

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION**

At The Town Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911

Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none

First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)	c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)	c Rogers b Morcom	12
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24
Hon S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24
Trudgett, F G	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20
Extras		10			3
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>			<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181		192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67			91	95	139

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>39.1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	(2)	c Penfold b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	(4)	c Mustard b Penfold	22
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	(3)	c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	(8)	b Penfold	0
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	(5)	b Trudgett	17
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	(1)	c Mustard b Trudgett	8
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	(6)	c and b Trudgett	0
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	(7)	b Penfold	32
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	( )	b Trudgett	1
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	( )	not out	2
H Grierson	not out	9	( )	run out	13
Extras		11			8
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63	74	115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

Penfold	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Trudgett	28.4	5	74	4	-	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings fell 59 runs short. The match between the two sides at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs, including 6 wickets for 58 runs in the Bedfordshire second innings. H L Wilson scored 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50. Morcom took 5 wickets for 56 runs in the Suffolk first innings and Wharmby 5 wickets for 49 runs in the second. On the first day overnight rain delayed the start until 12.30 pm, and bad light ended play early. In the Suffolk first innings three wickets fell with the score at 106.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50; in Bedfordshire second innings part batting order; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Bedfordshire Times 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23, 24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520.]

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 29 June 2015 2015 (CM/KP/AJW)

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION**

At The Town Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911

Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none

First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)	c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)	c Rogers b Morcom	12
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24
Hon S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24
Trudgett, F G	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20
Extras		10			3
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>			<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181		192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67			91	95	139

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>39.1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	(2)	c Penfold b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	(4)	c Mustard b Penfold	22
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	(3)	c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	(8)	b Penfold	0
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	(5)	b Trudgett	17
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	(1)	c Mustard b Trudgett	8
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	(6)	c and b Trudgett	0
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	(7)	b Penfold	32
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	( )	b Trudgett	1
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	( )	not out	2
H Grierson	not out	9	( )	run out	13
Extras		11			8
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63		115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

Penfold	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Trudgett	28.4	5	74	4	-	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings fell 59 runs short. The match between the two sides at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs, including 6 wickets for 58 runs in the Bedfordshire second innings. H L Wilson scored 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50. Morcom took 5 wickets for 56 runs in the Suffolk first innings and Wharmby 5 wickets for 49 runs in the second. On the first day overnight rain delayed the start until 12.30 pm, and bad light ended play early. In the Suffolk first innuings three wickets fell with the score at 106.

[Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50; in Bedfordshire second innings part batting order; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Bedfordshire Times 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23,24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520.]

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 2 June 2015 2015 (CM/KP/AJW)

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION**

At Felixstowe Town Cricket Club Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911

Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none

First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)	c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)	c Rogers b Morcom	12
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24
Hon S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24
Trudgett, F G	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20
Extras		10			3
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>			<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181		192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67			91	95	139

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>39.1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	(2)	c Penfold b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	(4)	c Mustard b Penfold	22
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	(3)	c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	(8)	b Penfold	0
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	(5)	b Trudgett	17
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	(1)	c Mustard b Trudgett	8
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	(6)	c and b Trudgett	0
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	(7)	b Penfold	32
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	( )	b Trudgett	1
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	( )	not out	2
H Grierson	not out	9	( )	run out	13
Extras		11			8
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63	74	115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

Penfold	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Trudgett	28.4	5	74	4	-	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings fell 59 runs short. The match between the two sides at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs, including 6 wickets for 58 runs in the Bedfordshire second innings. H L Wilson scored 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50. Morcom took 5 wickets for 56 runs in the Suffolk first innings and Wharmby 5 wickets for 49 runs in the second. On the first day overnight rain delayed the start until 12.30 pm, and bad light ended play early. In the Suffolk first innuings three wickets fell with the score at 106.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61, and fall of ninth wicket; in Suffolk second innings fall of sixth and, seventh wickets; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50, and fall of fifth wicket; in Bedfordshire second innings part batting order, and fall of third, eighth and ninth wicket; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Bedfordshire Times 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23,24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520.]

Status: Revised draft match score.

Copy before correction/additions sent to Cohen on 25/5/15.

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION**  
 At Felixstowe Town Cricket Club Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911  
 Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk  
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none  
 First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

Suffolk									
* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)	c and b Wharmby	12				
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0				
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)	c Rogers b Morcom	12				
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17				
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1				
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24				
Hon S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19				
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7				
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0				
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24				
Trudgett, F G	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20				
Extras		10			3				
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>			<b>139</b>				

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181		192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67			91	95	139

	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-	-
Morcom													
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>		<b>39.1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Bedfordshire									
Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	(2)	c Penfold b Trudgett	2				
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	(4)	c Mustard b Penfold	22				
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	(3)	c and b Trudgett	15				
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	(8)	b Penfold	0				
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	(5)	b Trudgett	17				
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	(1)	c Mustard b Trudgett	8				
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	(6)	c and b Trudgett	0				
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	(7)	b Penfold	32				
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	( )	b Trudgett	1				
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	( )	not out	2				
H Grierson	not out	9	( )	run out	13				
Extras		11			8				
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>				

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63	74	115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Penfold													
Trudgett	28.4	5	74	4	-	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings. The match at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs. H L Wilson scored 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50. Rain delayed the start of play on the first day, and bad light ended play early. In the Suffolk first innings three wickets fell with the score at 106.  
 [Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61, and fall of ninth wicket; in Suffolk second innings fall of sixth/seventh and eighth wickets; and check and confirm whether Morcom bowled 4.1 overs or 4; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50, and fall of fifth wicket; in Bedfordshire second innings part batting order, and fall of third, eighth and ninth wicket; time completed.]  
 Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23, 24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520.]

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project - 25 May 2015 (CM/KP/AJW)

including 6 wickets for 58 runs in the Bedfordshire second innings

Morcom took 5 wickets for 56 runs in the Suffolk first innings and Wharmby 5 for 49 in the second.

X

fell 59 runs short

X

12.30  
 Berks  
 Times 25 August  
 (No 62)

Oversight

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE**

At Felixstowe Town Cricket Club Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911

Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none

First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)✓	c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)✓	c Rogers b Morcom	12
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24
S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24
Trudgett, F	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20
Extras		10		?	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>		<b>(all-out)</b>	<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181		192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67				95	139

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1?	0	22	2	-	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>39.1?</b>	<b>7?</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	(1)	c Penfold b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	(2)	c Mustard b Penfold	22
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	(3)	c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	(4)	b Penfold	0
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	(5)	b Trudgett	17
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	(6)	c Mustard b Trudgett	8
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	(7)	c and b Trudgett	0
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	(8)	b Penfold	32
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	(9)	b Trudgett	1
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	(10)	not out	2
H Grierson	not out	9	(11)	run out	13
Extras		11			8
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63		115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

Penfold	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Trudgett	28.9	21.2?	5	74	4	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>54.2?</b>	<b>16?</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs at 7.30 pm on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings. The match at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs. There were 7 fours in H L Wilson's 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50 which contained 7 fours. Rain delayed the start of play on the first day.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; part fall-of-wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61, check and confirm batting order, confirm Wharmby bowled 23 overs not 13, and confirm Orr conceded 34 runs not 32; in Suffolk second innings check and confirm batting order; confirm Morcom bowled 4.1 overs not 4, confirm Wharmby bowled 4 maidens as in all sources except Suffolk ones, confirm Wharmby took 5 wickets not 6, and confirm bowling order of Wharmby second and Grierson third; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50, confirm Trudgett bowled 21.2 overs not 28.4, and confirm Knight bowled 1 maiden not none and conceded 19 runs not 21; in Bedfordshire second innings batting order; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Durham Ledger (Colin Munford); Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23, 24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520 (Tony Webb). [East Anglian Daily Times, Bedford and District Circular and Bedfordshire Mercury not held at Colindale.]

Status: Revised draft match score - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.

96 Suffolk v Beds Evesham 1911 Aug 22, 23. Comments on 26 Feb 2009 versionSuffolk 1<sup>st</sup> innings(a) Batting order The EADT narrative supports the pointed order. Orders do not assist

Order as pointed ✓

(4) Beds bowling

(i) Wharmby Overbowled EADT + BSF give 23 overs bowled. Accept this unless averages reveal otherwise

Wharmby 23 overs bowled ✓

(ii) Orr 34/32 runs conceded The CR analysis adds 2 short-runout. Accept EADT + Bed St 34 runs conceded. It also agrees

Orr 34 runs conceded ✓

Suffolk 2<sup>nd</sup> innings

(a) Do we need "all out"?

(b) Batting Order We only have EADT narrative to help and this supports the pointed order

Order as pointed ✓

(c) Beds bowling

(i) Morcom - Beds St give 4 overs bowled as does CR whilst EADT gives 4.1. Willing to accept 4 overs unless averages reveal otherwise although I am a little suspicious when innings end on a complete number of overs

Morcom 4 overs ✓  
bowled

(ii) Wharmby - Maedem - willing to accept Beds swears unless averages reveal otherwise

Wharmby 4 ✓  
maedem

(iii) Order EADT narrative states "Morcom &amp; Wharmby were the bowlers" and "Gyerson came on for Morcom."

Order as pointed ✓

Beds 1<sup>st</sup> innings(a) Suffolk Bowling

(i) Tredgett 21.2/28.4 overbowled. 21.2 overs would mean Penfold bowled throughout the innings and therefore must have bowled (2) but EADT narrative states "Penfold gave way to Knight," 28.4 better fits the averages

Tredgett 28.4 ✓  
overbowled  
did not in any version(ii) Knight Averages require 1 maiden to be bowled  
Averages require 19 runs to be concededKnight 1 maiden ✓  
and 19 runs conceded.I note that for Knight 21 runs conceded is the figure for the 2<sup>nd</sup> innings as is 21.2 overs for TredgettBeds 2<sup>nd</sup> innings(c) Batting order EADT narrative suggest (1) Orr (2) Wharmby (3) Boequet (4) Holdstock (5) Lythorp (6) Morcom (7) Leventhorpe (8) Rogers cannot go any further

Accept these ✓

DN 14/5/15

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE**

At Felixstowe Town Cricket Club Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup>, Wednesday 23<sup>rd</sup> August 1911

Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: X X

Debutants: Suffolk none

Bedfordshire none

First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

*BAW a 1st win*

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0
S H Hill-Wood	not out	39
D Mustard	b Morcom	3
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4
Trudgett, F	st Apthorp b Orr	0
Extras	? X X	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>(all out)</b>	<b>192</b>

c and b Wharmby	12
b Wharmby	0
c Rogers b Morcom	12
c Saunders b Grierson	17
c Wharmby b Grierson	1
c Wharmby b Grierson	24
lbw b Wharmby	19
b Wharmby	7
b Wharmby	0
not out	24
c Bocquet b Morcom	20
? X X	3
<b>(all out)</b>	<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181	95	192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67					

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>

(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-
(2)	12	2	53	3	-
(3)	19	4	49	5	5.8
(4)	4	1	12	0	-
			3	0	-
			10	0	-
<b>LBR a 1st win</b>	<b>39.1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>

*Not in LBR*

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50
Rogers, -	b Penfold	1
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19
* H R Orr	b Knight	20
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0
H Grierson	not out	9
Extras	? X X	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>(all out)</b>	<b>153</b>

c Penfold b Trudgett	2
c Mustard b Penfold	22
c and b Trudgett	15
b Penfold	0
b Trudgett	17
c Mustard b Trudgett	8
c and b Trudgett	0
b Penfold	32
b Trudgett	1
not out	1
run out	13
? X X	8
<b>(all out)</b>	<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63	115	124	138	138	153	153
Second innings	11	11	68	74	95	96				120

Penfold	21.2	21.2	27.5	10	49	4	-
Trudgett	28.4	5	74	4	-	-	-
Knight	8.4	6	1	15	2	2	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>

(1)	16	4	33	3	-
(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-
(3)	6	0	21	0	-
			8	1	-
			10	0	-
<b>NA in LBR</b>	<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>-</b>

*NA in LBR*

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs at 7 pm on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings. The match at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs. There were 7 fours in H L Wilson's 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50 which contained 7 fours. [Missing: Umpires, debutants; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61; in Suffolk second innings batting order; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's first innings; in Bedfordshire second innings batting order; Rogers's initials; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23,24 August; Durham Ledger (Colin Munford) BS 25 Aug (OAW)  
 Beth Advertiser 25 Aug (POW) LBR 26 Aug (POW) 15 23,24 August (AJW)

Status: First draft match score - to check Bedfordshire and other sources for missing details.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project - 25 November 2008 (CM/KP/AJW)

*Rain delayed start on first day.*

BEDFORDSHIRE v. SUFFOLK.

AN EVEN GAME.

Rain fell heavily at Felixstowe overnight, and the wicket was in such a sodden condition that it was impossible to make a start in this, the last Suffolk fixture, on Tuesday until 12.30. The Suffolk skipper won the toss, and went in with Penfold, the attack being in the hands of A. F. Morcom and H. Grierson.

Wilson opened the scoring with a nice glide to leg off Morcom's second delivery, and drove Grierson's first ball finely to the pavilion. Penfold, who, by the bye, wants 88 runs to complete his 1,000 this season, started his account with one of his characteristic shots to leg for 4 off Grierson. The ground was decidedly slippery, and the batsmen had some difficulty in getting a footing on occasions. When Penfold had made 8 he received a life, Glamthorpe dropping a "sitter" in the slips off Morcom. At 35, Wharmby went on for Grierson. It was from the other end that the separation came, however, at 36 Penfold being bowled by Morcom with one that scarcely runs at all, and was quite unplayable. S. G. Bennett joined the Suffolk skipper, and the home county had a narrow shave of losing another wicket. The batsmen attempted a very doubtful run, and Bocquet returned the ball smartly, but Wharmby failed to gather it or else Bennett must have been run out. From the commencement Wilson batted with every con Science, and made some delightful shots, driving with great power and judgment. Penfold went up without further loss, and H. R. Orr succeeded Wharmby. Wilson then made his first mistake, returning one to the French bowler, but the bats were again kind to Suffolk, and Orr failed to take advantage of the chance. Bennett was not over comfortable, and made one of two lucky shots, though they can hardly be described as cannon.

The day was very overcast when play was resumed after lunch, H. L. Wilson (44) and S. G. Bennett being the bowlers of Morcom and Wharmby. The Suffolk captain completed his 50 with a lovely cut to the boundary off Wharmby. Runs did not come very fast for a time, and the batsmen showed the bowling every respect. Wilson gave a hard chance to Morcom for a c and b, but it would have been a fine catch had he brought it on. Bennett was timing the ball much better than in the early part of his innings, and seemed more at home. He got in one lovely drive to the off for 4, at the expense of Wharmby, and both batsmen commenced to hit with more freedom. "H. L." sent in the hundred amidst loud applause with one of his fine shots to the leg boundary, but in endeavouring to hit Wharmby again was caught by Morcom for a capital knock of 61. Although he saw one or two chances it was as breezy and attractive as innings as you could wish to see, and the tactics adopted by the Suffolk skipper were quite the right sort on the wicket. A hundred and three was a capital start for the home county, and the edict went forth to hit. The effect was somewhat disastrous for at 100 Bennett was caught at the wicket for a very useful century of 84. Then followed a sensational collapse, both W. Rendell and T. W. E. Wilson being caught at the wicket by Apthorp of successive balls from Morcom, and the "wicket" were down for 106. S. Hill-Wood went in to save the hat trick, and played the last two balls of the over. P. L. Titchmarsh undid the collapse of the previous wicket, but did so smartly and scored 10 in three balls. Hill-Wood opened his account with a nice stroke to the leg boundary off Wharmby. At 125 Titchmarsh was bowled by a fast Yorker from Morcom. The crowd had indeed undergone a rapid change, but worse was to follow, D. Mustard, at 133 pulling one from Morcom on to his wicket. L. Knight, the Suffolk County Asylum player, next joined Hill-Wood, who was playing a good defensive game. Knight made one of his good shots on the leg side, and matters somewhat improved.

At 150 Grierson went on at the pavilion end for Wharmby. The ex-Derbyshire captain and Knight played excellent cricket. The latter made a most auspicious debut in his first county match, and with Hill-Wood brought the score to 181 before he hit out at a full toss from Orr, and was caught. The pair had put on 48 for the eighth wicket, and the stand was an invaluable one, coming as it did at a time when things were looking more than black for Suffolk. The innings closed for 192, Hill-Wood carrying his bat for a faultless innings of 59. He played a splendid game for his side, at a most critical time, and was warmly applauded by the large crowd on his return to the pavilion. A. P. Morcom was the most successful of the bowlers, taking five wickets for 66. Wharmby and Holdstock started for Bedfordshire to the bowling of Penfold and Trudgett. Success early came to Suffolk, the Bury pro, clean bowling Holdstock in his second over, and with only 8 runs scored. R. N. Bocquet filled the vacancy. There was more evidence, for Trudgett then beat Wharmby all ends up, and two wickets were down for 12 runs. Rogers next became associated with Bocquet. The bowling was very good, and the batsmen played with the greatest caution runs only coming in singles. With the score at 25 Rogers was clean bowled by Penfold, and E. E. Apthorp joined Bocquet, and the pair soon retrieved the bad start, and although unable to take any further wickets the attack never missed an opportunity of scoring. In consequence the score mounted steadily. The holding of the Suffolk men was capital, and F. P. Cornell was frequently applauded for his smart "keeping." Knight was given a trial with the ball in place of Penfold, and almost immediately met with success, Apthorp being caught by Hill-Wood off the Merton man's second ball, the fourth wicket falling at 65. H. R. Orr followed, and both men scored steadily. Bocquet especially making some delightful shots, and the pair saw the 100 up. Four runs later, however, the Bedfordshire skipper was bowled by Knight for a capital knock of 20. Play was stopped shortly afterwards owing to the bad light, Bedfordshire having lost five wickets for 10, so that the game is in a very interesting condition. Considering the dull weather, there was a capital attendance, over 24 being taken at the gate. The even state of the match, and the fact that the better attendance is likely to be met on Wednesday, when play commences at 10.45. If Bedfordshire succeed in winning the match, they will be second to Staffordshire in the competition, and be in a position to challenge them for final honours.

Score—

H. L. Wilson, c Morcom, b Wharmby	61
Penfold, b Morcom	42
S. G. Bennett, c Apthorp, b Wharmby	84
F. L. Titchmarsh, b Morcom	11
W. Rendell, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
T. W. E. Wilson, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
S. Hill-Wood, not out	59
D. Mustard, b Morcom	5
L. Knight, c Saunders, b Orr	26
P. P. Cornell, b Orr	4
Trudgett, c Apthorp, b Hill-Wood	0
H. R. Orr	0
Extras	10
	192

SUFFOLK v BEDFORDSHIRE

at FELIXSTOWE

on 1911 AUG. 22, 23

BEDFORDSHIRE.

Wharmby, b Trudgett	5
Holdstock, b Penfold	4
R. N. Bocquet, not out	47
Rogers, bs Penfold	1
E. E. Apthorp, c Hill-Wood, b Knight	19
H. R. Orr, b Knight	20
A. F. Morcom, not out	0
Extras	8

104 BOWLING ANALYSIS. Suffolk—1st innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
A. F. Morcom	26	8	56	5
H. Grierson	7	1	28	
Wharmby	23	5	64	2
H. R. Orr	6.5	—	34	3



## SUFFOLK'S FINE VICTORY

### TRUDGETT NONPLUSSES THE BATSMEN.

(From our Special Representative.)  
This game was resumed in much brighter weather at Felixstone on Wednesday. Over eight Bedfordshire had lost five wickets for 104, the home county having been disposed of for 192. The game, therefore, was in a most interesting state, and a fair number of spectators witnessed the resumption. E. N. Bocquet and A. F. Morcom resumed to the bowling of Trudgett and Penfold. Success early attended the home county, for at 115 Bocquet returned one to Penfold having only added 5 to his overnight score. He had made 50 by capital cricket, his brisk hitting overnight having proved a great asset to the side. Indeed, in the light of later events the Beds score without his innings would have been a poor one indeed. G. S. Leventhorpe joined Morcom, but at 124 Penfold bowled the latter. Leventhorpe made some pretty strokes on the leg side, and with S. V. Graham took the score to 138. Trudgett then beating him. T. Saunders was leg before wicket to Trudgett at the same score, and nine wickets were down for 138. N. Grierson was the last man, and the wicket caused some little trouble before Trudgett got Graham leg before. The innings closed for 153, the home county having a lead of 39 runs on the first innings. Penfold, Trudgett, and Knight all bowled splendidly, and the holding of the homesters left nothing to be desired.

The order of the Suffolk going in was altered, H. L. Wilson taking S. G. Bennett to the wickets with him. Morcom and Wharmby were the bowlers. Bennett opened with a nice hit for 4 to leg, and the Suffolk skipper was again in form, runs coming freely. At 20, however, Bennett just touched one from Morcom, and was caught by Rogers, the first wicket falling at 22. F. L. Titchmarsh joined Wilson, but at 38 the latter was dismissed by Wharmby. S. Hill-Wood next joined Titchmarsh, and Grierson came on for Morcom. Titchmarsh, although far from comfortable at times, made some capital shots. He was eventually caught in the long field by Saunders off Grierson for a useful 17, the third wicket falling at 52. David Mustard then became associated with the ex-Derbyshire skipper, and the pair played careful cricket, the bowling being very good. A piece of bad luck then befell Suffolk, Mustard playing on to Wharmby at 62. The tale of disaster continued, for Randell was caught off Grierson for a single, and five wickets were down for 67, the home county only being 166 on, with half their wickets gone. Wharmby continued to carry everything before him, clean bowling Penfold and Knight before they had scored. T. W. E. Wilson then joined Hill-Wood.

T. W. E. Wilson made some capital shots, and scored freely all round the wicket. At 91, Hill-Wood's patient innings was concluded, Wharmby getting him leg before in the last over before lunch. On resuming, P. P. Cornell accompanied Wilson to the wickets, but in attempting to hit a good length one from Grierson the Hadleigh player was caught at mid-off. Wilson's was a very bright effort, and he had the distinction of being top scorer up to the present. When Trudgett joined Cornell, those who thought it was all over were much mistaken, for quite the brightest cricket of the day resulted, Trudgett coming out as a bat. His hitting on occasions was quite jessopian, and aroused enthusiasm. At the same time he was not reckless, and played several balls extremely well. P. P. Cornell played fine cricket, making some delightful shots on both sides of the wicket, and the pair were responsible for the best last wicket stand that Suffolk has known for a long time, putting on 44 runs before Trudgett was caught. It was a capital performance on the part of both men, and they were warmly applauded on returning to the pavilion. Thanks to their spirited batting, Bedfordshire were set 179 to get to win—a none too easy task on the wicket. Wharmby was the most successful of the bowler, capturing 5 wickets for 40 runs, Grierson took 3 for 55, and Morcom 2 for 22. H. R. Orr and Wharmby started on Bedfordshire's task to the bowling of Penfold and Trudgett. The Bedfordshire skipper made two nice boundary hits, and was then well caught in the deep field by Mustard off Trudgett, the first wicket falling at 11. P. N. Bocquet joined Wharmby, but further success attended the home county, the Bedfordshire pro. being finally caught low down in the

slip by Penfold off Trudgett, without another run being added. Holdstock followed. The new-comer and Bocquet scored steadily, and Penfold gave way to Knight. It was from the other end that a separation came, however, Bocquet being c and b by Trudgett from a long hop. As on the previous day, Bocquet was batting in good form, and the Suffolk men must have been glad to see him leave. E. E. Apthorp soon got going, making some very pretty outs off Knight, and the score mounted steadily. It was Trudgett again who did the necessary, at 58 bowling Apthorp for a well-played 17. Trudgett has more than proved his worth again this year, and there is no doubt that the county team would not be complete without him. Knight did not meet with any success, and Penfold again went on at the top end. The policy almost immediately bore fruit, for Holdstock was caught by Mustard in his first over, the fifth wicket falling at 74, of which the outgoing batsman had contributed a very useful knock of 22. A. F. Morcom followed. Leventhorpe batted very steadily, and an interesting finish seemed probable. Trudgett was again the one to break up the partnership, catching and bowling Morcom at 95. Rogers was the next to become associated with Leventhorpe, and the latter was then badly missed by Randell off Penfold, the batsman skying one which the fieldsman had plenty of time to judge, and had the ball right into his hands only to drop it. Penfold, however, clean bowled Rogers with his next ball but one, and seven wickets were down for 96. With Leventhorpe bowled by Penfold the end soon came, and Suffolk gained a great victory by 58 runs. The bowling of the Suffolk pros. was excellent, and Trudgett distinguished himself by taking six wickets for 58 runs. A whip round for the two bowlers was cordially responded to, everyone being anxious to recognise the splendid part they took in a victory which was hardly fought and finely won.

Score:—

SUFFOLK.	
H. L. Wilson, c Morcom, b Wharmby	61
Penfold, b Morcom	12
S. G. Bennett, c Apthorp, b Wharmby	26
F. L. Titchmarsh, b Morcom	11
W. Randell, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
T. W. E. Wilson, c thorp, b Morcom	0
S. Hill-Wood, not out	39
D. Mustard, b Morcom	3
L. Knight, c Saunders, b Orr	26
P. P. Cornell, b Orr	4
Trudgett, st Apthorp, b Orr	0
Extras	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>192</b>

BEDFORDSHIRE.	
Wharmby, b Trudgett	5
Holdstock, b Penfold	4
R. N. Bocquet, c and b Penfold	50
Rogers, b Penfold	1
E. E. Apthorp, c Hill-Wood, b Knight	19
H. R. Orr, b Knight	20
A. F. Morcom, b Penfold	10
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Trudgett	20
S. V. Graham, lbw, b Trudgett	4
Trudgett, lbw, b Trudgett	0
H. Grierson, not out	9
Extras	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>153</b>

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

Suffolk—1st innings.			
	O.	M.	R.
A. F. Morcom	26	8	56
H. Grierson	7	1	28
Wharmby	23	5	64
H. R. Orr	6.5	—	34
Suffolk—2nd innings.			
A. F. Morcom	4.1	—	22
Wharmby	19	3	49
H. Grierson	2	—	53
H. R. Orr	4	1	12
Bedfordshire—1st innings.			
Penfold	27	10	49
Trudgett	28.4	5	74
L. Knight	6	1	19
Bedfordshire—2nd innings.			
Penfold	16	4	35
Trudgett	21.2	6	58
L. Knight	6	—	21

**BEDS. v. SUFFOLK.**

The concluding match of the tour and the season opened on Tuesday at Felixstowe. From the first the fates seemed to be fighting against Bedfordshire. On a hard dry wicket the probability was that Beds. would have repeated their nine wicket victory gained at Wardown, for Suffolk were remarkably short of bowling. Rain delayed the start, and Suffolk having won the toss quickly scored a century, while the pitch was still easy for the loss of only Penfold's wicket. Thereafter, with the light bad and the wicket getting worse, runs were always difficult to get, and that early advantage, coupled with the fact that the wicket suited Penfold and Trudgett, decided the issue, struggle as hard as the visitors would. Bedfordshire really did well to get within 39 of Suffolk's total. The feature of the visitors' innings was Bocquet's 50, for which he played brisk cricket. Bedfordshire had made 104 for five wickets when bad light caused play to cease for the day.

The game was resumed in much brighter weather on Wednesday. It was in a most interesting state. Bocquet and Morcom resumed to the bowling of Trudgett and Penfold. Success early attended the home county, for at 115 Bocquet returned one to Penfold, having only added 5 to his overnight score. G. S. Leventhorpe joined Morcom, but at 124 Penfold bowled the latter. Leventhorpe made some pretty strokes on the leg side, and with S. V. Graham took the score to 138, Trudgett then beating him. Saunders was leg before wicket to Trudgett at the same score, and nine wickets were down for 133. Grierson was the last man, and the wicket caused some little trouble before Trudgett got Graham leg before.

Half the home side were out a second time at 67, and Wharmby continued taking everything before him, bowling Penfold and Knight before they had scored. Wilson and Hill-Wood made a noteworthy stand, while a last wicket stand between Cornell and Trudgett set Bedfordshire 174 to get to win—a formidable task for a fourth innings on a wicket like that. It proved too much for Trudgett got on the spot, and Bedfordshire were defeated by 57 runs.

In Suffolk's first innings Morcom captured 5 for 56, Wharmby two for 64, and Orr three for 32. In the second, Wharmby took 5 for 49, Morcom two for 22, and Grierson three for 53. Scores:—

**SUFFOLK.**

**First Innings.**

H. L. Wilson, c Morcom, b Wharmby	61
Penfold, b Morcom	12
S. G. Bennett, c Apthorp, b Wharmby	23
W. Randall, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
S. Hill-Wood, not out	39
D. Mustard, b Morcom	3
F. L. Titchmarsh, b Morcom	11
T. W. E. Wilson, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
L. Knight, c Saunders, b Orr	23
P. P. Cornell, b Orr	4
Trudgett, c Apthorp, b Orr	0
Extras	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>192</b>

**Second Innings.**

H. L. Wilson, c and b Wharmby	12
S. G. Bennett, c Rogers, b Morcom	13
F. L. Titchmarsh, c Saunders, b Grierson	17
S. Hill-Wood, lbw., b Wharmby	19
D. Mustard, b Wharmby	7
W. Randall, c Wharmby, b Grierson	1
Penfold, b Wharmby	0
L. Knight, b Wharmby	0
T. W. E. Wilson, c Wharmby, b Grierson	24
P. P. Cornell, not out	24
Trudgett, c Bocquet, b Morcom	20
Extras	3
<b>Total</b>	<b>139</b>

**BEDFORDSHIRE.**

**First Innings.**

Wharmby, b Trudgett	5
Holdstock, b Penfold	4
R. N. Bocquet, c and b Penfold	50
Rogers, b Penfold	1
E. E. Apthorp, c Hill-Wood, b Knight	19
H. R. Orr, b Knight	20
A. F. Morcom, b Penfold	10
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Trudgett	20
S. V. Graham, lbw., b Trudgett	4
T. Saunders, lbw., b Trudgett	0
H. Grierson, not out	2
Extras	11
<b>Total</b>	<b>159</b>

**Second Innings.**

Wharmby, c Penfold, b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, c Mustard, b Penfold	23
R. N. Bocquet, c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, b Penfold	0
E. E. Apthorp, b Trudgett	17
H. R. Orr, c Mustard, b Trudgett	8
A. F. Morcom, c and b Trudgett	0
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Penfold	32
S. V. Graham, b Trudgett	1
T. Saunders, not out	2
H. Grierson, run out	1
Extras	8
<b>Total</b>	<b>120</b>

**Cricket Notes.**

There was a remarkable similarity between the county's two games with Cambridgeshire. In the first meeting at Wardown Park, Cambridgeshire started their second innings at noon on the second day in a minority of 175. At Fenners on Thursday at about one o'clock they went in again in a minority of 223. In each instance Cambs. brought off a big surprise by keeping their end up until it was impossible for Bedfordshire to win outright. They played "defensive cricket" to perfection, or to desperation, according to the point of view. It may be "tactics" from Cambs. point of view, but it was just the exhibition to sicken spectators who wanted to see bright cricket. Far more exciting and exhilarating play could be seen for nothing in the Junior matches on Parkers' Piece adjoining, and it was not surprising that that was where the spectators were.

A Cambridge scribe described it as "discreet" batting. It may have been, but a little more valour would have been acceptable. What is more wearisome than to see a batsman repressing his natural propensity to hit, and tap, tap, tap, over after over? Certainly, Cambs. saved a point, but in any event it was an exhibition that profited the real interests of the game nothing, and it is not at all unlikely that Cambs. would have fared better if they had adopted a braver course.

Beds. were out of the hunt for Championship honours when they left Cambridge on Thursday evening for Norfolk, for had they gained the whole of the remaining 10 points, they would still have been one point below Staffordshire. The sad fall from grace, therefore on Saturday, did not affect the issue, disappointing as it was after the county's great form. Norfolk had a wonderful time in the Norwich week, capturing 13 of the 15 points, and to some extent retrieving their position among the counties.

The position in this group of the Minor Counties Championship up to Saturday evening was as follows:

	Played	Won.	Won on last Inn.	Lost on last Inn.	Possible.	Obtained	Percentage.	Age.
Staffs.	8	7	0	0	40	35	87.50	
Durham	9	6	0	1	45	31	68.88	
Beds.	7	3	3	0	35	24	68.57	
Herts.	9	5	0	2	45	27	60.00	
North'nd.	8	3	1	0	40	18	45.00	
Cambs.	9	2	2	3	45	19	42.22	
Lincs.	9	3	1	0	45	18	40.00	
Suffolk	7	1	2	0	35	11	31.42	
Norfolk	12	2	1	3	60	16	26.66	
Cheshire	8	1	0	1	40	6	15.00	

Had Bedfordshire won their last match at Felixstowe on Wednesday, and Durham lost to Lincs., which they didn't, they would have gone second to Staffordshire, and would have had the option of challenging that county to play off for the Divisional Championship. But with their defeat, after a fine struggle, vanished all dreams of the championship for this season. It has been the best season the county has enjoyed under the new regime of divisions, and only very slightly inferior to the record season of 1906, when they were third in the Minor Counties Championship with a record of five full victories, two won on the first innings, one draw, and two defeats. That was the year of the memorable victory over Staffs. on the Modern

School Ground, the only occasion upon which Staffs. were defeated that season, when after Beds. had been trundled out by Barnes for 24 in the first innings, they scored 318 on the second attempt and won a most exciting game by 31 runs. That year Staffordshire won the Championship, with Devon second and Beds. third, with 66.66 points.

The painful circumstances of the seizure of Tom Browne, on Wednesday, caused great concern amongst Bedfordshire cricketers. "Tom," who was better known as "Bumper" for his ability to make the ball bump on all sorts of wickets, was the county pro who most filled the popular mind, and especially of the rising generation of cricketers, in the days of our youth. The mainstay of the county's bowling, he was also a reliable and prolific bat. Since his retirement from county cricket, "Tom" has umpired regularly in first-class county matches. The seizure was attributed to the great heat. On Friday he was well enough to leave St. Mary's Hospital and put in an appearance at Lord's. Later in the day he left for his home at Dunstable.

## County Cricket.

### Suffolk v. Bedfordshire.

Bedfordshire continued their tour this week and appeared at Felixstowe on Tuesday and Wednesday, where they met Suffolk in the return game. It will be remembered that on the first meeting of these clubs recently Bedfordshire scored a meritorious victory over their opponents by nine wickets, after a very good game.

The game under notice was excellently contested, but the homesters always seemed to have the measure of the visitors. Through Bedfordshire being unable to score a win they lost their chance of fighting for the Divisional Championship. The home county's victory was extremely popular.

The game commenced at Felixstowe on Tuesday in dull and stormy weather, before a good attendance of spectators. The start was delayed owing to rain. The home captain won the toss, and Wilson and Penfold opened the batting to the attack of Morcom and Grierson. A good start was made, and although Penfold did not stay long, Wilson and Bennett sent up the century without further loss. Following this, somewhat of a collapse took place, but a useful partnership between Hill-Wood and Knight improved matters, and the innings realised 192. Bedfordshire did not do very well on going in to bat, for Wharmby and Holdstock were got rid of very cheaply. Bocquet, however, played splendid cricket, and Orr and Apthorp were both prominent. Bedfordshire's total stood at 104 for five wickets when bad light caused an adjournment.

Bocquet and Morcom resumed the Bedfordshire innings on Wednesday morning, before a good attendance of spectators, but the first-named only added three to his overnight total before he was caught and bowled by Penfold. Leventhorpe assisted Morcom to increase the visitors' score, but the last three men did not give much trouble, the whole side being out for 153. Thus the visiting county was 39 to the bad on the first innings. Suffolk performed but moderately in their second attempt, Wharmby doing some fine bowling and taking five wickets for 49. A splendid last-wicket partnership, however, between P. P. Cornell and Trudgett improved their total, which only reached 139, the first-named being undefeated with 24 to his credit. Bedfordshire were left with 179 to get to win, but they never looked like getting the required number and were dismissed for 120. Trudgett, who had bowled with a fair amount of success in the first innings, followed up this and his batting performance by securing six wickets at the comparatively small cost of 58 runs. Leventhorpe again batted well and made top score, while Holdstock, Apthorp, and Bocquet were the only others to reach double figures. Score and analysis:—

#### Suffolk.—1st Innings.

H. L. Wilson, c Morcom, b Wharmby	61
Penfold, b Morcom	12
S. G. Bennett, c Apthorp, b Wharmby	26
W. Randall, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
S. Hill-Wood, not out	39
D. Mustard, b Morcom	3
F. L. Titchmarsh, b Morcom	11
T. W. E. Wilson, c Apthorp, b Morcom	0
L. Knight, c Saunders, b Orr	26
P. P. Cornell, b Orr	4
Trudgett, st Apthorp, b Orr	0
Extras	10

Total ... .. 192

#### Bedfordshire.—1st Innings.

Wharmby, b Trudgett	5
Holdstock, b Penfold	4
R. N. Bocquet, c and b Penfold	50
Rogers, b Penfold	1
E. E. Apthorp, c Hill-Wood, b Knight	19
H. R. Orr, b Knight	20
A. F. Morcom, b Penfold	10
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Trudgett	20
S. V. Graham, lbw., b Trudgett	4
T. Saunders, lbw., b Trudgett	0
H. Grierson, not out	9
Extras	11

Total ... .. 153

#### Suffolk.—2nd Innings.

H. L. Wilson, c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, b Wharmby	0
S. G. Bennett, c Rogers, b Morcom	12
W. Randall, c Wharmby, b Grierson	1
S. Hill-Wood, lbw., b Wharmby	19
D. Mustard, b Wharmby	7
F. L. Titchmarsh, c Saunders, b Grierson	17
T. W. E. Wilson, c Wharmby, b Grierson	24
L. Knight, b Wharmby	0
P. P. Cornell, not out	24
Trudgett, c Bocquet, b Morcom	20
Extras	3

Total ... .. 139

#### Bedfordshire.—2nd Innings.

Wharmby, c Penfold, b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, c Mustard, b Penfold	22
R. N. Bocquet, c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, b Penfold	0
E. E. Apthorp, b Trudgett	17
H. R. Orr, c Mustard, b Trudgett	8
A. F. Morcom, c and b Trudgett	0
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Penfold	32
S. V. Graham, b Trudgett	1
T. Saunders, not out	2
H. Grierson, run out	1
Extras	8

Total ... .. 120

### BOWLING ANALYSIS.

#### Suffolk.—1st Innings.

	o.	m.	r.	w.
Morcom	26	8	56	5
Grierson	7	1	28	0
Wharmby	23	5	64	2
Orr	6.3	0	34	3

#### Bedfordshire.—1st Innings.

Penfold	27	10	49	4
Trudgett	8.4	5	74	4
Knight	6	0	21	0

#### Suffolk.—2nd Innings.

Morcom	4	0	22	2
Wharmby	19	4	49	6
Grierson	12	2	53	3
Orr	4	1	12	0

#### Bedfordshire.—2nd Innings.

Penfold	16	4	33	3
Trudgett	21.2	6	58	6
Knight	6	0	21	0

# Correspondence.

[The Editor does not hold himself responsible for the opinions of his correspondents.]

## THE TEAM FOR AUSTRALIA.

To the Editor of CRICKET.

DEAR SIR,—Much has been written lately concerning the rank-and-file of the M.C.C. Australian team, especially as regards the weakness of the bowling, but I have seen no comment made anywhere upon the non-inclusion of either Dean or W. C. Smith. I have never seen Dean bowl, but I hear that he is able to maintain an accurate length for hours together, and is always difficult to score off, and it seems to me that he would be an excellent choice for the long-drawn-out games upon Australian wickets. Incidentally he has taken more wickets than any other bowler this season.

W. C. Smith has always been under-rated as a bowler. He should have played against the Australians in 1909, and he bowled very well against them in 1905 for his county. The theory that he can bowl only upon wet wickets has been completely exploded this summer, for he has taken the second largest number of wickets at a very reasonable cost. Your readers will remember that Mr. Warner's first team to Australia played their second and fifth Test matches on wickets ruined by rain. There is no reason why at least one of the Test matches this winter should not be thus contested, and on such a wicket Smith (with Woolley at the other end) would have been invaluable.

Furthermore, as the team consists of fifteen men, Smith need not have been played when the wicket did not suit his bowling.

One recognises, of course, that the Selection Committee have had a very difficult task before them, and have done their best, and one does not wish to blame them.

Perhaps one of your readers would be able to explain through your columns why these two men have not received invitations.

With many apologies for trespassing upon your valuable space,

I remain, Yours, &c.,

Great Malvern, September 10th.

W. B.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE v. HERTFORDSHIRE.—Played at Cambridge on August 22 and 23 and won by Cambridgeshire by 179 runs. Score and analysis:—

First innings.		CAMBRIDGESHIRE.		Second innings.	
F. G. Reid, b Pawle	...	27	b Burton	...	50
L. J. Reid, c Titchmarsh, b Shelford	...	34	c Grellet, b Burton	...	1
Ling, c Titchmarsh, b Burton	...	15	run out	...	41
B. W. Pigg, c Titchmarsh, b Shelford	...	2	hit wkt, b Pawle	...	28
Watts, b Coleman	...	16	b Burton	...	7
H. C. Tebbutt, c Coleman, b Shelford	...	32	not out	...	117
C. H. Pigg, b Shelford	...	9	c Anderson, b Shelford	...	8
O. W. Boccock, c Coleman, b Shelford	...	11			
G. A. Rose, b Shelford	...	4			
C. Pigg, c Anderson, b Shelford	...	13			
Coulson, not out	...	4			
Byes, &c.	...	22	Byes, &c.	...	30
Total	...	192	Total (6 wkts)*	...	282

First innings.		HERTFORDSHIRE.		Second innings.	
R. C. Grellet, c Watts, b B. W. Pigg	...	27	c Watts, b Rose	...	0
Golding, c Watts, b B. W. Pigg	...	36	c Watts, b Rose	...	7
C. H. Titchmarsh, c Boccock, b B. W. Pigg	...	23	c C. H. Pigg, b Rose	...	0
J. O. Anderson, b Rose	...	40	c and b B. W. Pigg	...	44
A. F. Leighton, c Coulson, b L. J. Reid	...	19	b Coulson	...	9
B. Pawle, b Rose	...	8	b Rose	...	5
Coleman, b Rose	...	8	c Boccock, b Rose	...	13
E. L. Wright, b Rose	...	6	c K. G. Reid, b C. H. Pigg	...	7
W. H. Marsh, c L. J. Reid, b Coulson	...	0	b B. W. Pigg	...	0
Burton, lbw, b Coulson	...	9	c Rose, b B. W. Pigg	...	10
Shelford, not out	...	1	not out	...	0
Byes, &c.	...	17	Byes, &c.	...	6
Total	...	194	Total	...	101

First innings.		CAMBRIDGESHIRE.		Second innings.	
Burton	...	17	2	48	1
Shelford	...	30	2	62	7
Coleman	...	17	3	34	1
Pawle	...	6	1	17	1
Marsh	...	3	0	9	0
				1	0

First innings.		HERTFORDSHIRE.		Second innings.	
Coulson	...	20	4	6	47
Rose	...	10	0	40	4
L. J. Reid	...	2	0	18	1
Tebbutt	...	4	0	22	0
B. W. Pigg	...	1	5	37	3
C. H. Pigg	...	4	0	13	0

B. W. Pigg and Tebbutt each bowled one no-ball and C. H. Pigg one wide.

SUFFOLK v. BEDFORDSHIRE.—Played at Felixtowe on August 22 and 23 and won by Suffolk by 58 runs. Score and analysis:—

First innings.		SUFFOLK.		Second innings.	
H. L. Wilson, c Morcom, b Wharmby	...	61	c and b Wharmby	...	12
Penfold, b Morcom	...	12	b Wharmby	...	0
S. G. Bennett, c Apthorp, b Wharmby	...	26	c Rogers, b Morcom	...	12
W. Randall, c Apthorp, b Morcom	...	0	c Wharmby, b Grierson	...	1
S. Hill-Wood, not out	...	39	lbw, b Wharmby	...	19
D. Musford, b Morcom	...	8	b Wharmby	...	7
F. L. Titchmarsh, b Morcom	...	11	c Saunders, b Grierson	...	17
T. W. E. Wilson, c Apthorp, b Morcom	...	0	c Wharmby, b Grierson	...	24
L. Knight, c Saunders, b Orr	...	26	b Wharmby	...	0
P. P. Cornell, b Orr	...	4	not out	...	24
Trudgett, st Apthorp, b Orr	...	0	c Boccock, b Morcom	...	20
Byes, &c.	...	10	Byes, &c.	...	8
Total	...	192	Total	...	139

First innings.		BEDFORDSHIRE.		Second innings.	
Wharmby, b Trudgett	...	5	c Penfold, b Trudgett	...	2
Holdstock, b Penfold	...	4	c Musford, b Penfold	...	22
B. N. Boccock, c and b Penfold	...	50	c and b Trudgett	...	15
Rogers, b Penfold	...	1	b Penfold	...	0
E. E. Apthorp, c Hill-Wood, b Knight	...	19	b Trudgett	...	17
H. R. Orr, b Knight	...	20	c Musford, b Trudgett	...	8
A. F. Morcom, b Penfold	...	10	c and b Trudgett	...	0
G. S. Leventhorpe, b Trudgett	...	20	b Penfold	...	32
S. Y. Graham, lbw, b Trudgett	...	4	b Trudgett	...	1
T. Saunders, lbw, b Trudgett	...	0	not out	...	2
H. Grierson, not out	...	9	run out	...	13
Byes, &c.	...	11	Byes, &c.	...	8
Total	...	153	Total	...	120

First innings.		SUFFOLK.		Second innings.	
Morcom	...	26	8	56	5
Grierson	...	7	1	28	0
Wharmby	...	13	5	64	2
Orr	...	6	3	32	3

First innings.		BEDFORDSHIRE.		Second innings.	
Penfold	...	27	10	49	4
Trudgett	...	28	4	74	4
Knight	...	6	1	19	2

BERKSHIRE v. SURREY 2ND XI.—Played at Reading on August 23 and 24 and won by Surrey 2nd XI. by five wickets. Score and analysis:—

First innings.		BERKSHIRE.		Second innings.	
G. H. M. Bennett, c Rutty, b Harrison	...	10	b Platt	...	35
H. Brougham, c Sandham, b Myers	...	0	b Blackledge	...	22
G. Belcher, c Abel, b Myers	...	5	run out	...	0
P. H. Gray, st Vigar, b Blackledge	...	0	b Myers	...	5
A. J. Murdock, c Vigar, b Myers	...	24	c Vigar, b Myers	...	20
J. H. B. Lockhart, c Abel, b Blackledge	...	0	not out	...	8
Capt. E. F. Rowe, c and b Blackledge	...	1	b Blackledge	...	19
Dr. W. Y. Woodburn, c Abel, b Blackledge	...	4	c Blackledge, b Myers	...	57
Hawksworth, not out	...	21	c Harrison, b Abel	...	0
Shoosmith, lbw, b Myers	...	20	lbw, b Abel	...	16
Sir C. Y. Nepean, c Gillespie, b Myers	...	0	st Vigar, b Myers	...	17
Byes, &c.	...	2	Byes, &c.	...	11
Total	...	87	Total	...	210

First innings.		SURREY 2ND XI.		Second innings.	
Harrison, c Rowe, b Hawksworth	...	1	not out	...	64
Myers, hit wkt, b Lockhart	...	7	c Woodburn, b Lockhart	...	9
Abel (W. J.), st Rowe, b Hawksworth	...	4	c Brougham, b Hawksworth	...	23
F. S. Gillespie, c Hawksworth, b Lockhart	...	2			
Sandham, b Lockhart	...	0	not out	...	23
Spring, st Rowe, b Lockhart	...	0			
G. W. Palmer, b Hawksworth	...	21	c Shoosmith, b Lockhart	...	65
Vigar, c Belcher, b Woodburn	...	20	c Hawksworth, b Lockhart	...	17
Platt, c Rowe, b Woodburn	...	0			
Blackledge, not out	...	0			
A. W. F. Rutty, st Rowe, b Lockhart	...	14	c Murdock, b Lockhart	...	9
Byes, &c.	...	2	Byes, &c.	...	4
Total	...	80	Total (5 wkts.)	...	219

First innings.		BERKSHIRE.		Second innings.	
Harrison	...	6	1	16	1
Myers	...	14	3	34	5
Blackledge	...	8	1	35	4
				Platt	...
				Abel	...

Myers bowled two wides.

First innings.		SURREY 2ND XI.		Second innings.	
Hawksworth	...	13	5	26	3
Lockhart	...	9	1	41	5
Woodburn	...	3	1	11	2
				Belcher	...
				Gray	...

Lockhart bowled two no-balls.

RICHARD DAFT'S NOTTINGHAMSHIRE MARL.—Particulars apply Radcliffe-on-Trent, Notts.—(ADVT.)

**MATCH NUMBER 96: SUFFOLK V BEDFORDSHIRE - NORTH AND EAST DIVISION**  
 At Felixstowe Town Cricket Club Ground, Dellwood Avenue, Felixstowe on Tuesday 22, Wednesday 23 August 1911  
 Result: Suffolk won by 58 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk  
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk none; Bedfordshire none  
 First day score: Suffolk 192, Bedfordshire 104-5 wickets (R N Bocquet 47\*, A F Morcom 0\*)

**Suffolk**

* H L Wilson	c Morcom b Wharmby	61	(1)	c and b Wharmby	12
Penfold, W	b Morcom	12	(7)	b Wharmby	0
S G Bennett	c Apthorp b Wharmby	26	(2)	c Rogers b Morcom	12
F L Titchmarsh	b Morcom	11	(3)	c Saunders b Grierson	17
W Randall	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(6)	c Wharmby b Grierson	1
T W E Wilson	c Apthorp b Morcom	0	(9)	c Wharmby b Grierson	24
S H Hill-Wood	not out	39	(4)	lbw b Wharmby	19
D Mustard	b Morcom	3	(5)	b Wharmby	7
L Knight	c Saunders b Orr	26	(8)	b Wharmby	0
+ P P Cornell	b Orr	4	(10)	not out	24
Trudgett, F	st Apthorp b Orr	0	(11)	c Bocquet b Morcom	20
Extras		10			3
<b>Total</b>		<b>192</b>		<b>(all out)</b>	<b>139</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	36	103	106	106	106	125	133	181	95	192
Second innings	22	38	52	62	67					139

Morcom	26	8	56	5	-	-	(1)	4.1	0	22	2	-	-
Grierson	7	1	28	0	-	-	(3)	12	2	53	3	-	-
Wharmby	23	5	64	2	-	-	(2)	19	4	49	5	-	-
Orr	6.3	0	34	3	-	-	(4)	4	1	12	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	10	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>62.3</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>39.1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

**Bedfordshire**

Wharmby, G E	b Trudgett	5	( )	c Penfold b Trudgett	2
Holdstock, H F	b Penfold	4	( )	c Mustard b Penfold	22
R N Bocquet	c and b Penfold	50	( )	c and b Trudgett	15
Rogers, E	b Penfold	1	( )	b Penfold	0
+ E E Apthorp	c Hill-Wood b Knight	19	( )	b Trudgett	17
* H R Orr	b Knight	20	( )	c Mustard b Trudgett	8
A F Morcom	b Penfold	10	( )	c and b Trudgett	0
G S Leventhorpe	b Trudgett	20	( )	b Penfold	32
S V Graham	lbw b Trudgett	4	( )	b Trudgett	1
T W Saunders	lbw b Trudgett	0	( )	not out	2
H Grierson	not out	9	( )	run out	13
Extras		11			8
<b>Total</b>		<b>153</b>			<b>120</b>

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	8	12	25	63	74	115	124	138	138	153
Second innings	11	11		68	74	95	96			120

Penfold	27	10	49	4	-	-	(1)	16	4	33	3	-	-
Trudgett	2.1	5	74	4	-	-	(2)	21.2	6	58	6	-	-
Knight	6	1	19	2	-	-	(3)	6	0	21	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	11	0	-	-		-	-	8	1	-	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>54.2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-		<b>43.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>10</b>	-	-

Notes: Suffolk won this return match by 58 runs at 2 pm on the second day, after Bedfordshire required 179 runs to win in their second innings. The match at Luton earlier in the month had been won by Bedfordshire. For the winners, Trudgett took 10 wickets in the match for 132 runs. There were 7 fours in H L Wilson's 61. For the losers, Bocquet top scored with 50 which contained 4 fours. Rain delayed the start of play on the first day.  
 [Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Wilson's 61, check and confirm batting order; confirm Wharmby bowled 23 overs not 13, and confirm Orr conceded 34 runs not 32; in Suffolk second innings check and confirm batting order; confirm Morcom bowled 4.1 overs not 4, confirm Wharmby bowled 4 maidens as in all sources except Suffolk ones, confirm Wharmby took 5 wickets not 6, and confirm bowling order of Wharmby second and Grierson third; in Bedfordshire first innings boundaries in Bocquet's 50, confirm Trudgett bowled 21.2 overs not 28.4, and confirm Knight bowled 1 maiden not none and conceded 19 runs not 21; in Bedfordshire second innings batting order; time completed.]  
 Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 23, 24 August; Durham Ledger (Colin Munford); Bedfordshire Advertiser 25 August; Bedfordshire Standard 25 August; Leighton Buzzard Reporter 26 August; The Sportsman 23,24 August; Cricket 16 September, p 520 (Tony Webb). [East Anglian Daily Times, Bedford and District Circular and Bedfordshire Mercury not held at Colindale.]

Status: Revised draft match score – to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.