

MATCH NUMBER 31 : CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The Paradise Recreation Ground, Newnham Street, Ely on Tuesday 12, Wednesday 13 July 1910

Result: Suffolk won by 19 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk 3 (L Knight, C A Russell-Stower, A Snowdon); Cambridgeshire 2 (H B Hart, R H V Whybro)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 106-4 wickets (C Catchpole 59*, L Knight 17*); Cambridgeshire 192

Suffolk											
J Francis	c Ling b Pigg	4	(1)	b Coulson							10
Penfold, W	c Whybro b Pigg	3	(7)	c Ling b Rose							71
Capt F T D Wilson	b Tebbutt	32	(4)	c Watts b Tebbutt							15
A J Snowden	b Coulson	1	(3)	run out							0
* H L Wilson	c Whybro b Coulson	11	(5)	c Hunt b Coulson							0
C A Russell-Stower	c Ling b Coulson	7	(8)	c Whybro b Rose							8
C Catchpole	b Coulson	22	(2)	b Rose							66
L Knight	run out	1	(6)	c Rose b Coulson							38
A B Barnes	b Tebbutt	1	(9)	st Watts b Coulson							2
+ P P Cornell	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(10)	b Rose							0
Trudgett, F G	not out	0	(11)	not out							0
Extras	nb 1	2		nb 2							13
Total		88									223

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings		7		49		62				88
Second innings	45				116	192	217	223	223	223

Coulson	18	9	21	4	-	-	(1)	28.3	11	61	4	-	-
Pigg	7	2	16	2	-	-	(4)	7	2	27	0	-	-
Rose	4	1	16	0	-	1	(2)	12	1	54	4	-	-
Tebbutt	7	1	33	3	-	-	(3)	9	0	68	1	-	2
Extras	-	-	2	1	-	-		-	-	13	1	-	-
Total	36	13	88	10	0	1		56.3	14	223	10	0	2

Cambridgeshire											
L J Reid	b Penfold	5	(4)	b F T D Wilson							2
W C Hunt	c F T D Wilson b Trudgett	23	(1)	c Trudgett b Penfold							24
A S Ling	st Cornell b F T D Wilson	16	(3)	c Snowdon b F T D Wilson							30
B W Pigg	c F Wilson b Trudgett	24	(7)	c Catchpole b F T D Wilson							2
G A Rose	b Trudgett	11	(10)	c Catchpole b F T DWilson							6
* H C Tebbutt	b Trudgett	8	(5)	c and b Penfold							9
+ Watts, G H	c Francis b F T D Wilson	0	(6)	c H L Wilson b Penfold							10
F Roberson	c substitute b Trudgett	54	(2)	c F T DWilson b Penfold							6
H B Hart	b Knight	13	(9)	c F T DWilson b Penfold							1
R H V Whybro	b F T D Wilson	19	(8)	b F T DWilson							4
Coulson, H	not out	4	(11)	not out							0
Extras		15									6
Total		192									100

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	7	48	48							192
Second innings	11	48	62	69	83	85	89	94	98	100

Penfold	4	2	10	1	-	-	(1)	20	4	39	5	-	-
Trudgett	25	5	80	5	-	-	(2)	10	1	30	0	-	-
F T D Wilson	17	2	64	3	-	-	(3)	9.2	0	25	5	-	-
Knight	9	4	23	1	-	-							
Extras	-	-	15	0	-	-		-	-	6	0	-	-
Total	55	13	192	10	0	0		39.2	5	100	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won by 19 runs on the second day, a result that looked unlikely when Cambridgeshire led by 104 runs on first innings. The run margin of victory was the second closest of the season. Cambridgeshire required 120 runs to win in their second innings with around five hours of play remaining. For the winners, there were 11 fours in Penfold's 71 and 2 sixes and 8 fours in Catchpole's 66. For the losers, Roberson scored 54.

[Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Cambridgeshire first innings boundaries in Roberson's 54, and who held substitute catch; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 13,14 July; Ipswich Evening Star 13 July; Newmarket Weekly News 15 July; The Sportsman 15 July; Cricket 28 July.

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 6 May 2015 (CM/TP/DFS/AJW)

MATCH NUMBER 31 : CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK

At The Paradise Recreation Ground, [], Ely on Tuesday 12, Wednesday 13 July 1910

Result: Suffolk won by 19 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk 3 (L Knight, C Russell-Stower, A Snowdon); Cambridgeshire 2 (H B Hart, R H Whybro)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 106-4 wickets (C Catchpole 59*, L Knight 17*); Cambridgeshire 192

Suffolk

J Francis	c Ling b Pigg	4	(1)	b Coulson	10
Penfold, W	c Whybro b Pigg	3	(7)	c Ling b Rose	71
Capt F T D Wilson	b Tebbutt	32	(4)	c Watts b Tebbutt	15
A J Snowden	b Coulson	1	(3)	run out	0
* H L Wilson	c Whybro b Coulson	11	(5)	c Hunt b Coulson	0
C Russell-Stower	c Ling b Coulson	7	(8)	c Whybro b Rose	8
C Catchpole	b Coulson	22	(2)	b Rose	66
L Knight	run out	1	(6)	c Rose b Coulson	38
A B Barnes	b Tebbutt	1	(9)	st Watts b Coulson	2
+ P P Cornell	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(10)	b Rose	0
Trudgett, F G	not out	0	(11)	not out	0
Extras	nb 1	2		nb 2	13
Total		88			223

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings		7		49		62				88
Second innings	45				116	192	217	223	223	223
Coulson	18	9	21	4	-	(1)	28.3	11	61	4
Pigg	7	2	16	2	-	(4)	7	2	27	0
Rose	4	1	16	0	-	(2)	12	1	54	4
Tebbutt	7	1	33	3	-	(3)	9	0	68	1
Extras	-	-	2	1	-		-	-	13	1
Total	36	13	88	10	0		56.3	14	223	10

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	b Penfold	5	(4)	b F T D Wilson	2
W C Hunt	c F T D Wilson b Trudgett	23	(1)	c Trudgett b Penfold	24
A S Ling	st Cornell b F T D Wilson	16	(3)	c Snowdon b F T D Wilson	30
B W Pigg	c F Wilson b Trudgett	24	(7)	c Catchpole b F T D Wilson	2
G A Rose	b Trudgett	11	(10)	c Catchpole b F T D Wilson	6
* H C Tebbutt	b Trudgett	8	(5)	c and b Penfold	9
+ Watts, G H	c Francis b F T D Wilson	0	(6)	c H L Wilson b Penfold	10
F Roberson	c substitute b Trudgett	54	(2)	c F T D Wilson b Penfold	6
H B Hart	b Knight	13	(9)	c F T D Wilson b Penfold	1
R H Whybro	b F T D Wilson	19	(8)	b F T D Wilson	4
Coulson, H	not out	4	(11)	not out	0
Extras		15			6
Total		192			100

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	7	48	48							192
Second innings	11	48	62	69	83	85	89	94	98	100
Penfold	4	2	10	1	-	(1)	20	4	39	5
Trudgett	25	5	80	5	-	(2)	10	1	30	0
F T D Wilson	17	2	64	3	-	(3)	9.2	0	25	5
Knight	9	4	23	1	-					
Extras	-	-	15	0	-		-	-	6	0
Total	55	13	192	10	0		39.2	5	100	10

Notes: Suffolk won by 19 runs on the second day, a result that looked unlikely when Cambridgeshire led by 104 runs on first innings. The run margin of victory was the second closest of the season. Cambridgeshire required 120 runs to win in their second innings with around five hours of play remaining. For the winners, there were 11 fours in Penfold's 71 and 2 sixes and 8 fours in Catchpole's 66. For the losers, Roberson's 54 contained ? fours.

[Missing: Ground location; umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Cambridgeshire first innings boundaries in Roberson's 54, and who held substitute catch; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 13,14 July; Ipswich Evening Star 13 July; Newmarket Weekly News 15 July; The Sportsman 15 July; Cricket 28 July.

Status: Revised draft match score.

1910
No 31

Colin Mansford

Cambs v Suffolk at Ely 1910 July 12, 13

Suffolk 2nd innings. Cambs bowler order

Suggest we follow NWNews & Sportsman as the narratives do not help. It appears Tonkin bowled throughout the innings. For what it is worth Tabbutt bowled FTD Wilson who batted (4)

Tabbutt (3) ✓

Pegg (4) ✓

Cambs 1st innings FOW

Suggest we follow NWNews report that is 1-7 not 6

FOW 1-7 ✓

Cambs 2nd innings

(a) Opener EAST narrative Hunt = Roberson

NWN " Roberson = Hunt

scorecard Hunt = Roberson

Suggest we follow EAST and NWN scorecard

Hunt (1) ✓

Roberson (2) ✓

(b) Suffolk bowling

(i) Penfold 10 or 20 overs bowled

Suggest we accept 20 overs because this will reduce the discrepancy with Warden average to 1 over

Penfold 20 overs ✓

(ii) Wilson FTD 9.2 or 0.2 overs bowled

5-25 in 2 balls, surely not! Accept 9.2 overs which agrees with Warden average

Wilson FTD 9.2 overs ✓

14/8/13

MATCH NUMBER 31 : CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK

At The Paradise Recreation Ground, [], Ely on Tuesday 12, Wednesday 13 July 1910

Result: Suffolk won by 19 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Not known

Debutants: Suffolk 3 (L Knight, C Russell-Stower, A Snowdon); Cambridgeshire 2 (H B Hart, R H Whybro)

First day score: Suffolk 88 and 106-4 wickets (C Catchpole 59*, L Knight 17*); Cambridgeshire 192

Suffolk

J Francis	c Ling b Pigg	4	(1)	b Coulson	10
Penfold, W	c Whybro b Pigg	3	(7)	c Ling b Rose	71
Capt F T D Wilson	b Tebbutt	32	(4)	c Watts b Tebbutt	15
A Snowdon	b Coulson	1	(3)	run out	0
* H L Wilson	c Whybro b Coulson	11	(5)	c Hunt b Coulson	0
C Russell-Stower	c Ling b Coulson	7	(8)	c Whybro b Rose	8
C Catchpole	b Coulson	22	(2)	b Rose	66
L Knight	run out	1	(6)	c Rose b Coulson	38
A B Barnes	b Tebbutt	1	(9)	st Watts b Coulson	2
+ P P Cornell	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(10)	b Rose	0
Trudgett, F	not out	0	(11)	not out	0
Extras	nb 1, 2	2		nb 2, ?	13
Total		88			223

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings		7		49		62				88
Second innings	45				116	192	217	223	223	223

Coulson	18	9	21	4	-	-	(1)	28.3	11	61	4	-	-
Pigg	7	2	16	2	-	-	4 (2)?	7	2	27	0	-	-
Rose	4	1	16	0	-	1	(2)	12	1	54	4	-	-
Tebbutt	7	1	33	3	-	-	3 (4)?	9	0	68	1	-	2
Extras	-	-	2	1	-	-		-	-	13	1	-	-
Total	36	13	88	10	0	1		56.3	14	223	10	0	2

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	b Penfold	5	(4)	b F T D Wilson	2
W C Hunt	c F T D Wilson b Trudgett	23	(1)?	c Trudgett b Penfold	24
A S Ling	st Cornell b F T D Wilson	16	(3)	c Snowdon b F T D Wilson	30
B W Pigg	c F Wilson b Trudgett	24	(7)	c Catchpole b F T D Wilson	2
G A Rose	b Trudgett	11	(10)	c Catchpole b F T D Wilson	6
* H C Tebbutt	b Trudgett	8	(5)	c and b Penfold	9
+ Watts, G H	c Francis b F T D Wilson	0	(6)	c H L Wilson b Penfold	10
F Roberson	c substitute b Trudgett	54	(2)?	c F T D Wilson b Penfold	6
H B Hart	b Knight	13	(9)	c F T D Wilson b Penfold	1
R H Whybro	b F T D Wilson	19	(8)	b F T D Wilson	4
Coulson, H	not out	4	(11)	not out	0
Extras	? /	15		? /	6
Total		192			100

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	7?	48	48							192
Second innings	11	48	62	69	83	85	89	94	98	100

Penfold	4	2	10	1	-	-	(1)	20?	4	39	5	-	-
Trudgett	25	5	80	5	-	-	(2)	10	1	30	0	-	-
F T D Wilson	17	2	64	3	-	-	(3)	9.2?	0	25	5	-	-
Knight	9	4	23	1	-	-							
Extras	-	-	15	0	-	-		-	-	6	0	-	-
Total	55	13	192	10	0	0		39.2?	5	100	10	0	0

Notes: Suffolk won by 19 runs at ? pm on the second day, a result that looked unlikely when Cambridgeshire led by 104 runs on first innings. The run margin of victory was the second closest of the season. Cambridgeshire required 120 runs to win in their second innings with around five hours of play remaining. For the winners, there were 11 fours in Penfold's 71 and 2 sixes and 8 fours in Catchpole's 66. For the losers, Roberson's 54 contained ? fours.

[Missing: Ground location; umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Suffolk second innings check bowling order; in Cambridgeshire first innings boundaries in Roberson's 54, who held substitute catch, and check fall of first wicket where Cambridgeshire sources give 7 and Suffolk sources 6; in Cambridgeshire second innings check opening batting order, check and confirm whether Penfold bowled 10 overs as in EADT or 20 as in FS and Cricket, and confirm F T D Wilson bowled 9.2 overs not 0.2; time completed.]

Sources: Ipswich Evening Star 13 July; Newmarket Weekly News 15 July (Tony Webb); East Anglian Daily Times 13, 14 July; Bob Durham's Suffolk Ledger; Ken Trashell (Colin Munford); The Sportsman 15 July; Cricket 28 July (Tony Webb)

Status: First draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions and comment.

Parade Recreation Ground

MATCH NUMBER 31 : CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

At (), Ely on Tuesday 12, Wednesday 13 July 1910

Result: Suffolk won by 19 runs Points: Suffolk 5 Toss: Suffolk Umpires: K, K, X

Debutants: Suffolk 3 (L Knight, C Russell-Stower, A / Snowden); Cambridgeshire (); Cambridgeshire 192

2 (HB Hart, RH Whybro)

Suffolk

J Francis
Penfold, W
F T D Wilson
A / Snowden
H L Wilson
C Russell-Stower
C Catchpole
L Knight
A B Barnes
P P Cornell
Trudgett, F
Extras

c Ling b Pigg 4
c Whybro b Pigg 3
b Tebbutt 32
b Coulson 1
c Whybro b Coulson 11
c Ling b Coulson 7
b Coulson 22
run out 1
b Tebbutt 1
c Ling b Tebbutt 4
not out 0
b, lb, w, nb 1 2

b Coulson 10
c Ling b Rose 71
c Watts b Tebbutt 15
run out 0
c Hunt b Coulson 0
c Whybro b Rose 8
b Rose 66
c Rose b Coulson 38
st Watts b Coulson 2
b Rose 0
not out 0
b, lb, w, nb 2 13

Total 88

223

Fall of wickets

Table with 11 columns (1-10) and 2 rows (First innings, Second innings) showing wicket counts.

Coulson

Pigg
Rose
Tebbutt
Extras
Total

18 9 21 4 - -
7 2 16 2 - - 3
4 1 16 0 - 1
7 1 33 3 - - 4
- - 2 1 - - -
Total 36 13 88 10 0 1

(1) 28.3 11 61 4 - -
(3) 7 2 27 0 - -
(2) 12 1 54 4 - -
(4) 9 0 68 1 - 2
- - 13 1 - -
Total 56.3 14 223 10 0 2

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid
W C Hunt
A S Ling
B W Pigg
G A Rose
H C Tebbutt
+ Watts, G H
F Robertson
HB Hart
R H Whybro
Coulson, H
Extras
Total

b Penfold 5
c F Wilson b Trudgett 23
st Cornell b F Wilson 16
c F Wilson b Trudgett 24
b Trudgett 11
b Trudgett 8
c Francis b F Wilson 0
c sub b Trudgett 54
b Knight 13
b F Wilson 19
not out 4
b, lb 15

b F Wilson 2
c Trudgett b Penfold 24
c Snowden b F Wilson 30
c Catchpole b F Wilson 2
c Catchpole b F Wilson 6
c and b Penfold 9
c H Wilson b Penfold 10
c F Wilson b Penfold 6
c F Wilson b Penfold 1
b F Wilson 4
not out 0
b, lb 6

Total 192

100

Fall of wickets

Table with 11 columns (1-10) and 2 rows (First innings, Second innings) showing wicket counts.

Penfold

Trudgett
F Wilson
Knight
Extras
Total

4 2 10 1 - -
25 5 80 5 - -
17 2 64 3 - -
9 4 23 1 - -
- - 15 0 - -
Total 55 13 192 10 0 0

(1) 10 4 39 5 - -
(2) 10 1 30 0 - -
(3) 9.2 0 25 5 - -
- - 6 0 - -
Total 29.2 5 100 10 0 0

Notes: Sources: Durham Ledger; E.A.D.T. No account 1st day, full account 2nd day; K.S.

Completed by C R Munford September 2000.

In Suffolk's first innings F Wilson hit 3 x 4s and Catchpole hit one 6. In the Suffolk 2nd innings Penfold hit 11 x 4s, Catchpole hit 2 x 6s and 8 x 4s, and Knight hit one 6 and 5 x 4s. In the Cambridgeshire 2nd innings Ling hit one 6 and 3 x 4s.

Missing: Ground-details; Umpires; Cambridgeshire debutants (if any); Details of the overnight batsmen; Breakdown of extras; Fall of most of the wickets; Who bowled wides & no-balls? (if any, other than the no-balls bowled by Rose and Tebbutt); Who was the Cambridgeshire captain?

May need checking: Points, Professionals

TS 150 why (AW) Newmarket Weekly News 15 July (1910) EADT (NWN)

603 run for 40 wts.

Penfold 11 on first day & only bowled 4 overs & returned 2 runs, probably a bit of a... Suffolk 1st inn. completed before lunch on 1st day.

Order Evening Star 11/10 for 14 for report of 1st day. Check CDN.

Knight - Suffolk Dished Asylum. Cards set 120 to win in nearly 5 hours.

LOCAL CRICKET.

Cambs. v. Suffolk.

CAMBS. FAIL IN SECOND INNINGS.

BEATEN BY 20 RUNS.

This match was commenced at Ely on Tuesday, delightful weather favouring the fixture. Thanks to the management of Mr. C. Lacey, the Paradise Recreation Ground was in good condition. It was unfortunate that the Agricultural Show clashed with the cricket, the attendance of the public at the latter event being affected in consequence.

Suffolk opened the batting with J. Francis and Penfold, who faced the deliveries of Coulson and B. W. Pigg. It was not long before the Cambridgeshire bowlers achieved success. In Pigg's second over Penfold was caught by Whybro, and shortly afterwards J. Francis was captured by Ling in the slips, where he had previously given a chance, although it was a very difficult one to reach. As two wickets were down for seven runs, the outlook at this time was not very encouraging. Capt. Wilson, however, came to the rescue, and hit out with considerable freedom after he had grown accustomed to the Cambridgeshire deliveries. When H. L. Wilson joined the Captain, runs came frequently, and then it was that G. Rose went on in place of Pigg. A total of 40 was telegraphed with the "H.L." succumbed to Coulson, who had been bowling pretty well. Another change of bowling took place, H. C. Tebbutt taking the leather from Rose. The result was that before many balls had been sent down Capt. Wilson was "yorked," after having played a fairly lively innings of 32. Six wickets fell for 62, and but for Catchpole's useful score of 22 a very poor show would have been made by the Suffolk batsmen. As it was, the innings closed for 88. Roberson fielded well at "cover."

The Cambridgeshire innings opened before the luncheon hour, L. J. Reid and W. C. Hunt "facing the music" of Penfold and Trudgett. A bad start was made, the first wicket falling before the interval when only seven runs had been registered. On the resumption of play, W. C. Hunt and A. S. Ling proceeded to give the bowlers some amount of trouble, the latter hitting the first four for Cambridgeshire. Penfold, who had been bowling at the pavilion end, had to retire from the field in consequence of illness, and Capt. Wilson took his place, whilst Roberson fielded as a substitute for the home county. Ling, who had been playing steadily and well, hit Capt. Wilson's first ball for four, and together with other runs the score was taken up to 30, after about 15 minutes' play. Hunt scored many runs with his back strokes, and just when he appeared well set, he hit out to a leg ball sent down by Trudgett, and, unluckily, it caught the shoulder of his bat, and fell into the hands of Capt. Wilson in the slips. Two for 48 was converted into three for the same total, A. S. Ling in getting forward to an enticing ball being stumped by Cornell. A period of comparative dullness followed, and the "glorious uncertainty" must have been uppermost in many minds. Tebbutt was missed twice in succession before he was dismissed for eight runs. Afterwards, however, a welcome change came over the Cambridgeshire venture. With Roberson and Hart together runs came very freely. Despite changes of bowling, the two batsmen "stuck to their guns," and the century was telegraphed amidst applause. When Hart was dismissed for 13, Roberson's score stood at 22. R. H. Whybro came in and made some useful contributions, and the score was carried up to 140 and then 150. These two batsmen made a good stand, and eventually the innings closed for the formidable total of 192 runs, Roberson having scored 54 and R. H. Whybro 19. Roberson played splendid cricket. Cambridgeshire having a lead of 104, it was no small task that the Suffolk men had to contend with. They began their second venture with J. Francis and C. Catchpole, the bowlers being Coulson and Rose. The wicket played very well by this time, and the two batsmen put on 45 runs before a separation was effected, Francis falling to Coulson in his tenth over. Capt. Wilson and Knight in their turn helped Catchpole to increase the Suffolk score, and when stumps were drawn there were four wickets down for 106, showing, with their previous total, an advantage of two runs over Cambridgeshire. Knight was not out with 17, and Catchpole's unfinished innings included eight 4's and two 6's.

Soon after Suffolk commenced batting on Wednesday Catchpole's wicket fell to the bowling of Rose when he had added but seven runs to his overnight score of 59. Knight and Penfold made a good stand and brought the score to 192 before the former was caught by Rose, after he had raised his overnight score from 17 to 38. His hits included five 4's and one 6. Russell Stower then joined. Penfold, and the two brought the score to 217 before Whybro, by a smart catch, sent the latest comer back to the pavilion. Things were now looking much more rosy for Suffolk, although they had had a lead of 104 against them on the first innings. Only a few minutes passed before Penfold, while in partnership with Barnes, with an unlucky hit, gave Ling a chance, and was caught with his score at 71. His hits included eleven 4's. Cornell then came to the wickets, but was beaten by the second ball from Rose. There were now nine wickets down for 223. Barnes was stumped by Watts before Trudgett, the last man in, had had a ball, and Suffolk were all out for 223.

Cambs. commenced their second innings about 12.35, requiring 120 to win. On the previous day Penfold, who was regarded as the best bowler on the Suffolk side, had been ill and was forced to retire after four overs. To-day he was much better and went on to bowl at the commencement of the Cambs. innings. Roberson and Hunt, who opened the batting, were not together long, the former being smartly

caught by Capt. Wilson after making six. Ling then joined Hunt, and at the luncheon interval Cambs. had scored 25 for one wicket.

When Cambs. recommenced batting after lunch Hunt gave a chance in the slips when the score stood at 22, but Captain Wilson failed to make the catch. For some time after this play was very slow, Hunt and Ling refusing to hit out and seemingly more bent upon keeping up their wickets than upon making runs hurriedly. Hunt narrowly missed being caught when his score stood at 24, but the hit was a hard one, and Trudgett dropped the ball. After two more balls, however, Hunt again gave Trudgett a chance, and this time the latter made no mistake. Hunt and Ling were separated with the score at 48, and Reid was the next batsman. Ling added six to the score with a splendid hit from a ball from Penfold, the leather going right out of the ground into an adjoining garden. Almost directly afterwards Ling was cleverly caught by Snowden in the slips, his score of 30 including a six and three 4's. Cambs. now with three wickets down wanted 58 to win. Tebbutt and Reid were together when the score reached 69, and then the latter's wicket fell to a ball from Capt. Wilson. H. L. Wilson made a good try at a ball hit by Tebbutt, but the Cambridge man escaped, as Wilson just failed to reach and hold it. After two more balls Tebbutt was caught by Penfold.

After all it did not look such a dead cert for Cambs. for half their wickets were down, and they still wanted 37 runs to win. Things looked still very doubtful when Pigg, after adding only two runs to the score, retired to a good catch by Catchpole. Cambs. supporters' hopes were raised a little when Watts hit a lucky four, but with the very next ball from Penfold he was caught by H. L. Wilson. Whybro hit a four, and Cambridge now wanted 26 to win, but with the next ball Whybro's wicket fell to Capt. Wilson. Cambridge were now faced with the somewhat awe-inspiring task of making 26 runs with only their last two wickets to fall. Capt. Wilson had up to this point taken four wickets for 22 runs. When the short partnership between Hart and Rose was dissolved by the latter being caught by Capt. Wilson 22 more runs were wanted. Coulson, the last man, went to the wickets. Rose hit a 2, but was then caught in the long field from the next ball by Catchpole. Thus Cambs. lost by 20 runs, after commencing to bat with only 120 runs to get, and Suffolk pulled off a smart victory after having a lead of 104 runs against them on the first innings.

SUFFOLK.—1st innings.

J. Francis o Ling b Pigg	4
Penfold c Whybro b Pigg	3
Capt. F. Wilson b Tebbutt	32
A. J. Snowden b Coulson	3
H. L. Wilson c Whybro b Coulson	11
C. Russell Stower c Ling b Coulson ..	7
C. Catchpole b Coulson	22
Knight run out	1
A. B. Barnes b Tebbutt	1
P. P. Cornell c Ling b Tebbutt	4
Trudgett not out	0
Extras	2

Total

Bowling.—Coulson 4 wickets for 31, B. W. Pigg 2 for 16, H. C. Tebbutt 3 for 33, G. Rose 0 for 16. Rose bowled one no-ball.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.—1st innings.

L. J. Reid b Penfold	5
W. C. Hunt c Capt. Wilson b Trudgett ..	23
A. S. Ling st Cornell b Capt. Wilson ..	26
B. W. Pigg c Capt. Wilson b Trudgett ..	24
G. A. Rose b Trudgett	11
H. C. Tebbutt b Trudgett	8
Watts c Francis b Capt. Wilson	0
F. Roberson c sub. b Trudgett	13
H. B. Hart b Knight	54
R. H. Whybro b Capt. Wilson	19
Coulson not out	4
Extras	15

Total

Bowling.—Penfold 1 wicket for 10, Trudgett 5 for 80, Capt. Wilson 3 for 64, Knight 1 for 23.

SUFFOLK.—2nd innings.

J. Francis b Coulson	10
C. Catchpole b Rose	68
A. J. Snowden run out	8
Capt. Wilson c Watts b Tebbutt	15
H. L. Wilson c Hunt b Coulson	0
Knight c Rose b Coulson	31
Penfold c Ling b Rose	7
C. Russell Stower c Whybro b Rose ..	8
Barnes st Watts b Coulson	2
Cornell b Rose	0
Trudgett not out	0
Extras	13

Total

Bowling.—Coulson 4 wickets for 61, G. Rose 4 for 54, H. C. Tebbutt 1 for 68, B. W. Pigg 0 for 27.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.—2nd innings.

W. C. Hunt c Trudgett b Penfold	24
F. Roberson c Capt. Wilson b Penfold ..	6
A. S. Ling c Snowden b Capt. Wilson ..	30
L. J. Reid b Capt. Wilson	2
H. C. Tebbutt c and b Penfold	9
Watts c H. L. Wilson b Penfold	2
B. W. Pigg c Catchpole b Wilson	2
R. Whybro b Wilson	4
H. B. Hart c Wilson b Penfold	1
E. A. Rose c Catchpole b Wilson	6
Coulson not out	0
Extras	6

Total

Bowling.—Penfold took 5 wickets for 39, Captain Wilson 5 for 25, Trudgett 0 for 30.

PLAYED AT Ely

TOSS Suffolk

RESULT Suffolk won by 19 runs

SUFFOLK		FIRST INNINGS	RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s	ORD.
1	J. Francis	c Ling b Pigg	4					1 b
2	W. Penfold	c Whybro b Pigg	3					2 c
3	FTD Wilson	b Tebbutt	32				3	3 c
4	AJ Snowden	b Coulson	1					4 m
5	H.L. Wilson	c Whybro b Coulson	11					5 c
6	C Russell-Stower	c Ling b Coulson	7					6 c
7	C Catchpole	b Coulson	22			1		7 b
8	L Knight	run out	1					8 c
9	AB Barnes	b Tebbutt	1					9 m
10	PP Cornell	c Ling b Tebbutt	4					10 b
11	F. Tredgett	not out	0					11 m
EXTRAS		b lb w nb 1	2					
TOTAL			88					

CAMBRIDGESHIRE		FIRST INNINGS	RUNS	MINS	BALLS	6s	4s	ORD
1	LJ Reid	b Penfold	5					1 b
2	WC Hunt	c Wilson F b Tredgett	23					2 c
3	AS Ling	st Cornell b Wilson F	16					3 c
4	BW Pigg	c Wilson F b Tredgett	24					4 c
5	G.A. Rose	b Tredgett	11					5 c
6	H.C. Tebbutt	b Tredgett	8					6 c
7	G. Watts	c Francis b Wilson F	0					7 c
8	F. Robertson	c sub b Tredgett	54					8 c
9	HB Hart	b Knight	13					9 c
10	RH Whybro	b Wilson F	19					10 b
11	H. Coulson	not out	4					11 m
EXTRAS		b lb w nb	15					
TOTAL			192					

CAMBRIDGESHIRE	O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1 Coulson	18	9	21	4			1	28	3	11	61	4	
2 Pigg	7	2	16	2			3	7	2	27	0		
3 Rose	4	1	16	0		1	2	12	1	54	4		
4 Tebbutt	7	1	33	3			4	9	0	68	1	2	
5													
6													
7													
8													
9													
10													
EXTRAS / RO	-	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	13	1	-	-
TOTAL	36		88	10		1	-	56	3	223	10	2	

NOTES

MATCH NO 1910/31

ON 1910 July 12, 13

SECOND INNINGS	RUNS	MIN	BALLS	6s	4s	UMPIRES
Coulson	10					
King b Rose	71				11	SCORERS
Watts b Tebbutt	15					
out	0					CLOSE 1ST DAY Suffolk 88 bats 192
King b Coulson	0					Suffolk 106 for 4
Hybro b Rose	8					
Rose	66			2	8	
Rose b Coulson	38			1	5	DEBUTANTS L Knight C Russell-Stoner
Watts b Coulson	2					A J Snowden
Rose	0					
out	0					
lb w nb 2	13					
	223					

ECONOMY INNINGS	RUNS	MIN	BALLS	6s	4s
Wilson F	2				
Tredgett b Penfold	24				
Snowden b Wilson F	30			1	3
Catchpole b Wilson F	2				
Catchpole b Wilson F	6				
b Penfold	9				
Wilson H b Penfold	10				
Wilson F b Penfold	6				
Wilson F b Penfold	1				
Wilson F	4				
out	0				
lb w nb	6				
	100				

WKT	Suffolk		Bants	
	1st	2nd	1st	2nd
1			6	11
2				48
3				62
4				69
5				83
6				85
7		217		89
8				94
9				98
10				100

COMPLETED BY C.R. MUNFORD
DATE 2000 September

	SUFFOLK	O	M	R	W	w	nb	ORD	O	M	R	W	w	nb
1	Penfold	4	2	10	1			1	10	4	39	5		
2	Tredgett	25	5	80	5			2	10	1	30	0		
3	Wilson F	17	2	64	3			3	9.2	0	25	5		
4	Knight	9	4	23	1									
5														
6														
7														
8														
9														
10														
	EXTRAS / RO	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
	TOTAL	55		192	10			-	29.2		100	10		

SOURCES Durham Ledger, E.A.D.T. No account 1st day full account 2nd day K.S.C.T.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

CAMBRIDGESHIRE v. SUFFOLK.
This match was begun at Ely on Tuesday in delightful weather. Unfortunately our reporter had not come to hand in complete form at a late hour last night. All that can be stated with definiteness is that Suffolk were dismissed for 88, that this total was largely exceeded by the home county, and that Suffolk batted a second time towards the end of the day. A report will appear in to-night's "Evening Star."

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP

CAMBS. v. SUFFOLK.
SPLENDID WIN FOR SUFFOLK.

The Suffolk eleven which represented the county at Ely on Monday and Tuesday covered itself with honour, for, recovering splendidly from a position that at one time appeared hopeless, it snatched a brilliant victory by 19 runs. This win, the first of the season, was obtained by a display of pluck and determination that aroused enthusiasm. Good batting in the second innings, effective bowling and smart fielding, all contributed to secure a triumph that must have brought unalloyed satisfaction to the players. It was one of the most exciting finishes for which victory appeared within the grasp of the Cambridgeshire team, the visitors rose to the occasion, and by sheer determination wrested the coveted points from their doubtful opponents. Now that Suffolk has taken a step along the path of victory, it may be hoped that its progress will be consistent, at all events, Tuesday's triumph was thoroughly merited.

The game opened on Tuesday in gloriously fine weather, under the shadow of the historic Cathedral. The Suffolk captain, H. Wilson, obtained first knock on a wicket that seemed excellent. The display by the visitors was disappointing, however, and there were only three notable contributions. Capt. Wilson was chief scorer with a hard-hitting 36, which were three 4's. Catchpole's 22 included a splendid shot for six. The Suffolk captain was the only other player to get into the teens. The home county started badly by losing Reid's wicket with but six on the board; but Hunt and Ling carried the total to 48 before being dismissed. A very useful stand was made by Robertson, whose 64 was a most acceptable innings, and he was materially assisted by Hart and Whybro, both of whom were making their first appearance for Cambs. When the end of the venture came, the Cambridgeshire batsmen held a lead of 104 runs. It should be said that the visitors were severely handicapped by the inability of Penfold to bowl. The professional had sent down only four overs, when he was compelled by indisposition to desist. Trudgett was the most successful bowler, his five wickets costing 16 runs each. Suffolk performed much better at a second attempt, the theme in the main to a grand innings by Catchpole, managed to aggregate 100 for four wickets by the end of the day, when they were two runs to the good, with nearly half their wickets down. Catchpole, who was materially aided by Knight, hit two sixes and eight 4's. Hunt was given a life at 18, and Knight (who hails from Suffolk District Leyton) was let off in the end at five.

When the game was resumed on Wednesday, in dull weather which subsequently improved vastly, the chances of success for Suffolk looked very remote indeed. Catchpole gave a very hard chance to Hunt at leg when he had made 66, and he was dismissed without troubling the scorers further. Penfold and Knight played splendidly, and the bowling was severely punished during their partnership, which lasted while the record was being carried from 116 to 192. Chances were tried, but without avail for some time, both professionals hitting out merrily all round the wicket. Knight's introduction to county cricket was very gratifying in its results, for when he left at 192 his individual contribution had realised 36, including a 6 and five 4's. At the time that Russell Stower succeeded the professional some very smart fielding was witnessed. Penfold had scooped his 60 by means of some effective catches, his hitting being clean and hard. Stower had the honour of sending up 100; but he did not long survive, seven wickets being down for 217. Penfold left at three later, his unassisted 71 having included sixes, fours and a six, and Barnes was soon disposed of, so that the end came at 223, or 119 to the good.

The lack of making 120 to win did not appear unduly hard for a side that had compiled nearly 200 at a first attempt, though it was noted that the wicket was playing rather awkwardly at one end. Hunt and Robertson opened the venture to the bowling of Penfold and Trudgett. With the total at 11 Captain Wilson, with a brilliant catch, dismissed Robertson, who had headed the Cambridgeshire in the first innings. The time he had subscribed only half-a-dozen. Hunt and Ling batted steadily, and they were still together when the luncheon interval arrived, the Cambs' total then standing at 25 for one.

After the resumption scoring proceeded slowly, the batsmen refraining from taking liberties with the bowling. Hunt was missed by Captain Wilson in the slip when he had notched 22. When the half-century was approaching, without further loss to the home side, one began to wonder why Captain Wilson was not sent in. As though by some process of mental telepathy, the Suffolk skipper responded to the wish, the Captain replacing Trudgett, who had been bowling well though unsuccessfully. The change was made at 47. Trudgett gave Hunt a life, but caught him almost immediately afterwards off Penfold—48 for two. Ling seemed to find Penfold's bowling to his taste, for he obtained 10 in two superb drives for six, carrying the ball clean over the boundary. The big hitter was soon afterwards disposed of by Snowden, who effected a grand catch off Capt. Wilson's bowling; 66 for three. The retiring batsman's lusty 30 contained a 6 and three 4's. With half the requisite runs obtained for the loss of but three wickets, matters looked very gloomy for Suffolk. But brighter things were in store. At 69, Capt. Wilson spraddled Reid's wicket. Tebbutt gave a very hard chance to H. L. Wilson, when the score had reached 83, but it was not taken advantage of. The lapse was not very material, however, for Penfold caught and bowled the capable young amateur ere another run had been recorded—83 for five. Two runs later, Pitt was nicely caught by Catchpole, and thus Capt. Wilson's tale of victims was increased—88 for six. A batsman made a quartette by a lucky hit, but his stay afterwards was brief, for he was caught by the Suffolk captain with 88 on the board. Whybro signalled his advent by despatching the ball to the boundary; but he succumbed to Capt. Wilson a run later—84 for eight. Matters now looked distinctly more hopeful for Suffolk, and when Hart hit up one to Capt. Wilson off Penfold it was realised that the home county's plight was wif-nigh desperate, for with only one man to go in 22 runs were still required. The excitement became intense, Rose and Coulson appeared to realise the responsibility that rested upon them, for they batted with admirable caution. The amateur brought up the hundred with a hit for a couple. At the same total, however, he offered a chance to Catchpole, which was eagerly seized. So the end came, and Suffolk had achieved a glorious victory by 19 runs. The result was hailed with manifestations of delight by the host of Suffolk sympathisers present, and even the Cambridgeshire partisans scarce forbore to cheer. It was a sensational conclusion to a remarkable game, and the outcome redounds to the credit of the happy band of players who secured it by their skill and fortitude. It has been said that catches were dropped; but some that were made are admirable—notably the two five sixes by Catchpole, coming, as they did, at a crucial stage of the match—and the Suffolk batting all round was creditable. It will be seen that Capt. Wilson and Penfold shared the wickets between them, and that the amateur's five cost five runs a-piece.

Full score:—

SUFFOLK.	
J. Francis, c Ling, b	4
Penfold, c Whybro, b	10
Pitt, c Whybro, b	7
Capt. F. Wilson, b	5
Tebbutt, b	32
A. Coulson, b	1
H. L. Wilson, c Whybro, b Coulson	11
C. Russell Stower, c	4
Ling, b Coulson	7
C. Catchpole, b Coulson	22
Knight, run out	1
B. Barnes, b Tebbutt	1
F. Cotnam, c Ling, b	4
Trudgett, not out	0
Extras	22
Total	223

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

J. Reid, b	5
H. O. Hunt, c	25
A. Whybro, c	16
H. W. Rose, c	11
H. J. Whybro, c	24
G. A. Rose, c	11
H. O. Tebbutt, b	6
H. L. Wilson, c	8
C. Russell Stower, c	4
J. Cotnam, c	4
Trudgett, not out	18
Extras	4
Total	104

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

Player	Overs	Runs	Wickets
Penfold	10	116	5
Trudgett	10	116	5
Capt. Wilson	10	116	5
Knight	10	116	5
Penfold	10	116	5
Trudgett	10	116	5
Capt. Wilson	10	116	5
Knight	10	116	5
Penfold	10	116	5
Trudgett	10	116	5
Capt. Wilson	10	116	5

TO-DAY'S CRICKET.

SUFFOLK v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

NINES COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP.

In this match at Ips on Tuesday the home county obtained a substantial lead on the first innings, but the visitors drew level with the loss of four wickets at the end of the day. Captain Wilson hit out well for Suffolk, and was assisted by A. J. Wilson, while C. Catchpole followed suit. His strokes, including a six. The home county started badly, losing Reid's wicket at 6. Hunt and Ling carried the total to 40, but the best stand of the innings was made by Robertson, who was assisted by Hart and Whybro, both making their first appearances for the county. Cambs led on the first innings by 104. Suffolk lost 4 for 100, C. Catchpole saving the situation with a five not out 50, including two sixes and eight fours.

To-day (Wednesday), when the weather was dull, Catchpole gave a very hard chance to Hunt at leg when he had scored 66, and was dismissed by Rose without adding to this score. Penfold and Knight made a valuable stand, and the score rose rapidly, changes of bowling failing to check the rate of progress. At 150, however, Knight was dismissed, having contributed a useful 33. Russell Stower brought up 200, Penfold having just previously completed his 50. The professional left at 225, having completed 71, eight wickets then being down. The innings finally closed for 223, Cambridgeshire, requiring 120 to win, had scored 26 for 1 at the luncheon interval.

SUFFOLK.		CAMBRIDGESHIRE.	
J. Francis, c Ling, b Pigg	4	b Coulson	10
Penfold, c Whybro, b Pigg	3	c Ling, b Rose	71
Capt. P. Wilson, b Tebbutt	52	c Watta, b Tebbutt	13
A. J. Wilson, b Coulson	1	run out	0
H. L. Wilson, c Whybro, b Coulson	11	c Hunt, b Coulson	0
C. Russell Stower, c Ling, b Coulson	7	c Whybro, b Rose	0
C. Catchpole, b Coulson	22	b Rose	68
Knight, run out	1	c Rose, Coulson	54
A. B. Barnes, b Tebbutt	1	at Watta, b Coulson	1
P. P. Cornwell, c Ling, b Tebbutt	4	b Rose	0
Trudgett, not out	2	not out	13
Extras		Extras	13
		AR	253
L. J. Reid, b Penfold	0		
W. C. Hunt, c Capt. Wilson, b Trudgett	23	not out	13
A. N. Ling, c Cornwell, b Wilson	10	not out	6
B. W. Pigg, c Capt. Wilson, b Trudgett	24		
O. A. Rose, b Trudgett	11		
H. P. Tebbutt, b Trudgett	3		
Watta, c Francis, b Capt. Wilson	0		
F. Robertson, c sub. b Trudgett	54	c Capt. Wilson, b Penfold	6
H. B. Hart, b Knight	13		
R. H. Whybro, b Capt. Wilson	19		
Coulson, run out	14		
Extras	15		
	192		25

LANCASHIRE v. GLOUCESTERSHIRE.

Played at Manchester on July 21 and 22.

Lancashire won by ten wickets.

On Thursday play took place on a dead wicket, and the visitors, after winning the toss, should have made more than 187 runs during the three hours and a quarter. Dean (who took his 100th wicket of the season), Huddleston and Heap shared the bowling honours, but were all flattered by the batsmen's lack of enterprise. Lancashire lost Hartley at 4, but Spooner and Tyldesley (J. T.) enabled their side to obtain an advantage on the day's play by adding 74 in 40 minutes. When stumps were drawn with three wickets down for 79, Spooner carried out his bat for 35. A succession of showers did not permit the game being continued on Friday until ten minutes to three, but, this fact notwithstanding, the match was finished by the end of the day. Spooner again played brilliantly, but received such poor support that the eighth wicket fell at 147. Hornby subsequently played a rousing game, and Huddleston stayed with him whilst 54 were put on for the ninth wicket in 25 minutes. Dennett, who took seven wickets for 83 runs, bowled very well indeed. In a minority of 65, Gloucestershire collapsed completely when they went in the second time, and in 100 minutes were all out for 76. Heap obtained five wickets for 16 runs, and, in the match, seven for 23. Lancashire won by ten wickets. Score and analysis:—

Table with columns for Gloucestershire and Lancashire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Lancashire vs Gloucestershire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Gloucestershire vs Lancashire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Wanderers vs Pallingswick, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Wanderers vs Pallingswick, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

CAMBRIDGESHIRE v. SUFFOLK.

Played at Ely on July 12 and 13 and won by Suffolk by 19 runs. Cambridgeshire's last five wickets fell for 15. Score and analysis:—

Table with columns for Suffolk and Cambridgeshire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Cambridgeshire vs Suffolk, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Suffolk vs Cambridgeshire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

CLIFTON COLLEGE v. MALVERN COLLEGE.— Played at Clifton on July 15 and 16 and won by Malvern by nine wickets. Score:—

Table with columns for Clifton College and Malvern College, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Malvern College vs Clifton College, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

NOTTINGHAMSHIRE v. SUSSEX.

Played at Nottingham on July 21, 22 and 23.

Nottinghamshire won by ten wickets.

After heavy rain during Wednesday night, A. O. Jones, upon winning the toss, sent Sussex in, and his policy was justified, for at the end of the day Notts had made 128 for three wickets in response to a total of 154. For some time, however, it seemed as though no advantage would be gained by the move, for at two o'clock the Sussex score was 106 with only three men out. Afterwards the last seven wickets went down in 75 minutes for 48 runs, Iremonger and Riley bowling with much effect. Notts lost Jones at 2 and Hardstaff at 34, but Gunn (G.) and Payton showed capital form in putting on 73 in 50 minutes. George Gunn scored his 60 out of 107 in 100 minutes and hit seven 4's. On the second day the home side went well ahead and when stumps were drawn required only 64 runs to win and had all ten wickets in hand. After losing their seventh wicket at 169, Oates and Riley gave John Gunn good support and a lead of 93 was obtained on the innings. Going in again, Sussex lost three wickets for 36, but after the younger Relf was out, at 68, his brother and Leach put on 72 together in an hour. Iremonger kept a perfect length and was largely responsible for the collapse. Notts were left with only 68 runs to win, and by the end of the day had made 4 without loss (G. Gunn and Oates in). On the third morning the remaining runs were obtained without further loss, Notts gaining the verdict by ten wickets. Oates received a blow in the face from the ball and was obliged to retire. Score and analysis:—

Table with columns for Sussex and Nottinghamshire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Table for Nottinghamshire vs Sussex, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

Second innings: Gunn (G.), not out, 38; Hardstaff, not out, 18; Oates, retired hurt, 8; lb 4, w 1, 5. Total (no wkt) 69.

Table for Sussex vs Nottinghamshire, listing players and their runs/wickets. Includes sub-tables for First and Second innings.

The AMERICAN CRICKETER.

FOUNDED 1877. Published by H. H. Cornish on behalf of The Associated Cricket Clubs of Philadelphia. An Illustrated Journal of Cricket, Association Football, Tennis, Golf, and Kindred Pastimes. No. 608, Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa., U.S.A. PRICE— 15/- per annum, post paid anywhere. Specimen copies mailed on request.