

MATCH NUMBER 90 : STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The County Ground, Victoria Road, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910

Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire

Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory Debutants: Staffordshire 1 (F M Grose); Lincolnshire none

First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson 0*)

Staffordshire

* P Briggs	c Prior b Day	43
Hollowood, A	c Transenster b Riley	44
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley	12
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	58
Vost, E	b Day	7
W H Wood	b Riley	4
J W Johnson	b Day	5
F M Grose	b Riley	0
+ H Dearing	b Riley	0
Deyes, G	not out	0
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5	23
Total		240

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	2	-				
Riley	23	5	50	6	-	-				
Worman	8	3	15	1	-	-				
Broughton	15	1	44	0	-	-				
Transenster	3	0	15	0	-	-				
Day	27	12	44	3	-	-				
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-				
Total	90	24	240	10	5	0				

Lincolnshire

E Pullein	b Deyes	1	(1)	c Dearing b Deyes	1
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0	(2)	lbw b Deyes	3
C L Prior	b Barnes	0	(3)	b Barnes	1
Day, J W	not out	20	(4)	b Barnes	0
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0	(5)	b Barnes	3
W A Transenster	b Barnes	9	(6)	b Deyes	0
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3	(7)	lbw b Barnes	6
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4	(8)	b Barnes	2
J N Worman	b Deyes	8	(9)	b Deyes	20
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0	(10)	c Grose b Deyes	9
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0	(11)	not out	2
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2		b 2	2
Total		47			49

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16	26	44	49

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	5	-	1	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-		-	-	2	0	-	-
Total	27.1	10	47	10	0	1		19.3	6	49	10	0	0

Notes: This return match was won by Staffordshire before lunch on the second day by an innings and 144 runs, after Lincolnshire followed on 193 runs behind on first innings. The match between the two sides at Grantham in July had also been won by Staffordshire. For the winners, Barnes and Deyes bowled unchanged through both innings. Barnes took 10 wickets in the match for 43 runs and Deyes 10 for 49. There were 5 fours in Nichols's 58. For the losers, Riley took 6 wickets in the Staffordshire innings for 50 runs. Day 20 not out and Worman with 20 top-scored for Lincolnshire.

[Missing: In Staffordshire innings who bowled other 3 wides; precise time completed.]

Sources: Staffordshire Scorebook; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August; Staffordshire Sentinel 15,16 August; Grimsby News 19 August; The Sportsman 16,17 August; Cricket 25 August, p 364.

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 20 May 2015 (TP/KT/DFS/AJW)

CRM

Colin Mumford

MATCH NUMBER 90 : STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The County Ground, Victoria Road, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910

Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire

Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory Debutants: Staffordshire 1 (F M Grose); Lincolnshire none

First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson 0*)

Staffordshire

* P Briggs	c Prior b Day	43
Hollowood, A	c Trasher b Riley	44
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley	12
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	58
Vost, E	b Day	7
W H Wood	b Riley	4
J W Johnson	b Day	5
F M Grose	b Riley	0
+ H Dearing	b Riley	0
Deyes, G	not out	0
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5	23
Total		240

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	2	-	-	-	-	-
Riley	23	5	50	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worman	8	3	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Broughton	15	1	44	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trasher	3	0	15	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day	27	12	44	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	90	24	240	10	5	0				

Lincolnshire

E Pullein	b Deyes	1	(1)	c Dearing b Deyes	1
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0	(2)	lbw b Deyes	3
C L Prior	b Barnes	0	(3)	b Barnes	1
Day, J W	not out	20	(4)	b Barnes	0
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0	(5)	b Barnes	3
W A Trasher	b Barnes	9	(6)	b Deyes	0
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3	(7)	lbw b Barnes	6
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4	(8)	b Barnes	2
J N Worman	b Deyes	8	(9)	b Deyes	20
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0	(10)	c Grose b Deyes	9
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0	(11)	not out	2
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2		b 2	2
Total		47			49

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16	26	44	49

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	5	-	1	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-		-	-	2	0	-	-
Total	27.1	10	47	10	0	1	<i>match</i>	19.3	6	49	10	0	0

Notes: This return match was won by Staffordshire before lunch on the second day by an innings and 144 runs, after Lincolnshire followed on 193 runs behind on first innings. The contest between the two sides at Grantham in July had also been won by Staffordshire. For the winners, Barnes and Deyes bowled unchanged through both innings. Barnes took 10 wickets in the match for 43 runs and Deyes 10 for 49. There were 5 fours in Nichols's 58. For the losers, Riley took 6 wickets in the Staffordshire innings for 63 runs. Day 20 not out and Worman with 20 top-scored for Lincolnshire.

[Missing: In Staffordshire innings who bowled other 3 wides; precise time completed.]

Sources: Staffordshire Scorebook; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August; Staffordshire Sentinel 15,16 August; Grimsby News 19 August; The Sportsman 16,17 August; Cricket 25 August, p 364.

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 7 May 2015 (TP/KT/DFS/AJW)

MATCH NUMBER 90 : STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE - NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The County Ground, Victoria Road, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910

Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire

Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory Debutants: Staffordshire 1 (F M Grose); Lincolnshire none

First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson 0*)

Staffordshire

* P Briggs	c Prior b Day	43
Hollowood, A	c Transenter b Riley	44?
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley	12
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	58
Vost, E	b Day	7
W H Wood	b Riley	4
J W Johnson	b Day	5
F M Grose	b Riley	0
+ H Dearing	b Riley	0
Deyes, G	not out	0
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5	23
Total		240?

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	2	-	-	-	-	-
Riley	23/30?	5/9?	50/63?	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Worman (4)?	8	3	15	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Broughton (3)?	15	1	44	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transenter	3	0	15	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Day	27/20?	12/8?	44/31?	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	90?	24	240?	10?	5	0				

Lincolnshire

E Pullein	b Deyes	1	(2)?	c Dearing b Deyes	1
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0	(1)?	lbw b Deyes	3
C L Prior	b Barnes	0	()	b Barnes	1
Day, J W	not out	20	()	b Barnes	0
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0	()	b Barnes	3
W A Transenter	b Barnes	9	()	b Deyes	0
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3	()	?lbw b Barnes	6
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4	()	b Barnes	2
J N Worman	b Deyes	8	()	b Deyes	20
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0	()	c Grose b Deyes	9
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0	()	not out	2
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2		b 2	2
Total		47			49

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16?	26	44	49

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	5	-	1	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-		-	-	2	0	-	-
Total	27.1	10	47	10	0	1		19.3	6	49	10	0	0

Notes: This return match was won by Staffordshire before lunch on the second day by an innings and 144 runs, after Lincolnshire followed on 13 runs behind on first innings. The contest between the two sides at Grantham in July had also been won by Staffordshire. For the winners, Barnes and Deyes bowled unchanged through both innings. Barnes took 10 wickets in the match for 43 runs and Deyes 10 for 49. There were 5 fours in Nichols's 58. For the losers, Riley took 6 wickets in the Staffordshire innings for 63 runs. There were 7 fours and 5 fours respectively in Day's 20 not out and Worman's 20.

[Missing: In Staffordshire first innings confirm Hollowood scored 44 nor 54, confirm total 240 not 250, boundaries in Nichol's 58, check if Riley bowled 23 overs, 5 maidens and conceded 50 runs as in SSB or 30, 9 and 63 respectively-as in all other sources, check if Day bowled 27 overs, 12 maidens and conceded 44 runs as in SSB or 20, 8 and 31 respectively as in all other sources, check bowling-order, and check who bowled other 3 wides; in Lincolnshire first innings boundaries in Day's 20; in Lincolnshire second innings batting order; and boundaries in Worman's 20; precise time completed.]

Sources: Staffordshire Scorebook; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August; Staffordshire Sentinel 15,16 August (Tony Percival); Lincolnshire Newspapers (Ken Trushell); Grimsby News 19 August (Tony Webb); The Sportsman 16,17 August; Cricket 25 August, p 364 (Tony Webb).

Status: First draft match score edited by the Clearing House -- to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions and comment.

Staff innings

- (a) Hollowood 44/54 scored. Staff Sen narrative states 44. It appears TS differs. Accept majority as well as that which balances
- (b) Innings Total. Again TS is the problem. I note that Wis gives the total as 240. Accept this
- (c) Limer bowling Riley/Day. This is very strange. The best fit & the average is to accept the SSB version
- (d) Bowling order Staff Sen narrative states "Worman relieved Locke at 29" and Broughton relieved Riley at 41."
Staff Ad + SSB agree
- (e) 3 wickets - nothing

Hollowood 44

Total 240

Riley 23 overs etc

Day 27 overs etc

order as printed

Limer 2 innings

Batting Order. Can we not get this from the SSB? or is it still unreliable as earlier.

As regards the opener Staff Ad states Limer had 5 minutes to bat - Time for 2 overs I suppose. Burner opened the bowling. As Pullen scored the single he could not have scored it off Dayes. Therefore Pullen must have scored the single off Burner in order to get to the other end to face Dayes and be caught whereupon I suppose play ended for the day

Narrative in Staff Sen. is consistent (as far as it goes) with the order being the same as in the first innings. If SSB cannot be relied upon willing to accept this

Pullen (1)

Thompson (2)

Rest of order as per 1st innings

MATCH NUMBER 90 : STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE

At The County Ground, Victoria Road, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910

Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire

Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory Debutants: Staffordshire 1 (F M Grose); Lincolnshire none

First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson 0*)

Staffordshire

* P Briggs	c Prior b Day	43
Hollowood, A	c Transenter b Riley	44?
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley	12
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	58
Vost, E	b Day	7
W H Wood	b Riley	4
J W Johnson	b Day	5
F M Grose	b Riley	0
+ H Dearing	b Riley	0
Deyes, G	not out	0
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5	23
Total		240?

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	2	-
Riley	23/30?	5/9?	50/63?	6	-	-
Worman (4)?	8	3	15	1	-	-
Broughton (3)?	15	1	44	0	-	-
Transenter	3	0	15	0	-	-
Day	27/20?	12/8?	44/31?	3	-	-
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-
Total	90	24	240	10	5	0

Lincolnshire

E Pullein	b Deyes	1	(2)?	c Dearing b Deyes	1
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0	(1)?	lbw b Deyes	3
C L Prior	b Barnes	0	()	b Barnes	1
Day, J W	not out	20	()	b Barnes	0
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0	()	b Barnes	3
W A Transenter	b Barnes	9	()	b Deyes	0
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3	()	?lbw b Barnes	6
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4	()	b Barnes	2
J N Worman	b Deyes	8	()	b Deyes	20
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0	()	c Grose b Deyes	9
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0	()	not out	2
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2		b 2	2
Total		47			49

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16?	26	44	49

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	5	-	1	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-		-	-	2	0	-	-
Total	27.1	10	47	10	0	1		19.3	6	49	10	0	0

Notes: This return match was won by Staffordshire before lunch on the second day by an innings and 144 runs, after Lincolnshire followed on 13 runs behind on first innings. The contest between the two sides at Grantham in July had also been won by Staffordshire. For the winners, Barnes and Deyes bowled unchanged through both innings. Barnes took 10 wickets in the match for 43 runs and Deyes 10 for 49. There were 5 fours in Nichols's 58. For the losers, Riley took 6 wickets in the Staffordshire innings for 63 runs. There were 7 fours and 5 fours respectively in Day's 20 not out and Worman's 20.

[Missing: In Staffordshire first innings confirm Hollowood scored 44 nor 54, confirm total 240 not 250, boundaries in Nichol's 58, check if Riley bowled 23 overs, 5 maidens and conceded 50 runs as in SSB or 30, 9 and 63 respectively as in all other sources, check if Day bowled 27 overs, 12 maidens and conceded 44 runs as in SSB or 20, 8 and 31 respectively as in all other sources, check bowling order, and check who bowled other 3 wides; in Lincolnshire first innings boundaries in Day's 20; in Lincolnshire second innings batting order, and boundaries in Worman's 20; precise time completed.]

Sources: Staffordshire Scorebook; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August; Staffordshire Sentinel 15,16 August (Tony Percival); Lincolnshire Newspapers (Ken Trushell); Grimsby News 19 August (Tony Webb); The Sportsman 16,17 August; Cricket 25 August, p 364 (Tony Webb).

Status: First draft match score edited by the Clearing House – to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions and comment.

SS 15, 16 Aug Cricket
LE

MATCH NUMBER 90 : STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

At The County Ground, Victoria Road, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910

Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire

Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory Debutants: Staffordshire 1 (F M Grose); Lincolnshire none

First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson 0*)

Staffordshire

* P Briggs	c Prior b Day		43
Hollowood, A	c Transenter b Riley	44's	44? ✓
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44's	44
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley		12
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	54's	58
Vost, E	b Day		7
W H Wood	b Riley		4
J W Johnson	b Day		5
F M Grose	b Riley		0
+ H Dearing	b Riley		0
Deyes, G	not out		0
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5		23
Total			240? ✓

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	2	-
Riley	23/30? *5/9?	*50/63?	6	-	-	-
Worman	8	3	15	1	-	-
Broughton	15	1	44	0	-	-
Transenter	3	0	15	0	-	-
Day	27/20? 12/8?	*44/31?	3	-	-	-
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-
Total	90	24	240	10	5	0

Lincolnshire

E Pullein	b Deyes	1	(2)?	c Dearing b Deyes	1
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0	(1)?	lbw b Deyes	3
C L Prior	b Barnes	0	3	b Barnes	1
Day, J W	not out	20	4	b Barnes	0
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0	5	b Barnes	3
W A Transenter	b Barnes	9	6	b Deyes	0
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3	7	?lbw b Barnes	6
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4	8	b Barnes	2
J N Worman	b Deyes	8	9	b Deyes	20
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0	10	c Grose b Deyes	9
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0	11	not out	2
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2	12	b 2	2
Total		47			49

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16?	26	44	49

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	5	-	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-	-	-	2	0	-
Total	193	27.1	10	47	10	0	19.3	6	49	10	0

Notes: This return match was won by Staffordshire before lunch on the second day by an innings and 144 runs, after Lincolnshire followed on 4 runs behind on first innings. The contest between the two sides at Grantham in July had also been won by Staffordshire. For the winners, Barnes and Deyes bowled unchanged through both innings. Barnes took 10 wickets in the match for 43 runs and Deyes 10 for 49. There were 2 fours in Nichols's 58. For the losers, Riley took 6 wickets in the Staffordshire innings for 63 runs. There were 7 fours and 7 respectively in Day's 20 not out and Worman's 20.

[Missing: In Staffordshire 1st inns confirm Hollowood scored 44 nor 54, confirm total 240 not 250, boundaries in Nichols's 58, check if Riley bowled 23 overs, 5 maidens and conceded 50 runs as in SSB or 30, 9 and 63 respectively as in all other sources, check if Day bowled 27 overs, 12 maidens and conceded 44 runs as in SSB or 20, 8 and 31 respectively as in all other sources, check bowling order, and check who bowled other 3 wides; in Lincolnshire 1st inns boundaries in Day's 20; in Lincolnshire 2nd inns batting order, boundaries in Worman's 20, and check fall of 7th wicket where Lincs sources give 44 not 16; precise time completed.]

Sources: Staffordshire Scorebook; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August; Staffordshire Sentinel 15, 16 August (Tony Percival); Grimsby News 19 August (Tony Webb); Ken Trushell; The Sportsman 16, 17 August (Tony Webb).

Status: First draft match score edited by the Clearing House - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement after checking SS and stats review for missing details.

SS 15/16
Am
by

MATCH NUMBER ⁹⁰ STAFFORDSHIRE V LINCOLNSHIRE - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP
 At County Ground, Stoke-on-Trent on Monday 15, Tuesday 16 August 1910
 Result: Staffordshire won by an innings and 144 runs Points: Staffordshire 5 Toss: Staffordshire
 Umpires: W Copeland and J Gregory
 Debutants: ~~Staffs (FM, Grose)~~; ~~Lincoln none~~
 First day score: Staffordshire 240; Lincolnshire 47 and 1-1 wicket (W E Thompson)*, C L Prior (-)

Staffordshire	11.40 -									
* P Briggs	c Prior b Day	43								
Hollowood, A	c Transenter b Riley	44								
Barnes, S F	c Worman b Riley	44								
L F Taylor	c Cooke b Riley	12								
Nichols, J E	c Pullein b Worman	58								
Vost, E	b Day	7								
W H Wood	b Riley	4								
J W Johnson	b Day	5								
F M Grose	b Riley	0								
+ H Dearing	b Riley	0								
Deyes, G	not out	0								
Extras	b 15, lb 3, w 5	23								
Total		240								WIS 240

240
47
193

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cooke	14	3	49	0	-					
Riley	23	5	50	6	-					
Worman	8	3	15	1	-					
Broughton	15	1	44	0	-					
Transenter	3	0	15	0	-					
Day	27	12	44	3	-					
Extras	-	-	23	0	-					
Total	90	24	240	10	5					

Lincolnshire										
E Pullein	b Deyes	1								
* W E Thompson	b Barnes	0								
C L Prior	b Barnes	0								
Day, J W	not out	20								
T D Edwards	b Barnes	0								
W A Transenter	b Barnes	9								
Riley, E E	b Deyes	3								
Broughton, J J	c Vost b Barnes	4								
J N Worman	b Deyes	8								
E T Cooke	b Deyes	0								
+ Allen, G J	b Deyes	0								
Extras	b 1, nb 1	2								
Total		47								

6.30 (Followed on)
 (2)
 (2)

Latched 1 1/2 hrs. over before lunch on second day.

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	1	1	1	2	20	23	36	44	47	47
Second innings	1	4	4	6	8	16	16	44	26	44

Barnes	14	6	17	5	-	(1)	10	3	26	5	-
Deyes	13.1	4	28	3	-	(2)	9.3	3	21	5	-
Extras	-	-	2	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-
Total	27.1	10	47	10	0		19.3	6	49	10	0

Notes: Source: Staffordshire Advertiser 20 August 1910; Staffordshire Annual Report; Staffordshire CCC scorebook; Staffordshire Sentinel 15/16 August 1910.
 Missing: Debutants; Scores of overnight batsmen; Who was the Lincolnshire captain? Who bowled the wides and the no-ball?
 May need checking: Points; Professionals.

TS 16/17 Aug (Ad) Ken Trickett; County News 22 Aug 1910; Staffs Advertiser 20 Aug (Ad)
 Match completed before lunch on second day. Barnes & Deyes bowled for Staffs unchanged in both Lewis wins. Lewis needed 193 to avoid wins defeat.
 Return match - Staffs won at Craven in July by 32 runs.
 336-30 wickets No large partnerships.

TO-DAY'S CRICKET.

STAFFORDSHIRE v. LINCOLNSHIRE.

Second-class Counties Championship.

(FROM OUR OWN REPRESENTATIVE.)

STOKE, Monday.

Staffordshire opened their concluding match of the season, so far as the second-class counties tournament is concerned, at Stoke today, when Lincolnshire were their visitors.

When the teams met at Grantham a few weeks ago Staffordshire predominated in a day, securing the full complement of points; consequently the home side were the favourites for success in this the return match. P. Briggs officiated as skipper of the home side, in the absence of H. D. Stratton, but the team that did duty was hardly as strong as those played last week. H. D. Stratton, Fereday, Bucknell, and E. H. Bourne were absent, and W. H. Wood, J. W. Johnson, and H. E. Bourne were brought in.

AN EXCELLENT BEGINNING.

There was a good attendance when P. Briggs and A. Hollowood opened the home innings on what, to all appearances, was an easy wicket, judging from the amount of rain that fell during the night. The batsmen were soon at work, Hollowood being the most conspicuous in the early stages, scoring 28 while his partner had only credited himself with three. The tables turned somewhat subsequently, and Briggs was able to receive a good share of the bowling, and when his colleague had 34 to his name he drew level with him in this respect, following which they each scored pretty regularly, keeping abreast in the scoring.

The first fifty was scored after a spell of forty minutes' batting, but before accomplishing this feat the batsmen had to encounter four different bowlers. Worman relieving Cooke at 29, while Broughton relieved Riley at 41. Then at 63 another change in the bowling was experienced, Transenster going on for Worman, and at 80 Riley was re-introduced to the attack, relieving Transenster.

CHARACTER OF THE BATTING.

The character of the batting was noteworthy. Hollowood scored at a good pace until 30, and then relaxed somewhat until his partner had registered a like number. Briggs was the first to score, driving Cooke for three, and Hollowood hit the last delivery of the over to leg for four. Three overs were trundled and yielded a couple of singles, and then Hollowood hit ten in the subsequent over from Cooke. He opened with a four to leg, then two through the slips, and followed with another pretty stroke sending the ball to the leg boundary. The same batsman drove Riley for four, and after he had registered another two, each batsman received a maiden over.

When Broughton was introduced nine runs were recorded off his first over, and A. Hollowood 28. Briggs commenced to score rapidly hitting all round the wicket. His runs came chiefly by twos, and he gave an excellent exhibition of cricket. His driving was a treat, and the only chance he gave was availed of when he had scored 43, he being taken at extra cover by Prior. His chief hits were two fours, two threes, and eleven twos.

The visiting captain did not hesitate to change the attack, and at 83 Day was introduced. It was not long before he was successful, because it was from one of his deliveries that Briggs was dismissed. Barnes filled the vacancy but the score of 83 was unaltered before Hollowood was sent to the right-about having scored a brilliant 44. Four fours and six twos were his best hits. His dismissal was brought about by a catch by Transenster at leg off a delivery from Riley.

A. Hollowood and Briggs dismissed, Barnes and L. F. Taylor opened a partnership, but at 111 the latter was taken by Cooke at point, having scored a dozen. A further change in the bowling was made at 106. Cooke again coming on for Riley, who changed ends and relieved Day. The century had gone up after an hour and 40 minutes at the wickets. The score had been carried to 116 when luncheon was taken, Barnes and Nichols being the not-outs.

FOURTH WICKET STAND.

The Nichols-Barnes partnership was very productive, and on resuming after lunch they scored very freely. Fifty runs were added to the score in the space of thirty minutes. Barnes scored at a greater pace than his colleague, but Nichols let no opportunity pass. Barnes was particularly conspicuous for his brilliant leg play, and time after time he diverted the deliveries of all the bowlers on the leg side.

When at Grantham he succeeded in registering 52, and he gave promise of a similar performance to-day, because he played with much confidence and in a very safe manner. Within half an hour after lunch he had added 30 to his score of ten before the adjournment, and continued to display interesting cricket. Nichols, on the other hand, had scored 28.

The partnership was at length ended, Barnes being the first to go. Nichols, however, had reached 29 when he was badly missed by Transenster at extra-cover, while in the next over Barnes was missed by Day, off Riley, in the slips. He was not allowed to reign much longer because in the same over he was taken by Worman at first slip, having registered a useful 44. Four fours, four threes, and six twos were his chief hits. Altogether the partnership yielded 74 runs.

Vost was the new comer, and he opened with a couple of twos while his colleague notched two singles and a three. Immediately afterwards he scored a four, and after a couple of singles, Nichols sent the 200 up with a cut for three. To accomplish this task Staffordshire were engaged at the wickets two hours and fifty minutes.

NICHOLS SCORES FIFTY.

Nichols continued to show vigour, and the score was gradually increased, until at 228 the batsman mentioned was smartly taken by Pullein at first slip. He had contributed 58 runs, and included in his hits were five fours, five threes, and five twos. W. H. Wood followed, and opened with a two, and then in the next over Vost was bowled by Day, he having scored seven. Six wickets had, therefore, been lost for 230 runs.

1st Inns.	STAFFORDSHIRE.	2nd Inns.
P Briggs c Prior b Day	43	
Hollowood (A) c Transenster b Riley	44	
Barnes (S F) c Worman b Riley	44	
L F Taylor c Cooke b Riley	13	
Nichols (J) c Pullein b Worman	58	
Vost (E) b Day	7	
W H Wood not out	4	
Extras	22	

Total (6 wks) . . . 234
Staffordshire, 240 all out.

Umpires: Messrs. W. Copeland and J. Gregory.

STAFFORDSHIRE v. LINCOLNSHIRE.

Second-class Counties Championship.

Magnificent Victory for the Home County.

BOWLING UNPLAYABLE.

Remarkable Success of Deyes and Barnes.

(FROM OUR OWN REPRESENTATIVE.)

STOKE, Tuesday.

Lincolnshire were quickly disposed of this morning in their second innings, the Staffordshire bowling being literally unplayable, and the home county scored a magnificent victory by an innings and 144 runs. They predominated at Grantham earlier in the season by a margin of an innings and 32 runs; therefore in both matches with Lincolnshire this year Staffordshire have secured the full complement of points.

Playing on a difficult wicket so far as the batsmen were concerned yesterday afternoon, Lincolnshire were unable to cope with the attack with which they were faced, succumbing to Barnes and Deyes after having compiled a total of 47 runs. Of the two bowlers Barnes enjoyed the best analysis, having captured five wickets for 17 runs, while his colleague finished with five for 28.

From a batsman's point of view the wicket had not improved any this morning, and the Lincolnshire batsmen, who had followed on overnight and lost E. Pullein for a single, he being smartly taken by Deating at the wicket by a right-handed catch off Deyes, fared very badly.

BARNES AND DEYES PROMINENT.

The two professionals from Porthill Park and Burslem had matters pretty much to their own liking, and in just over an hour they had finished their work and secured for Staffordshire a handsome victory. To begin with Barnes trundled a maiden over, and then off Deyes' first six deliveries three runs were

registered, carrying the overnight total of one to four. Barnes followed with dismissing Prior with the first delivery of his next over, and he was followed at the wicket by Day, who in the Lincolnshire first innings compiled a total of 20 out of the 47 registered and carried his bat.

Ill-luck seemed to be his fate this morning, however, because with his first delivery from Barnes he was clean bowled. The ball kept a splendid length and broke in sharply from the leg, the batsman apparently being beaten all the way. Three wickets had now fallen for four runs, and when Edwards joined Thompson he played cautiously until Barnes had concluded his over.

Deyes, in his second over this morning and third of the innings, accounted for the dismissal of Thompson, getting the batsman leg before wicket after he had scored three, while Barnes followed by clean bowling Edwards after he had played a previous delivery to leg for a couple.

FIVE WICKETS FOR EIGHT RUNS.

Five wickets had at this juncture been captured at a cost of eight runs, and the sixth partnership consisted of W. A. Transenster and Riley. The batsmen realising the seriousness of the situation adopted very cautious tactics, and in this way they were successful in carrying the score to 16 before they were separated. Riley was the first to go he being out leg before wicket to Barnes when he had registered half a dozen. This particular over by the way had so far proved the most costly as regarded the second innings, Riley, having turned two of Barnes' deliveries to account to leg for a two and a four respectively.

Each bowler followed with a maiden over, and then in his fifth over to-day Deyes bowled Transenster hitting the off-stump. The batsman although he had had a fairly long stay at the wicket, comparatively speaking, had not scored, and the two subsequent batsmen to do duty were J. N. Worman and Broughton.

THE HIGHEST SCORER.

Worman proved to be the highest scorer in the side, because before he was bowled middle stump by Deyes he had compiled the very respectable total of 20. And singularly enough his score was made up of five fours, each time hitting the ball to the boundary. Prior to his dismissal, however, he lost his partner who fell a victim to Barnes and then the last wicket fell to the credit of Deyes, E. T. Cooke being taken low down by F. M. Grose at mid-off. Once again the bowlers shared the wickets, this time Deyes having the best analysis of five wickets for 21, while Barnes captured five for 26. Each had therefore, secured ten wickets in the match, Barnes having cost 43, and Deyes 49. Scores:—

1st Inns.	STAFFORDSHIRE.	2nd Inns.
P Briggs c Prior b Day	43	
Hollowood (A) c Transenster b Riley	44	
Barnes (S F) c Worman b Riley	44	
L F Taylor c Cooke b Riley	12	
Nichols (J) c Pullein b Worman	58	
Vost (E) b Day	7	
W H Wood b Riley	4	
J W Johnson b Day	5	
F M Grose b Riley	0	
H Deating b Riley	0	
Deyes (G) not out	0	
Extras	23	
Total	240	

1st Inns.	LINCOLNSHIRE.	2nd Inns.
E Pullein b Deyes	1	c Deating b Deyes . . . 1
W E Thompson b Barnes	0	b Deyes 1
C L Prior b Barnes	0	b Barnes 3
Day not out	20	b Barnes 9
T D Edwards b Barnes	0	b Barnes 0
W A Transenster b Barnes	9	b Deyes 0
Riley b Deyes	3	lbw b Barnes 6
Broughton c Vost b Barnes	4	b Barnes 2
J N Worman b Deyes	8	b Deyes 20
E T Cooke b Deyes	0	c Grose b Deyes 9
Allen b Deyes	0	not out 2
Extras	2	Extras 2
Total	47	Total 49

STAFFS—First Innings.		Second Innings.	
B.	R.	B.	R.
J T Cooke	14	3	49
Riley	30	9	63
J N Worman	8	3	15
Broughton	15	1	44
W A Transenster	5	0	15
Day	20	8	31
Cooke	20	8	31
Cooke bowled two wides.			
LINCOLN.—First Innings.		Second Innings.	
Barnes	14	6	17
Deyes	13	4	28
Deyes bowled one no-ball.			

FALL OF WICKETS.									
Staffordshire.—First Innings.					Lincolnshire.—Second Innings.				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
88	88	111	185	228	230	234	236	236	240
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	4	4	6	8	16	16	26	44	49

Umpires: Messrs. W. Copeland and J. Gregory.

TOURNAMENT ENDED.

Staffordshire's Handsome Victory Over Lincolnshire.

MORE BOWLING ACHIEVEMENTS.

(BY "JUNIUS.")

Since their venture at Trent Bridge Staffordshire have enjoyed a return to their truest form and they have asserted themselves in their three concluding tournament matches this year in a manner which speaks in no uncertain degree of their marked ability in cricket, this noble pastime of summer. Yorkshire, Durham, and Lincolnshire have experienced this because each have been compelled to follow on, and in two instances Staffordshire secured the full complement of points, while they compelled the Tykes, who held out against their bowling in the second effort, to surrender three points on the aggregate of the first innings.

Staffordshire have, therefore, in something short of a fortnight improved their position by a matter of thirteen points, but despite this they do not claim the role of champions. Had they only enjoyed the slightest good fortune in the matches they lost on the first innings they would easily have attained this honour, but, as we have seen, they did not, and their record in second-class county cricket this year is: Played 12; won 6, on first innings 1; lost 1, on the first innings 4. Taking everything into consideration it is not at all bad; it might have been worse, and, at the same time, a good deal better. But there is nothing to grumble about; the players have at all times, done their utmost; they have worked in harmony one with the other, and a whole-hearted co-operation has always been in evidence the whole season through. Having failed this year to assume the title of champions, they must make no mistake when they again set themselves the task next year.

It is highly probable that there will be a slight change in the programme next season, though to speak of it at this juncture is rather premature. Still there is nothing like looking ahead and knowing what is in store; therefore no harm can be done by a passing reference. It has been mooted, and there is much authority in the statement, though the unexpected often happens, that neither Yorkshire nor Notts will participate in the second-class counties tournament next year. That being so the Staffordshire fixture list is reduced by four matches and undoubtedly four of their strongest and keenest contests of the year. The visits of Yorkshire and Notts to Stoke have always served as a great attraction, and the same can be said of Staffordshire when they have measured swords with these teams away.

At all times these annual fixtures have been full of interest, and the fact that there is a likelihood that they will not meet next season is regretted in cricket circles generally. In face of this, however, to complete their list, and fill the vacancies, as it were, Staffordshire will have to seek pastures new, and there is a whisper that Suffolk and Norfolk will be included in their programme another year. To my mind, a better selection could not be made, because the cricket from these counties is by no means of an inferior character; in fact, I am not so sure that Suffolk will not this year assert themselves as champions. Naturally many other suggestions can be advanced, but if this choice is decided upon it cannot help but meet with general approval.

The strength of the Staffordshire eleven is pretty generally known, and if it has, at any time during the season, been doubted that the team is not as strong as heretofore, then those doubts have certainly been put at rest as a result of the recent home matches. Many times I have advanced the opinion that there is no team participating in second-class county cricket to-day that can assume a superior attitude to Staffordshire, and now the season is practically ended, I am more confident than ever in maintaining this view. In all departments they are well supplied, and it is the strangest thing imaginable to me that they have not again assumed the role of champions. Consider the record they placed to their credit this week. Lincolnshire were made to understand the value of the Staffordshire bowling, and in something under three hours they were dismissed twice for the respective totals of 47

and 49. S. F. Barnes and George Deyes were the bowling artistes, and in each innings they accomplished the whole of the attacking without a change. At the first time of asking Barnes enjoyed the best analysis, having captured five wickets for 17 runs, while his colleague had secured a like number for 23 runs. When Lincolnshire again batted Deyes was a trifle more successful than Barnes, and although the bowlers again shared the spoils, Deyes captured his wickets for 21 and Barnes for 26. Taking into account the bowling aggregate in both innings Barnes came out slightly the better of the two, his ten wickets having cost 43, while the ten to Deyes had cost one short of fifty.

Can anyone deny that this was a remarkable achievement? The batsmen were nonplussed by balls that brokè like lightning from the leg from Barnes, and it is curious to note that with one exception, the whole of the Lincolnshire batsmen in the first innings were clean bowled. In their second venture two were caught, another two out leg before wicket, and the remainder clean bowled. When Barnes opened the attack on Monday it was early apparent that the wicket was much to his liking, and in the early stages of the innings he secured three wickets in four consecutive deliveries. Then on Tuesday he followed this performance by dismissing both C. L. Prior and Dey, the latter having scored 20 not out in the first innings, in two successive deliveries. The best achievement by Deyes was undoubtedly that in the Lincolnshire second innings. Had Barnes beaten his analysis I should still have been of the same opinion, because from the very commencement he kept a delightful length, and the batsmen who opposed him afterwards remarked about the excellence of his bowling. When Barnes had five wickets to his credit Deyes had captured three, and the last two, for whose dismissal he was responsible, were J. N. Worman and L. M. Cooke. Worman had hit five fours, making his score 20, when Deyes clean bowled him, middle stump, and then Cooke lifted one which Grose took low down at mid-off, thus ending the innings and concluding the match.

Where Staffordshire scored was in winning the toss. They had the advantage of a rather easy wicket at the outset, but as the day advanced so the wicket became more and more difficult from a batsman's point of view. Their total of 240 was chiefly compiled by five batsmen, their order of merit being:—Nichols 58, Hollowood 44, Barnes 44, Briggs 43, and Taylor 21, making a total of 201. The remaining five batsmen registered 16 between them, and with the addition of 23 extras the total was carried to 240. This record alone is sufficient to indicate that at the period when the tail end were called upon the wicket was playing very tickly. It was again evidenced when Lincolnshire took up their position at the crease they losing three batsmen for a single, and then another with the score increased to two. The only player able to tolerate the bowling to his name. Those who recorded double figures have previously shown their ability with the bat, and at Grantham earlier in the year. Nichols was unsuccessful on his visit to the Sire, but he made amends for any shortcomings on that occasion by his brilliance on Monday, his 58 being the outcome of correct cricket. He did, however, enjoy one escape, a somewhat easy catch being dropped at mid-off when he was 23. Taylor was also unsuccessful at Grantham, while so far as Hollowood is concerned he did not take part in the first meeting.

Although the wicket was distinctly in favour of the bowlers when Lincolnshire opened, it was hardly expected that the batsmen would prove so powerless against the attack with which they were opposed. I don't for a moment think that they thought, under the conditions prevailing, that they would exceed the Staffordshire total, but they ought to have done something better than the following:—

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	1	2	20	23	39	44	47	47	

This is a total that practically preaches its own sermon, but their second venture was really more, and it was not until the last wicket that the total of the first innings was passed, or

the comparisons regarding the fall of wickets exceeded. Their record this innings was.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	4	4	6	8	16	16	28	44	49

Being disposed of so cheaply, it can be safely added that Staffordshire accomplished an overwhelming victory. When at Grantham they won in a day by an innings and 32 runs after having declared their first innings with the score 173 for six. On that occasion Barnes' analysis was:—First innings, 12.2-4-19-9; and second innings, 14-5-30-3. Taking into account the two meetings, Barnes has captured 22 of the Lincolnshire wickets at a cost of 92

runs, or an average of 4.18 per wicket. The victory this week was of an even more marked character, being to the extent of an innings and 144 runs.

The season, so far as Staffordshire are concerned, will conclude next week, the closing match being of a friendly character with Worcestershire, at Stourbridge. It will be a three days' match, and some interesting cricket should be the result. When Worcester were due at Stoke rain spoiled the first two days, not a ball being bowled, while on the third day 20 wickets were captured at an aggregate cost of 364 runs. In the match next week Staffordshire will be well represented, and they stand a very good chance for success against this first class county.

STAFFORDSHIRE V. LINCOLNSHIRE.

Staffordshire secured a great advantage in winning the toss at Stoke on Monday, for with the sun shining on a wicket heavy after the recent rain it was obvious that the pitch would become difficult later in the day. F. Briggs and Halliwood took Staffordshire scores to 28 for the first wicket. The third wicket fell at 111, and at lunch Barnes and Nichols were together with the score at 116. When the game was resumed the two Staffordshire professionals scored freely, both obtaining a number of runs on the leg side. The Staffordshire innings closed for 240, and then came a sensational collapse at the opening of the Lincolnshire innings, four wickets being down for two runs. The wicket was drying rapidly, and Barnes and Deyes, who bowled unchanged throughout the innings, were well nigh unplayable. The whole side were out at ten minutes past six for 47, and, following on, lost Pullett's wicket for 1 run in the five minutes' play, which remained before the drawing of stumps.

Probably the most remarkable feature of the match was the howling of Barnes and Deyes, who twice got rid of Lincolnshire. In each innings they equally divided the wickets, and ran each other very close on average, Barnes having slightly the better figures. After six wickets had fallen for 16 runs in Lincolnshire's second innings the score was taken to 44 for the seventh partnership, mainly owing to vigorous hitting by J. N. Worman, who obtained his 20 runs by five boundary strokes on the offside. The end was only slightly delayed, however, and the remainder giving little trouble Staffordshire gained a handsome victory by an innings and 154 runs. Score and analysis :-

STAFFORDSHIRE		LINCOLNSHIRE	
F Briggs c Prior b Day	43	Pullett b Deyes	1
Halliwood c Trassler b Riley	44	W E Thompson b Barnes	0
Barnes c Worman b Riley	44	C J Prior b Barnes	0
L F Taylor c Cooke b Riley	12	Day not out	20
Nichols c Pullett b Worman	58	T M Edwards b Barnes	0
Wick b Day	7	W A Trassler b Barnes	9
W H Wood b Riley	5	Riley b Deyes	3
J W Johnson b Day	5	Broughton c Voss b Barnes	4
F M Grove b Riley	6	J N Worman b Deyes	8
B Deering b Riley	0	M T Cooke b Deyes	0
Deyes not out	0	Allen b Deyes	0
Extras	23	Extras	2
Total	240	Total	47

ROLLING ANALYSIS

STAFF.	O.	M.	R.	W.	2nd Innings.
					O. M. R. W.
M T Cooke	14	3	29	0	
Riley	30	8	63	6	
J N Worman	8	0	15	1	
Broughton	15	1	44	0	
W A Trassler	3	0	15	0	
Day	20	6	33	3	
BARNES	14	6	17	5	10 3 26 5
Deyes	13	4	28	5	7 3 3 21 5

Deyes bowled one no-ball

THE COUNTY DEFEAT.

The County suffered this week a terrible defeat at the hands of Staffordshire, and one begins to wonder whether, with Barnes and Deyes, Staffordshire are not too strong for the Minor Counties Championship. Each of these players took ten wickets, Barnes for 33 and Deyes for 42. With the exception of Riley, Day, and Worman not one of the Lincolnshire men did anything. Riley and Day took nine of the ten Staffordshire wickets, Broughton, Trassler and Cooke all falling badly, and Day and Worman were the only batsmen to reach double figures. Less than 40 runs served to get rid of Lincolnshire twice over. Even the fact that Lincolnshire had the worst of the wicket gives us very little consolation.

NOTTS v. MIDDLESEX.

Played at Nottingham on August 22, 23 & 24. Nottinghamshire won by four wickets.

On a wicket which helped the bowlers, each side completed an innings on the first day with an advantage of 40 runs to the home side. Middlesex lost Warner to the first ball of the match and at the end of an hour and a-quarter had seven wickets down for 70. Hendren alone showed to any advantage of the earlier batsmen, but Kidd and Saville improved matters by adding 33 in half-an-hour. The latter was then out to an extraordinary catch on the boundary by Whysall, who appeared to be over the boundary when, after several attempts, he held the ball. Notts started well, George Gunn making 36 of the first 55 runs and Hardstaff and Payton adding 48 together in 35 minutes. A ninth-wicket stand by Iremonger and Clifton enabled the side to lead by 40 on the innings. In bowling Whysall, Hearne (J. T.) took his 100th wicket of the season. The play throughout the day was very keen, and the fielding accurate. Runs were hard to make on Tuesday, especially against Iremonger, who took seven wickets for 35 runs—the last four whilst only 3 runs were made off him. Hearne (J. W.) batted well for 55 minutes, and Douglas made a few good hits in his 19. No-one, however, did much and Notts were set only 78 to win. They lost Whysall at 15, and although the Gunns, Payton and Jones reached double-figures five wickets were down for 60 when rain caused play to cease for the day. Yesterday, although there was bright sunshine, it was only after a dreary wait of many hours that it was found possible to finish the game, so saturated was the wicket. Notts then made the few remaining runs for the loss of another wicket. Score and analysis:—

Table with columns for First innings and Second innings, listing players like P. F. Warner, Tarrant, Hendren, Gunn, Douglas, Hearne, Baker, Mann, Kidd, Murrell, and Hearne (J. T.) with their respective runs and wickets.

Table for Notts vs Middlesex, First and Second innings, listing players like Gunn, Hearne (J. T.), Whysall, Hardstaff, Payton, Gunn (John), Iremonger, Tarrant, Jones, Mann, Hearne (J. T.), Oates, Hendren, Hearne (J. T.), Riley, Clifton, Wass, and Byes.

Table for Middlesex vs Notts, First and Second innings, listing players like Wass, Riley, Iremonger, Hearne (J. T.), Tarrant, and Hearne (J. W.) with their runs and wickets.

J. E. Jewell, one of Surrey's most promising cricketers, will leave England in October in order to settle in South Africa.

STAFFORDSHIRE v. LINCOLNSHIRE.

Played at Stoke on August 15 and 16 and won by Staffordshire by an innings and 144 runs. Score and analysis:—

Table for Staffordshire vs Lincolnshire, First and Second innings, listing players like P. Briggs, Hollowood, Barnes, Riley, Taylor, Nichols, Worman, Vost, Wood, Johnson, Grose, Dearing, Deyes, and Byes.

Table for Staffordshire vs Lincolnshire, First and Second innings, listing players like E. Pulein, W. E. Thompson, C. L. Prior, Day, Edwards, W. A. Transester, Riley, Broughton, J. N. Worman, E. T. Cooke, Allen, and Byes.

Table for Staffordshire vs Lincolnshire, First and Second innings, listing players like O. M. R. W., Cooke, Riley, and Worman.

Table for Staffordshire vs Lincolnshire, First and Second innings, listing players like Barnes, Deyes, and Byes.

Played at Norwich (the first match of the 3rd Norfolk Week) on August 8 and 9 and won by Norfolk by an innings and 9 runs. Raikes batted for just under two hours and a-half and added 137 with Birkbeck for the fifth wicket. Score and analysis:—

Table for Norfolk vs Notts, First and Second innings, listing players like Rev. H. Staunton, James, Lee, Whysall, hemonger (A.), Stapleton, A. B. Crawford, Falcon, W. B. Lymbery, C. A. L. Sutton, Clifton, Barnes, and Byes.

Table for Norfolk vs Notts, First and Second innings, listing players like Rev. G. B. Raikes, R. W. Collinson, G. A. Stevens, R. A. A. Beresford, Iremonger, M. Falcon, G. W. Birkbeck, Whysall, R. W. Thurgar, G. Fulcher, Raikes, lbw, Raikes, Allsopp, Falcon, Thurgar, Falcon, Fulcher, Allsopp, Thurgar, Falcon, not out, Byes, and Falcon.

Table for Norfolk vs Notts, First and Second innings, listing players like Falcon, Allsopp, Gibson, Fulcher, Raikes, and Williams.

Table for Norfolk vs Notts, First and Second innings, listing players like Clifton, Iremonger, Barnes, and Sutton.

GLOUCESTERSHIRE v. NORTHANTS.

Played at Bristol on August 22, 23 and 24. Gloucestershire won by eight wickets.

Although without the brothers Roberts, C. O. H. Sewell and F. H. B. Champain, Gloucestershire had the best of the opening day's play, dismissing the visitors for 192 and then scoring 149 for two wickets. On a wicket affected to some extent by rain, Dennett took six wickets for 105 runs, after his analysis at the interval had been one for 84. Seymour and Smith each batted 70 minutes for 51, and in partnership put on 76 for the third wicket. That the small total of 192 was not due wholly to the state of the wicket was shown when Gloucestershire went in, for after a first-wicket stand of 55, which lasted only 25 minutes, Salter and Brownlee put on 64 by the end of the day without being parted. The stand for the third wicket was not dissolved on Tuesday until it had realised 91 in 65 minutes. Salter was then out after batting an hour and a-half for a faultless innings of 68. The opposing total was passed without further loss, but Jessop was bowled after making 20 out of 33 in 15 minutes, and half the side were out for 211. Brownlee, seventh out (at 239), made his 68 in 115 minutes—a very lucky innings indeed seeing that he was missed five times. Haines and Cranfield reached double-figures and the home side obtained a lead of 78. During the innings Thompson took eight wickets for 114 runs notwithstanding that the field gave him poor support. Northants, going in the second time, soon lost Vials, but Seymour and Tyler had taken the score to 42 without further loss when rain put an end to the day's play. Yesterday the side collapsed against Dennett, whose analysis during the morning was six for 13, and Gloucestershire, set only 35 to win, made the runs for the loss of two wickets. Score and analysis:—

Table for Gloucestershire vs Northants, First and Second innings, listing players like G. A. T. Vials, Cranfield, Seymour (John), C. H. Tyler, Dennett, Thompson, S. G. Smith, C. J. T. Pool, East, Buswell, Haywood, E. M. Grose, Dennett, Wells, and B 2.

Table for Gloucestershire vs Northants, First and Second innings, listing players like Board, Langdon, M. G. Salter, East, W. M. Brownlee, Thompson, G. L. Jessop, Mills, A. J. Haines, Board, Langdon, M. G. Salter, A. J. Haines, East, and Mills.

Table for Gloucestershire vs Northants, First and Second innings, listing players like Dennett, Cranfield, Brownlee, and Mills.

Table for Gloucestershire vs Northants, First and Second innings, listing players like Thompson, Seymour, East, and Smith.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

The Footballer's Sports, vol. 1, part 5. London: Wm. Heinemann, 21, Bedford St., W.C. Price, 1s. net.