERTS.	
C. L. Alexander, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	6	c F. Clark, b Marsh	4
S. Hill-Wood, c Coleman, b White	1	not out	11
C. W. Alexander, b White	21	b White	9
Quaife, b White	2	c Doll, b Marsh	2
Capt. Wilson, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	2	c Marsh, b White	2
K. Raynor, c Harford, b White	24	b White	33
R. L. Braddell, b White	23	c Cowley, b Marsh	31
Capt. Murray, b White	2	b White	7
Penfold, c Marsh, b White	1	b White	0
P. W. Cobbold, c Marsh, b White	5	c Cowley, b Coleman	0
P. P. Cornell, not out	1	c Butcher, b White	0
Extras	1	Extras	4
	88		103

SUFFOLK.—1st Innings.		HERTS.—1st Innings.		
White	21.1	O. M.	R.	W.
Marsh	13	4	29	2
Golding	8	2	18	0
SUFFOLK.—2nd Innings.		HERTS.—2nd Innings.		
White	20.1	10	41	6
Marsh	14	2	53	3
Coleman	4	0	6	1
HERTS.—1st Innings.		HERTS.—2nd Innings.		
P. W. Cobbold	12	5	32	6
Penfold	11.4	4	38	4
HERTS.—2nd Innings.		SUFFOLK.—1st Innings.		
Cobbold	17	10	41	5
Penfold	21	4	60	4
Braddell	7	3	23	2
Wilson	1	0	6	0

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK.—1st Innings.

White	21.1	O. M.	R.	W.
Marsh	13	4	29	2
Golding	8	2	18	0

SUFFOLK.—2nd Innings.

White	20.1	10	41	6
Marsh	14	2	53	3
Coleman	4	0	6	1

HERTS.—1st Innings.

P. W. Cobbold	12	5	32	6
Penfold	11.4	4	38	4

HERTS.—2nd Innings.

Cobbold	17	10	41	5
Penfold	21	4	60	4
Braddell	7	3	23	2
Wilson	1	0	6	0

CRICKET.

If the Minor Counties' Championship was decided on the same system which applies to the first-class Counties, Suffolk would be in the proud position of being top of the Eastern Counties' section, with 100 per cent., their record of four matches being one win and three draws. But by having to concede three points to each of their opponents, with whom they drew through being behind on the first innings, they find themselves with only five points out of a possible twenty—a percentage of only 25. The fact that they have not yet been beaten is proof that Suffolk are a formidable lot. They have again been a thorn in Hertfordshire's side, and after a bad start, and under the worst of conditions, they came very near to defeating Bedfordshire on the first innings.

Mr. H. A. Groome, the Suffolk Hon. Secretary, was fortunate in procuring a particularly good side against Hertfordshire. The latter won the Divisional Championship last year, notwithstanding that Suffolk defeated them twice, and they again showed up rather badly against the bowling of P. W. Cobbold and Penfold. It was a low-scoring game, and when Suffolk fell to the bowling of White on the sticky wicket for 88, their chances of winning appeared well-nigh hopeless. But Herts signally failed to rise to the occasion. Half of them were out for 21, and nine for 41. W. H. Marsh came to the rescue with some spanking hits before he lost his partner, so that the homesters only finished 17 behind.

Suffolk went in again, and were all disposed of for 103; it was another small score, but, considering all that had gone before, a useful one. The two Oxford University players, Kenneth Raynor and C. L. L. Braddell, fortunately repeated their first innings' success, otherwise Herts would have had little difficulty in winning. As it was, they were set with 123 to win, and as they had to go in again half-an-hour before the drawing of stumps, Suffolk hoped to capture a wicket or so before the second day. In this they did not succeed, and 27 of the runs were knocked off before the game was adjourned. There was every excuse for the Hertfordshire players and supporters anticipating an easy victory at this juncture, because it was recognised that, in the event of the weather holding fine, the wicket next day would not present such difficulties to the batsmen.

It is all the more creditable, therefore, to Suffolk that they should come out of the ordeal successfully. It was brilliant holding which won the match. Capt. Wilson's dismissal of E. H. Cuthbertson at square leg close in was the result of a wonderful catch, which seemed to be made almost instantaneously with the ball hitting the bat—a boundary must have resulted had the leather not been intercepted. Capt. Wilson was also responsible for throwing out Golding before he had added to his overnight score, so that, although the versatile Bury cricketer did not come off with the bat, he contributed his fair share in winning the game. The catch referred to was eclipsed by C. L. L. Braddell, who terminated the Herts' innings when six short of Suffolk's aggregate by a really magnificent catch on the boundary, which he made after running a considerable distance, and at an awkward angle. A word of praise is due to P. E. Cornell for his work behind the stumps; he never made a mistake throughout, and had a hand in disposing of three men. Then, of course, P. W. Cobbold and Penfold bowled finely; Braddell proved a useful change, and, in fact, everyone rendered able assistance. It was touch-and-go from start to finish, and there was great excitement amongst players and lookers-on alike towards the close.

The previous week Hertfordshire just notched three points at the expense of Bedfordshire, who were Suffolk's opponents at Bedford on Wednesday and Thursday. Unfortunately, Suffolk could not command anything like so good a side as did duty against Herts, and as Bedfordshire gained the maximum points against the East Anglians last year, the latter's weakened team did not inspire confidence. The principal absentees were Penfold, K. Maynor, and C. L. L. Braddell, just the three men who had rendered such yeoman service in the previous match. Considering that Bedfordshire amassed 251 to start with, Suffolk did well to draw. Yet, but for a dropped catch, the result is almost bound to have been at least a first innings victory for Suffolk. Two men—H. H. Orr and E. E. Apthorp—were responsible for 189 of the 254, and the first-named, who strung together 136, was badly missed by C. W. Alexander in the long field when only three. Of course, the holder is no more to be blamed than one or two others who failed to accept chances, for missed catches are all in the game; but it so happened that the let-off was most disastrous for Suffolk. Orr is a grey-headed veteran, the captain of his side, and a fine cricketer. He has a wonderfully keen eye, a strong wrist, makes runs at a great pace, and he was the only batsman during the tour who did not find Cobbold difficult to play. He treated all bowlers unmercifully, till at length Trudgett bowled him.

As Suffolk had six out for 103 at the conclusion of the first day's play, there was very little hope of them topping their opponents' total. Cobbold and Quaife, the two hot-outs, settled down to excellent cricket, and though the former batted in free style, he never gave the semblance of a chance. His innings was

in direct contrast to Quaife's; yet both were good, and at one time the Bedford captain was at his wits' end to know how to bring about a separation. After Cobbold's departure cricket was slow, but S. G. Bennett and Quaife put on several runs, and a sigh of relief went up when Bennett was adjudged leg before at 136. Trudgett and Waters both met the bowling well for the last two wickets, but, of course, neither could be expected to remain in long enough for the visitors to obtain a first innings' lead. Therefore, to be only 37 behind was a creditable performance.

Bedfordshire did not please their supporters with the way they started their second innings, and it was only the stand effected by H. Willett and H. R. Orr which enabled them to be assured of a three points' victory. Orr again hit hard, and scored freely, and his exertions with the bat were such that he was quite unable to take his part in the field when Suffolk went in a second time, during the last two hours of the game, in a glaring light which made the flight of the ball difficult to follow. It was the same on the first day, when Suffolk batted, and it was, therefore, little wonder that several batsmen failed to do themselves justice. Still, a draw was easily effected, Suffolk being 156 behind with five wickets to fall. The absence of Penfold was severely felt; Cobbold and Trudgett shared the burden of the bowling, and captured sixteen of the eighteen wickets credited to the bowlers. Perhaps Waters was not persevered with sufficiently; his thirteen overs only produced 19 runs, so it was evident he was well on the wicket, although it must be said that he rarely beat the batsmen. Suffolk stood in sore need of a fast bowler, for the wicket at Bedford was as hard as nails, and fit to start another match upon the next day.

The first of a series of interesting two-day matches to be played on the beautiful ground at Ampton was commenced on Friday and Saturday, when Major Higginbotham brought over a team from Colchester Garrison. Mr. G. A. Paley has done much for cricket in West Suffolk, and his keenness on the game has infused itself into everyone about the place. Seven years ago what is now the finest ground in Suffolk was nothing better than a veritable swamp on some occasions, but, thanks to the perseverance of Mr. G. Chilcotte, the Ampton Postmaster, who made the ground, visitors to Ampton are now enabled to play cricket under the most favourable conditions. The latest innovation Mr. Paley has caused to be made is a magnificent pavilion, which is built of fine matchwood, and is capable of seating over a hundred people easily at lunch. The thatched roof gives the pavilion a charming rustic appearance, and dressing-rooms and pantries for cooking, etc., are also attached. Some good cricket was seen in the match with Colchester Garrison, but Major Higginbotham had not got his strongest side out, and after the first three

bats the rest were only moderate. Mr. Paley, on the other hand, had a pretty warm team, both for batting and bowling, and G. T. Branston, the old Notts amateur, was included in the team. On essentially a run-getting wicket, it was rather surprising that more runs were not scored on the first day, but the game was left in a very interesting condition, the visitors only scoring four more than their opponents, although they were 105 for three wickets. The last seven batsmen came out quickly before the bowling of Captain Wilson and G. Strutt, and the first innings closed with the scores—Mr. G. A. Paley's 111, 137, Colchester Garrison 131. On Saturday runs were scored with much more freedom than on the first day, and Capt. P. Wilson played one of the most delightful innings which one associates with him. Having once played himself in, he commenced scoring all round the wicket, and indulged in some fine drives. He hit Major Higginbotham for ten in three balls, and on one occasion put the under-arm lob bowler into a wood at the top end of the ground. He was still undefeated when Mr. Paley declared, carrying out his bat for a superbly played 121. He must have felt a tremor when three short of his hundred, through Vases hitting his wicket, but the balls were not disturbed. E. Pritchett contributed a useful 40, and G. T. Branston 29. The homesters realised 225 for eight wickets, giving the Garrison a big thing to do if they were to wipe off the runs. When Major Higginbotham, C. S. Wilson and Major Atkinson left without scoring many runs, a draw was the only possible way left in which the visitors could have saved defeat, but Branston and Penfold bowled in deadly style, and the whole side wore out by tea time for 78.

The match between Ipswich and East Suffolk and Witham for the benefit of Waters, the local professional, resulted in a draw. The homesters batted first on an excellent wicket, and, considering the disastrous start they made (three wickets were down for 15 runs), a total of 169 was a very respectable recovery. This was mainly due to the fine innings of P. W. Cobbold, who contributed 64, V. J. Lewis 39, and Cornell 21. In their reply, the visitors also lost one wicket for a single, but then Bawtree and Parkes made a grand stand, which placed the visitors in a strong position. Following their separation, two more wickets fell in a few minutes, and on the whole a draw was a very satisfactory result. Waters, notwithstanding the injury to his thumb, bowled fairly well. He had 21 overs, 3 maidens, 28 runs, one wicket. V. E. Gaby took two wickets for 28 runs, and P. W. Cobbold one for 61.

HERTS v. CAMBS.
This match, in the Minor Counties' Championship, was played at Bishop Stortford on Friday and Saturday and was won by the home team by 47 runs. Hertfordshire were out for 37 runs, Coulson taking eight wickets for 13 runs, including five wickets for 6 runs in two overs. The visiting county fared a little better, but the innings closed for 67 only, White capturing seven wickets for 14 runs. Herts in the second innings put a different complexion on the game. Golding and Butcher making a firm stand. The last-named was at the wicket two hours for 36. White (not out 57) and Cowley (50) raised the score, and the hopes of Hertfordshire, and the innings closed at noon on Saturday for 285. For Cambridgeshire, Watts played an open 88. Watts' score included a 6, nine 4's, and two 3's. He was at the wicket for one hour and twenty-five minutes. The innings closed at 208. Score:—

HERTFORDSHIRE.

Golding, b Coulson	1 lbw, b Coulson	50
White, b Coulson	12 not out	37
C. H. Titchmarsh, b Coulson		5
Coulson	8 o Watts, b Coulson	2
C. G. D. Doll, b Coulson	10 b Cooke	5
M. H. G. Doll, b Coulson	3 o Ling, b Cooke	3
A. Hatcher, b Cooke	1 b Cooke	56
A. B. Cowley, b Coulson	0 o and b Pigg	50
E. L. Wright, b Coulson	0 o Ling, b Pigg	2
E. H. Cuthbertson, b Coulson	0 o Watts, b Pigg	13
H. M. Harford, not out	0 b Pigg	2
W. H. Marsh, b Cooke	6 lbw b Extras	47
		—
		37
		285

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

A. S. Ling, b White	26 b Marsh	1
T. E. Thomas, o C. Doll, b White	5 b Golding	22
F. E. Collier, b Marsh	3 o Cuthbertson, b Marsh	10
F. W. Bryan, b White	0 run out	15
L. J. Reid, b White	0 o and b White	9
H. G. Tebbutt, o Golding, b Marsh	4 b Marsh	5
White	1 b Cooke	26
Watts (J.), o C. Doll, b White	0 Marsh	88
Coulson (H.), lbw, b Marsh	6 b White	7
A. E. Bellars, not out	14 lbw, b White	11
Capt. H. H. A. Cooke, lbw, b White	1 not out	2
Extras	7 Extras	15
		—
		67
		208

19
No 19

HERTFORDSHIRE v SUFFOLK

MATCH NO. 1909/20

PLAYED AT Watford

Colin Munford

ON 1909 JUNE 28, 29

Toss Suffolk

RESULT Suffolk won by 6 runs

SUFFOLK		FIRST INNINGS		RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s
1	C.L. Alexander	c Titchmarsh	b Marsh	6				
2	S. Hill-Wood	c Coleman	b White	1				
3	C.W. Alexander	b White		21				
4	W. Quaise	b White		2				
5	F.T.D. Wilson	c Titchmarsh	b Marsh	2				
6	K. Raynor	c Harford	b White	24				
7	R.L. Braddell	b White		23				
8	J.T.C. Murray	b White		2				
9	W. Penfold	c Marsh	b White	1				
10	P.W. Cobbold	c Marsh	b White	5				
11	P.P. Cornell	not out		0				
EXTRAS		b	lb	w	nb			
TOTAL				88				

SUFFOLK		SECOND INNINGS		RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s
1	c Clarke	b Marsh		4				
	not out			11				
	b White			9				
	c Doll	b Marsh		2				
	c Marsh	b White		2				
	b White			33				
	c Cowley	b Marsh		31				
	b White			7				
	b White			0				
	c Cowley	b Coleman		0				
	c Butcher	b White		0				
EXTRAS		b	lb	w	nb			
TOTAL				103				

HERTFORDSHIRE		FIRST INNINGS		RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s
1	Coleman	b Penfold		13				
2	Golding	lb b Cobbold		3				
3	A.E. Clarke	st Cornell	b Cobbold	0				
4	H. White	c Quaise	b Cobbold	1				
5	E.H. Cuthbertson	st Cornell	b Cobbold	1				
6	CH. Titchmarsh	c Raynor	b Cobbold	4				
7	A. Butcher	b Penfold		6				
8	R.B. Cowley	c Quaise	b Penfold	0				
9	M.H.C. Doll	c b Cobbold		13				
10	H.M. Harford	c Alexander CW	b Penfold	6				
11	W.A. Marsh	not out		23				
EXTRAS		b	lb	w	nb			
TOTAL				71				

HERTFORDSHIRE		SECOND INNINGS		RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s
1	b Penfold			5				
	run out			16				
	b Braddell			9				
	b Penfold			4				
	c Wilson	b Cobbold		20				
	c Cobbold	b Penfold		14				
	c Cornell	b Braddell		11				
	c Braddell	b Cobbold		27				
	b Penfold			0				
	b Cobbold			1				
	not out			4				
EXTRAS		b	lb	w	nb			
TOTAL				114				

SCORERS
CLOSE 1ST DAY Suffolk 88 and 103
Herts 71 and 27 for 0
Golding 16 Cuthbertson 8

DEBUTANTS C.W. Alexander

WKT	Suffolk		Herts	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
1		10	9	
2	13	11	9	41
3	20	19	17	45
4		51	17	66
5		57	21	
6			25	
7	75		26	108
8	83		41	108
9	83		41	
10	88		71	114

COMPLETED BY C.R. MUNFORD

DATE 2000 AUGUST

HERTFORDSHIRE		O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1	White	21.1	1	40	8			1	20.1	10	41	6		
2	Marsh	13	4	29	2			2	18	2	52	3		
3	Golding	8	2	18	0									
4	Coleman							3	4	0	6	1		
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
EXTRAS / RO		-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
TOTAL		42.1		88	10			-	42.1		103	10		

SUFFOLK		O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1	Cobbold	12	5	32	6			1	17	10	32	3		
2	Penfold	11.2	4	38	4			2	21	4	50	4		
3	Braddell							3	7	3	23	2		
4	Wilson							4	1	0	6	0		
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
EXTRAS / RO		-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-
TOTAL		23.2		71	10			-	46		114	10		

NOTES

SOURCES Durham Lodge E.A.D.T. Accounts, both days K.S.C.T.

HERTS v. SUFFOLK.

THIRTY WICKETS FALL.

For the match Herts v. Suffolk, which commenced on the West Herts Ground yesterday, the light was poor and the wicket soft, and materially in favour of bowlers. Great progress was therefore made, no fewer than three innings being completed. White, the West Herts professional, bowled with great success. At the close of play Herts required 94 runs to win. Score:

SUFFOLK.

C. L. Alexander, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	6	o Clarke, b Marsh	4
S. Hill-Wood, o Coleman, b White	1	not out	11
C. W. Alexander, b White	21	b White	9
Quaife (W.), b White	2	o Doll, b Marsh	2
Capt. F. Wilson, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	2	o Marsh, b White	2
K. Raynor, c Harford, b White	24	b White	33
R. L. L. Braddell, b White	23	o Cowley, b Marsh	32
Capt. Murray, b White	2	b White	7
Penf. H. o Marsh, b White	1	b White	0
P. W. Cobbold, o Marsh, b White	6	o Cowley, b Coleman	0
White	0	o Butcher, b White	4
P. P. Connell, not out	0	White	0
Extras	1		4
	88		103

HERTFORDSHIRE.

Coleman, b Penfold	13	J not out	16
Golding, lbw, b Cobbold	3		
Dr. A. E. Clarke, st Connell, b Cobbold	0		
White, o Quaife, b Cobbold	41		
E. H. Cuthbertson, st Connell, b Cobbold	1	not out	8
C. H. Titchmarsh, o Raynor, b Cobbold	4		
A. Butcher, b Penfold	6		
E. B. Cowley, o Quaife, b Penfold	0		
M. H. C. Doll, c and b Cobbold	13		
H. M. Harford, o C. W. Alexander, b Penfold	6		
W. H. Marsh, not out	23		
Extras	1		3
	71	(no wkt.)	27

Bowling Analysis

SUFFOLK.				
First Innings				
White	0	M	R.	W.
Marsh	21.1	2	40	8
Golding	13	4	20	2
	8	2	18	0
Second Innings				
White	22.1	10	41	4
Marsh	18	2	53	3
Coleman	4	0	6	1

HERTFORDSHIRE.				
First Innings				
Cobbold	13	5	32	6
Penfold	11.2	4	36	4

Yesterday Hertfordshire commenced their match with Suffolk, on the West Herts Ground. The wicket was very treacherous, and bowlers had a joyous time. No fewer than three innings were completed, and at close of play Hertfordshire, with all their wickets in hand, wanted 94 runs for victory. One does not dare to make any prophecy as to the result, because with the wicket still soft and tricky, the match will probably be finished before these lines are read.

In their first innings Suffolk were dismissed for 88. The bowling of White proved very deadly. He forced eight batsmen to give up the ghost for, on the average, five runs each. The Hertfordshire innings opened in disastrous fashion, but for the last wicket W. H. Marsh and H. M. Harford made a fine stand, and brought the score within 17 of that of their opponents.

I was very struck with the bowling of W. H. Marsh at the beginning of the Suffolk second innings, at 10 minutes to four. He kept a perfect length, and his slow balls appeared to place the batsmen in a dilemma each time. C. L. Alexander and Quaife (W.), were both dismissed by him. For several balls they were content to play back and defend, but each at last got desperate and slipped out of the crease and tried to hit a boundary. Both were deceived by the flight of the ball, hit it a fraction of a second too late, and were caught. After a time Marsh's bowling deteriorated, and the analysis shows that White was again more successful. He took six wickets for 41. The light was not good when Hertfordshire had apparently an easy task of getting 121 runs, but 27 of them were obtained without the loss of a single wicket.

In the years to come West Herts will not look on their cricket week in the season of 1909 with any great satisfaction. In the first match the club was defeated by 124 runs; the second they won by 104; the third was left drawn, West Herts wanting one run for victory; the fourth had to be abandoned at lunch; in the fifth match not a ball was bowled; and Bushey won the sixth and last by one run. So the net result is: Played 5, won 1, lost 2, drawn 2.

Throughout the week the wickets were treacherous, and batsmen have fared badly at the hands of the bowlers. When the whole series of matches is taken into account it is found that the West Herts batsmen did a shade better than their opponents. They scored 527 runs for the loss of 40 wickets, which gives an average of 13.18 runs per wicket. Their opponents made 506 runs for 41 wickets—an average of 12.34. These figures do not, of course, include the "extras," which, for West Herts, amounted to 30, and for their opponents amounted to 32. The only century of the week was scored by Dr. A. E. Clarke, of Rickmansworth, who played for Mr. Arnold Ward's XI.

Rickmansworth this season have an exceptionally strong XI. Only once they tasted the bitterness of defeat. Here are their scores up to date:—71, 83, 96, 175; 373 for seven wickets, 121, 186, 285, and 156. Northwood, their latest victims, were defeated by 121 runs.

HERTFORDSHIRE v. SUFFOLK.

Low scoring was associated with this fixture played at Watford on Monday and Tuesday, and such quick progress was made that on the opening day three innings were completed and the fourth begun. Owing principally to some fine bowling by White, the West Herts. professionals (who took eight wickets for 40 runs), the visitors were dismissed for the small total of 88. Hertfordshire fared even worse, the tenth wicket falling at 71. On Suffolk batting a second time White again proved irresistible; he secured six wickets for 41, making his record for the match 14 wickets for 81. The innings closed for 103, leaving Hertfordshire to obtain 121 to win. Golding and Cuthbertson at the call of time had registered 27 without loss and on Tuesday the home county had only 94 to get, with all their wickets in hand. Unhappily for them the professional was run out without adding to his overnight score of 16. Cuthbertson increased his 8 to 20, but with the exception of Titchmarsh, Butcher and Cowley none of the side could make anything of the bowling of Penfold, Cobbold and Braddell. Marsh, whose first innings success ought to have insured him a higher position in the list in the second venture, joined Cowley when Hertfordshire were still a dozen runs in arrear, and the pair looked like winning the match for their side. Cowley, however, fell to a fine catch on the boundary, and Suffolk won after an exciting finish by 6 runs. Coleman, who bowled only four overs, took one wicket for 6 runs, and Marsh in the two innings five wickets for 82. For Suffolk Cobbold dismissed nine batsmen at a cost of only 64 runs, and Penfold eight for 88. The winners played the better cricket, and it was very evident that several on the home side have not yet reached their form of last season. The conditions of weather and ground, however, favoured the visitors, Hertfordshire being greatly handicapped by Coleman's inability to obtain a foothold.

C. L. Alexander, c		Suffolk	
Titchmarsh, b	6	c Clarke, b Marsh	4
Marsh	6	not out	11
S. Hill-Wood, c Coleman, b White	1		
C. W. Alexander, b White	21	b White	9
Quaife, b White	2	c Doll, b Marsh	2
Capt. F. Wilson, c Titchmarsh, b Marsh	2	c Marsh, b White	2
K. Raynor, c Harford, b White	24	b White	53
R. L. L. Braddell, b White	23	c Cowley, b Marsh	31
Capt. Murray, b White	2	b White	7
Penfold, c Marsh, b White	1	b White	0
P. W. Cobbold, c Marsh, b White	5	c Cowley, b Coleman	6
P. P. Connell, not out	0	c Butcher, b White	0
Extra	1	Extras	4
Total	88	Total	103
HERTFORDSHIRE.			
Coleman, b Penfold	13	b Penfold	5
Golding, l.b.w., b Cobbold	3	run out	16
Dr. A. E. Clarke, st. Connell, b Cobbold	0	b Braddell	0
White, c Quaife, b Cobbold	1	b Penfold	4
E. H. Cuthbertson, st. Connell, b Cobbold	1	c Wilson, b Cobbold	20
C. H. Titchmarsh, c Rayner, b Cobbold	44	c Cobbold, b Penfold	14
A. Butcher, b Penfold	6	c Connell, b Braddell	11
E. B. Cowley, c Quaife, b Penfold	0	c Braddell, b Cobbold	27
M. H. C. Doll, c and b Cobbold	13	b Penfold	0
H. M. Harford, c C. W. Alexander, b Penfold	6	b Cobbold	11
W. H. Marsh, not out	23	not out	4
Extra	1	Extras	3
Total	71	Total	114

2 Hertfordshire 1909

Herts v Suffolk
West Herts Ground, Watford
28,29 June

Suffolk won by 6 runs
Points 0

Toss
Umpires

CoP Day 1 Suffolk 88, Herts 71, Suffolk 103, Herts 27-0

Suffolk 1st innings

CL Alexander	c Titchmarsh	b Marsh	4
S Hill-Wood	c Coleman	b White	2
CW Alexander		b White	21
Quaife W		b White	2
Capt.F Wilson	c Titchmarsh	b Marsh	2
K Raynor	c Harford	b White	24
RLL Braddell		b White	23
Capt.Murray		b White	2
Penfold	c Marsh	b White	1
PW Cobbold	c Marsh	b White	6
+PP Connell	not out		0
Extras			1
Total	All out	42.3 ¹ overs	88

FoW

Bowling				
White	21.1	8	40	8
Marsh	13	4	29	2
Golding	8	2	18	0

28,29 June 1909 (cont)

Herts 1st innings

Coleman WE			b Penfold	13
Golding AJ	lbw		b Cobbold	3
Dr.AE Clarke	st Connell		b Cobbold	0
White HE	c Quaife		b Cobbold	1
+EH Cuthbertson	st Connell		b Cobbold	1
CH Titchmarsh	c Raynor		b Cobbold	4
A Butcher			b Penfold	6
RB Cowley	c Quaife		b Penfold	0
MHC Doll	c & b		Cobbold	13
*HM Harford	c CW Alexander		b Penfold	6
WH Marsh	not out			23
Extras				1
Total	All out	23.4 overs		71

FoW

Bowling

Cobbold	12	5	32	6
Penfold	11.4	4	38	4

Suffolk 2nd innings

CL Alexander	c Clarke		b Marsh	4
CW Alexander			b White	9
Quaife W	c Doll		b Marsh	2
Capt.F Wilson	c Marsh		b White	2
K Raynor			b White	33
RLL Braddell	c Cowley		b Marsh	31
Capt.Murray			b White	7
Penfold			b White	0
S Hill-Wood	not out			11
PW Cobbold	c Cowley		b Coleman	0
+PF Connell	c Butcher		b White	0
Extras				4
Total	All out	39.1 overs		103

FoW

Bowling

White	22.1	10	41	6
Marsh	13	2	53	3
Coleman	4	0	5	1

No 19 - Cont

Bob Simon

28,29 June 1909 (cont)

Herts 2nd innings

Coleman WE		b Penfold	5
Golding AJ	run out		16
Dr.AE Clarke		b Braddell	9
White HE		b Penfold	4
+EH Cuthbertson	c Wilson	b Cobbold	20
CH Titchmarsh	c Cobbold	b Penfold	14
A Butcher	c Connell	b Braddell	11
RB Cowley	c Braddell	b Cobbold	27
MHC Doll		b Penfold	0
*HM Harford		b Cobbold	1
WH Marsh	not out		4
Extras			3
Total	All out	46.4 overs	114

FoW

Bowling				
Cobbold	17.4	5	32	3
Penfold	21	4	50	4
Braddell	7	3	23	2
Wilson	1	0	6	0

Watford Observer 3-7-09 Account

WO EADT *gubt*
HL HE *IS*

MASTER SHEET

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

At (*Wat Herts*), Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909

Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: *X R. Perkins and J. Redf*

Debutants: Suffolk 1 (C W Alexander) *Herts more*
First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103, Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*) *Ex 3*

Suffolk

C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	6
S Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White	1
C W Alexander	b White	21
Quaife, W	b White	2
F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	2
K Raynor	c Harford b White	24
R L L Braddell	b White	23
J T C Murray	b White	2
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White	1
P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White	5
+ P P Cornell	not out	0
Extras	b, lb, nb <i>b1</i>	1
Total		88

3-50	c Clarke b Marsh	4
(1)	not out	11
(9)	b White	9
(2)	c Doll b Marsh	2
(3)	c Marsh b White	2
(4)	b White	33
(5) 7	c Cowley b Marsh	31
(6) 5	b White	7
(7) 6	b White	0
(8)	b White	0
(10)	c Cowley b Coleman	0
(11)	c Butcher b White	0
	b, lb, nb <i>b4</i>	4
		103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5
First innings	()	13	20	()	(40)
Second innings	10	11	19	51	57
White	21.1	1 8	40	8	() ()
Marsh	13	4	29	2	() ()
Golding	8	2	18	0	() ()
Coleman	-	-	1	0	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-
Total	42.1	7	88	10	0 0

6	7	8	9	10
(68)	75	83	83	88
(69)	()	()	()	103
(1)	20	10	41	6
(2)	18	2	33	3
(3)	4	0	66	1
	-	-	4	0
Total	42.1	12	103	10

Hertfordshire

Coleman, W E	b Penfold	13
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold	3
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold	0
White, H F	c Quaife b Cobbold	1
E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold	1
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold	4
A Butcher	b Penfold	6
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold	0
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold	13
H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold	6
W H Marsh	not out	23
Extras	b, lb <i>b1</i>	1
Total		71

9: - Donald from EADT acct.

(1) 10	b Penfold	5
(2) 1?	run out	16
(3) 7	b Braddell	9
(4) 3	b Penfold	4
(5) 2?	c Wilson b Cobbold	20
(6) 5	c Cobbold b Penfold	14
(7) 6	c Cornell b Braddell	11
(8) 7	c Braddell b Cobbold	27
(9) 8	b Penfold	0
(10) 8	b Cobbold	1
(11) 11	not out	4
	b, lb <i>b3</i>	3
		114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5
First innings	9	9	17	17	21
Second innings	(29)	41	45	66	()
Cobbold	12	5	32	6	() ()
Penfold	11.2	4	38	4	() ()
Braddell	-	-	1	0	-
Wilson	-	-	1	0	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-
Total	23.2	9	71	10	0 0

6	7	8	9	10
(25)	26	41	41	71
(85)	108	108	(10)	114
(1)	17	10	32	3
(2)	21	4	50	4
(3)	7	3	23	2
(4)	1	0	6	0
	-	-	3	1
	46	17	114	10

Notes: Source: Durham Ledger, E.A.D.T. accounts both days, K.S.C.T.
Missing: Ground details; Umpires; Fall of Wickets; Breakdown of Extras; Who bowled wides & no-balls (if any)?; Who were the captains and the Hertfordshire wicketkeeper?; 2nd innings batting order.
May need checking: Points; Professionals; Debutants

Watford Observer 3 July (CRGS) EADT 29.30 June (WOW) Herts Leader 29 June (WOW) Herts Express 3 July (WOW)
Cricket 22 July (WOW) IS 29.30 June (WOW)

Low scoring match and wicket difficult for non-county. Herts set tricky target of 121 with little day to get them. Suffolk set Herts 2nd inn. batt. order needs change, 1 sticky wicket. 2 catches of special note in Herts 2nd inn. - Wilson at close eye by + Braddell spangly 30 yards to catch Cowley & win the match. Coleman handicapped by fourthballs. The man in the middle was the closest of the season.

✓ MAR 376/40 CPs: None LPs: None.

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

At The West Herts Ground, Cassio Road, Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909

Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: *P. Parkins and J. Kelly*

Debutants: *(Suffolk)* C W Alexander Hertfordshire *W. J. ; J. ; J.*

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103; Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*) *Ex 3*

Suffolk

C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	6	(1)	c Clarke b Marsh	4
S H Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White	1	(9)	not out	11
C W Alexander	b White	21	(2)	b White	9
Quaife, W	b White	2	(3)	c Doll b Marsh	2
Capt F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	2	(4)	c Marsh b White	2
K Raynor	c Harford b White	24	(7)?	b White	33
R L L Braddell	b White	23	(5)?	c Cowley b Marsh	31
Capt J T C Murray	b White	2	(6)?	b White	7
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White	1	(8)	b White	0
* P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White	5	(10)	c Cowley b Coleman	0
+ P P Cornell	not out	0	(11)	c Butcher b White	0
Extras	? <i>b 1</i>	1		<i>b 9</i>	4
Total		88			103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings		13	20		40	68	75	83	83	88
Second innings	10	11	<i>←</i> 19	51	57	69				103

White	21.1	8?	40	8	-	-	(1)	22.1?	10	41	6	-	-
Marsh	13	4	29	2	-	-	(2)	18?	2	52?	3	-	-
Golding	8	2	18	0	-	-							
Coleman							(3)	4	0	6?	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	4	0	-	-
Total	42.1	14?	88	10	<i>⊖</i>	<i>⊖</i>		42.1?	12	103	10	<i>⊖</i>	<i>⊖</i>

Hertfordshire

Coleman, W E	b Penfold	13	(9)?	b Penfold	5
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold	3	(1)?	run out	16
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold	0	(4)	b Braddell	9
White, H F	c Quaife b Cobbold	1	(3)	b Penfold	4
+ E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold	1	(2)?	c Wilson b Cobbold	20
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold	4	(5)	c Cobbold b Penfold	14
A Butcher	b Penfold	6	(6)	c Cornell b Braddell	11
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold	0	(7)	c Braddell b Cobbold	27
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold	13	(10)?	b Penfold	0
* H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold	6	(8)?	b Cobbold	1
W H Marsh	not out	23	(11)	not out	4
Extras	? <i>b 1</i>	1		? <i>b 3</i>	3
Total		71			114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	9	9	17	17	21	25	26	41	41	71
Second innings	29	41	45	66		85	108	108	110	114

Cobbold	12	5	32	6	-	-	(1)	17.4?	5?	32	3	-	-
Penfold	11.2?	4	38	4	-	-	(2)	21	4	50	4	-	-
Braddell							(3)	7	3	23	2	-	-
Wilson							(4)	1	0	6	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	-
Total	23.2?	9	71	10	<i>⊖</i>	<i>⊖</i>		46.4?	12?	114	10	<i>⊖</i>	<i>⊖</i>

Notes: Suffolk won this closely fought match by 6 runs before lunch on the second day. The match was played on a sticky wicket resulting from the heavy rain in the days immediately preceding the game. No batsmen scored fifty and the run aggregate of 376 runs was the [?] lowest for a completed match during the season. Hertfordshire needed 121 runs to win in their second innings and scored 27 runs without loss on the late afternoon of the first day. Cowley looked to be taking his team to victory, but with the last man in 11 runs were still required. 4 were added then Cowley hit out at Penfold and Braddell is reported as having sprinted a full 30 yards to hold a 'wonder catch' and give Suffolk victory by 6 runs. For the losers White took 14 wickets in the match for 81 runs, including 8 for 40 in the Suffolk first innings.

[Missing: Umpires; debuts; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Suffolk 1st inns confirm White bowled 8 maidens not 1; in Suffolk 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm White bowled 22.1 overs not 20.1, Marsh conceded 52 runs not 53 or 63 and Coleman conceded 6 runs not 5; in Herts 1st inns confirm Penfold bowled 11.2 overs not 11.4; in Herts 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm Cobbold bowled 17.4 overs not 17 and 5 maidens not 10; precise time completed.]

Sources: Hertfordshire Express 3 July; Hertfordshire Leader 29 June (Tony Webb); Watford Observer 3 July (Bob Simons); East Anglian Daily Times 29,30 June; D F Durham's Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); Cricket 22 July, p 287 (Tony Webb).

Status: Draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to check The Sportsman for missing details and add debuts and stats review.

** The margin of victory was the closest of the season*

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK
 At The West Herts Ground, Cassio Road, Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909
 Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: G Perkins and J Relf
 Debutants: Hertfordshire none; Suffolk 1 (CW Alexander)
 First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103; Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*)

Suffolk										
C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh					6	(1)	c Clarke b Marsh		4
S H Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White					1	(9)	not out		11
C W Alexander	b White					21	(2)	b White		9
Quaife, W	b White					2	(3)	c Doll b Marsh		2
Capt F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh					2	(4)	c Marsh b White		2
K Raynor	c Harford b White					24	(7)?	b White		33
R L L Braddell	b White					23	(5)?	c Cowley b Marsh		31
Capt J T C Murray	b White					2	(6)?	b White		7
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White					1	(8)	b White		0
* P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White					5	(10)	c Cowley b Coleman		0
+ P P Cornell	not out					0	(11)	c Butcher b White		0
Extras	b 1					1		b 4		4
Total						88				103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
First innings		13	20		40	68	75	83	83	88			
Second innings	10	11	19	51	57	69				103			
White	21.1	8?	40	8	-	-	(1)	22.1?	10	41	6	-	-
Marsh	13	4	29	2	-	-	(2)	18?	2	52?	3	-	-
Golding	8	2	18	0	-	-						-	-
Coleman							(3)	4	0	6?	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	4	0	-	-
Total	42.1	14?	88	10	0	0		42.1?	12	103	10	0	0

Hertfordshire										
Coleman, W E	b Penfold					13	(9)?	b Penfold		5
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold					3	(1)?	run out		16
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold					0	(4)	b Braddell		9
White, H E	c Quaife b Cobbold					1	(3)	b Penfold		4
+ E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold					1	(2)?	c Wilson b Cobbold		20
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold					4	(5)	c Cobbold b Penfold		14
A Butcher	b Penfold					6	(6)	c Cornell b Braddell		11
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold					0	(7)	c Braddell b Cobbold		27
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold					13	(10)?	b Penfold		0
* H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold					6	(8)?	b Cobbold		1
W H Marsh	not out					23	(11)	not out		4
Extras	b 1					1		b 3		3
Total						71				114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
First innings	9	9	17	17	21	25	26	41	41	71			
Second innings	29	41	45	66		85	108	108	110	114			
Cobbold	12	5	32	6	-	-	(1)	17.4?	5?	32	3	-	-
Penfold	11.2?	4	38	4	-	-	(2)	21	4	50	4	-	-
Braddell							(3)	7	3	23	2	-	-
Wilson							(4)	1	0	6	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	-
Total	23.2?	9	71	10	0	0		46.4?	12?	114	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won this closely fought match by 6 runs before lunch on the second day. The 6 run margin of victory was the closest of the season. The match was played on a sticky wicket resulting from the heavy rain in the days immediately preceding the game. No batsmen scored fifty and the run aggregate of 376 runs was the [?] lowest for a completed match during the season. Hertfordshire needed 121 runs to win in their second innings and scored 27 runs without loss on the late afternoon of the first day. Cowley looked to be taking his team to victory, but with the last man in 11 runs were still required. 4 were added then Cowley hit out at Penfold and Braddell is reported as having sprinted a full 30 yards to hold a 'wonder catch' and give Suffolk victory by 6 runs. For the losers White took 14 wickets in the match for 81 runs, including 8 for 40 in the Suffolk first innings. [Missing: Part fall of wickets; in Suffolk 1st inns confirm White bowled 8 maidens not 1; in Suffolk 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm White bowled 22.1 overs not 20.1, Marsh conceded 52 runs not 53 or 63 and Coleman conceded 6 runs not 5; in Herts 1st inns confirm Penfold bowled 11.2 overs not 11.4; in Herts 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm Cobbold bowled 17.4 overs not 17 and 5 maidens not 10; precise time completed.] Sources: Hertfordshire Express 3 July; Hertfordshire Leader 29 June (Tony Webb); Watford Observer 3 July (Bob Simons); East Anglian Daily Times 29,30 June; D F Durham's Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); The Sportsman 29,30 June; Cricket 22 July, p 287 (Tony Webb).

Status: Draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK

At The West Herts Ground, Cassio Road, Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909

Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: G Perkins and J Relf

Debutants: Hertfordshire none; Suffolk 1 (CW Alexander)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103; Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*)

Suffolk

C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	6	(1)	c Clarke b Marsh	4
S H Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White	1	(9)	not out	11
C W Alexander	b White	21	(2)	b White	9
Quaife, W	b White	2	(3)	c Doll b Marsh	2
Capt F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	2	(4)	c Marsh b White	2
K Raynor	c Harford b White	24	(7)	b White	33
R L L Braddell	b White	23	(5)	c Cowley b Marsh	31
Capt J T C Murray	b White	2	(6)	b White	7
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White	1	(8)	b White	0
* P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White	5	(10)	c Cowley b Coleman	0
+ P P Cornell	not out	0	(11)	c Butcher b White	0
Extras	b 1	1		b 4	4
Total		88			103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	13	20		40	68	75	83	83	88
Second innings	10	11	19	51	57	69				103

White	21.1	8	40	8	-	-	(1)	22.1	10	41	6	-	-
Marsh	13	4	29	2	-	-	(2)	18	2	52	3	-	-
Golding	8	2	18	0	-	-							
Coleman							(3)	4	0	6	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	4	0	-	-
Total	42.1	14	88	10	0	0		42.1	12	103	10	0	0

Hertfordshire

Coleman, W E	b Penfold	13	(9)	b Penfold	5
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold	3	(1)	run out	16
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold	0	(4)	b Braddell	9
White, H E	c Quaife b Cobbold	1	(3)	b Penfold	4
+ E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold	1	(2)	c Wilson b Cobbold	20
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold	4	(5)	c Cobbold b Penfold	14
A Butcher	b Penfold	6	(6)	c Cornell b Braddell	11
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold	0	(7)	c Braddell b Cobbold	27
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold	13	(10)	b Penfold	0
* H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold	6	(8)	b Cobbold	1
W H Marsh	not out	23	(11)	not out	4
Extras	b 1	1		b 3	3
Total		71			114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	9	9	17	17	21	25	26	41	41	71
Second innings	29	41	45	66		85	108	108	110	114

Cobbold	12	5	32	6	-	-	(1)	17.4	5	32	3	-	-
Penfold	11.2	4	38	4	-	-	(2)	21	4	50	4	-	-
Braddell							(3)	7	3	23	2	-	-
Wilson							(4)	1	0	6	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	-
Total	23.2	9	71	10	0	0		46.4	12	114	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won this closely fought match by 6 runs before lunch on the second day. The 6 run margin of victory was the closest of the season. The match was played on a sticky wicket resulting from the heavy rain in the days immediately preceding the game. No batsmen scored fifty and the run aggregate of 376 runs was the [?] lowest for a completed match during the season. Hertfordshire needed 121 runs to win in their second innings and scored 27 runs without loss on the late afternoon of the first day. Cowley looked to be taking his team to victory, but with the last man in 11 runs were still required. 4 were added then Cowley hit out at Penfold and Braddell is reported as having sprinted a full 30 yards to hold a 'wonder catch' and give Suffolk victory by 6 runs. For the losers White took 14 wickets in the match for 81 runs, including 8 for 40 in the Suffolk first innings.

[Missing: Part fall of wickets; in Suffolk 1st inns confirm White bowled 8 maidens not 1; in Suffolk 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm White bowled 22.1 overs not 20.1, Marsh conceded 52 runs not 53 or 63 and Coleman conceded 6 runs not 5; in Herts 1st inns confirm Penfold bowled 11.2 overs not 11.4; in Herts 2nd inns check batting order based on EADT account, and confirm Cobbold bowled 17.4 overs not 17 and 5 maidens not 10; precise time completed.]

Sources: Hertfordshire Express 3 July; Hertfordshire Leader 29 June (Tony Webb); Watford Observer 3 July (Bob Simons); East Anglian Daily Times 29,30 June; D F Durham's Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); The Sportsman 29,30 June; Cricket 22 July, p 287 (Tony Webb).

Status: *Sent* Draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the *Herts* County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.

1 Sept 2006

Colin Mumford ✓

1909 Herts v Suffolk Welford 1909 June 28, 29

19 Suffolk 1st innings

FOW. 1-? EADT narrative states "3 runs came of the latter (Marsh) in 2 overs, while sent down a couple of maidens & with the 1st ball of the 3rd got Hill-Wood c..." There was only 1 extra in the innings - a bye. Is it safe to assume that the 1st wicket fell at 3?

You appear to accept 1-3 but have not entered it!

Herts bowling 1st innings

White. Evidence favours 8 maidens not 1

White 8 maidens ✓

Suffolk 2nd innings

Order The EADT narrative is reasonably full and I think we should follow it. I do not think it is possible to square it with the Welford Obs. account. Reject alternative

Order as pointed ✓

Herts bowling 2nd innings

White. Happy to accept he bowled 22.1 overs

White 22.1 overs ✓

Marsh. Prefer ~~EA~~ bracket at 52 runs conceded

Marsh 52 runs ✓

Bolema Prefer 6 runs conceded - this is supported by all except Welford Obs. Well not averages settle the issue for all 3 of them conceded. ✓

Suffolk bowling 1st innings

Penfold - Overs - Herts leader, bracket and EADT give 11.2 against Penfold 11.2 overs ✓
Welford Obs 11.4. Accept 11.2

Herts 2nd innings

Order I do not think the Welford Obs. order can possibly be correct therefore accept EADT order as pointed. There is a little doubt about some as you have indicated but I am happy to accept the pointed order

Order as pointed ✓

Suffolk bowling 2nd innings

Bobbold It seems probable that Bobbold obtained the final wicket during an over therefore I am prepared to accept 17.4 overs even though this does not agree with the averages. Well accept 5 maidens not 10 as the averages will then agree

Bobbold 17.4 overs ✓
5 maidens ✓

1/09/06.

Suffolk 1st innings I am prepared to accept FOW 1-3 if you are ✓

FOW 1-3
3/11/06

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK

At The West Herts Ground, Cassio Road, Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909

Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: G Perkins and J Relf

Debutants: Hertfordshire none; Suffolk 1 (CW Alexander)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103; Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*)

Suffolk

C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	6	(1)	c Clarke b Marsh	4
S H Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White	1	(9)	not out	11
C W Alexander	b White	21	(2)	b White	9
Quaife, W	b White	2	(3)	c Doll b Marsh	2
Capt F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	2	(4)	c Marsh b White	2
K Raynor	c Harford b White	24	(7)	b White	33
R L L Braddell	b White	23	(5)	c Cowley b Marsh	31
Capt J T C Murray	b White	2	(6)	b White	7
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White	1	(8)	b White	0
* P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White	5	(10)	c Cowley b Coleman	0
+ P P Cornell	not out	0	(11)	c Butcher b White	0
Extras	b 1	1		b 4	4
Total		88			103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings		13	20		40	68	75	83	83	88
Second innings	10	11	19	51	57	69				103

White	21.1	8	40	8	-	-	(1)	22.1	10	41	6	-	-
Marsh	13	4	29	2	-	-	(2)	18	2	52	3	-	-
Golding	8	2	18	0	-	-							
Coleman							(3)	4	0	6	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	4	0	-	-
Total	42.1	14	88	10	0	0		42.1	12	103	10	0	0

Hertfordshire

Coleman, W E	b Penfold	13	(9)	b Penfold	5
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold	3	(1)	run out	16
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold	0	(4)	b Braddell	9
White, H E	c Quaife b Cobbold	1	(3)	b Penfold	4
+ E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold	1	(2)	c Wilson b Cobbold	20
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold	4	(5)	c Cobbold b Penfold	14
A Butcher	b Penfold	6	(6)	c Cornell b Braddell	11
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold	0	(7)	c Braddell b Cobbold	27
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold	13	(10)	b Penfold	0
* H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold	6	(8)	b Cobbold	1
W H Marsh	not out	23	(11)	not out	4
Extras	b 1	1		b 3	3
Total		71			114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	9	9	17	17	21	25	26	41	41	71
Second innings	29	41	45	66		85	108	108	110	114

Cobbold	12	5	32	6	-	-	(1)	17.4	5	32	3	-	-
Penfold	11.2	4	38	4	-	-	(2)	21	4	50	4	-	-
Braddell							(3)	7	3	23	2	-	-
Wilson							(4)	1	0	6	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	-
Total	23.2	9	71	10	0	0		46.4	12	114	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won this closely fought match by 6 runs before lunch on the second day. The 6 run margin of victory was the closest of the season. The match was played on a sticky wicket resulting from the heavy rain in the days immediately preceding the game. Hertfordshire needed 121 runs to win in their second innings and scored 27 runs without loss on the late afternoon of the first day. Cowley looked to be taking his team to victory, but with the last man in 11 runs were still required. 4 runs were added, then Cowley hit out at Penfold, and Braddell is reported as having sprinted a full 30 yards to hold a 'wonder catch' and give Suffolk victory by 6 runs. For the losers White took 14 wickets in the match for 81 runs, including 8 for 40 in the Suffolk first innings.

[Missing: Part fall of wickets; precise time completed.]

Sources: Hertfordshire Express 3 July; Hertfordshire Leader 29 June (Tony Webb); Watford Observer 3 July (Bob Simons); East Anglian Daily Times 29,30 June; D F Durham's Suffolk Ledger (Colin Mumford); The Sportsman 29,30 June; Cricket 22 July, p 287 (Tony Webb).

Status: Second draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the Hertfordshire County Statistician for additions, comment and agreement.

MATCH NUMBER 19 : HERTFORDSHIRE V SUFFOLK

At The West Herts Cricket Club Ground, Cassio Road, Watford on Monday 28, Tuesday 29 June 1909

Result: Suffolk won by 6 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: G Perkins and J Relf

Debutants: Hertfordshire none; Suffolk 1 (CW Alexander)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 103; Hertfordshire 71 and 27-0 wicket (A J Golding 16*, E H Cuthbertson 8*)

Suffolk

C L Alexander	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	6	(1)	c Clarke b Marsh	4
Hon S Hill-Wood	c Coleman b White	1	(9)	not out	11
C W Alexander	b White	21	(2)	b White	9
Quaife, W	b White	2	(3)	c Doll b Marsh	2
Capt F T D Wilson	c Titchmarsh b Marsh	2	(4)	c Marsh b White	2
K Raynor	c Harford b White	24	(7)	b White	33
R L L Braddell	b White	23	(5)	c Cowley b Marsh	31
Capt J T C Murray	b White	2	(6)	b White	7
Penfold, W	c Marsh b White	1	(8)	b White	0
* P W Cobbold	c Marsh b White	5	(10)	c Cowley b Coleman	0
+ P P Cornell	not out	0	(11)	c Butcher b White	0
Extras	b I	1		b 4	4
Total		88			103

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	3	13	20		40	68	75	83	83	88
Second innings	10	11	19	51	57	69				103

White	21.1	8	40	8	-	-	(1)	22.1	10	41	6	-	-
Marsh	13	4	29	2	-	-	(2)	18	2	52	3	-	-
Golding	8	2	18	0	-	-							
Coleman							(3)	4	0	6	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	4	0	-	-
Total	42.1	14	88	10	0	0		42.1	12	103	10	0	0

Hertfordshire

Coleman, W E	b Penfold	13	(9)	b Penfold	5
Golding, A J	lbw b Cobbold	3	(1)	run out	16
A E Clarke	st Cornell b Cobbold	0	(4)	b Braddell	9
White, H E	c Quaife b Cobbold	1	(3)	b Penfold	4
+ E H Cuthbertson	st Cornell b Cobbold	1	(2)	c Wilson b Cobbold	20
C H Titchmarsh	c Raynor b Cobbold	4	(5)	c Cobbold b Penfold	14
A Butcher	b Penfold	6	(6)	c Cornell b Braddell	11
R B Cowley	c Quaife b Penfold	0	(7)	c Braddell b Cobbold	27
M H C Doll	c and b Cobbold	13	(10)	b Penfold	0
* H M Harford	c C W Alexander b Penfold	6	(8)	b Cobbold	1
W H Marsh	not out	23	(11)	not out	4
Extras	b I	1		b 3	3
Total		71			114

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	9	9	17	17	21	25	26	41	41	71
Second innings	29	41	45	66		85	108	108	110	114

Cobbold	12	5	32	6	-	-	(1)	17.4	5	32	3	-	-
Penfold	11.2	4	38	4	-	-	(2)	21	4	50	4	-	-
Braddell							(3)	7	3	23	2	-	-
Wilson							(4)	1	0	6	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	1	0	-	-		-	-	3	1	-	-
Total	23.2	9	71	10	0	0		46.4	12	114	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won this closely fought match by 6 runs before lunch on the second day. The 6 run margin of victory was the closest of the season. The match was played on a sticky wicket resulting from the heavy rain in the days immediately preceding the match. Hertfordshire needed 121 runs to win in their second innings and scored 27 runs without loss on the late afternoon of the first day. Cowley looked to be taking his team to victory, but with the last man in 11 runs were still required. 4 runs were added, then Cowley hit out at Penfold, and Braddell is reported as having sprinted a full 30 yards to hold a 'wonder catch' and give Suffolk victory by 6 runs. For the losers White took 14 wickets in the match for 81 runs, including 8 for 40 in the Suffolk first innings.

[Missing: Part fall of wickets; precise time completed.]

Sources: Hertfordshire Express 3 July; Hertfordshire Leader 29 June; Watford Observer 3 July; East Anglian Daily Times 29,30 June; The Sportsman 29,30 June; Cricket 22 July, p 287.

Status: Revised draft match score.