

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The Town Ground, Cricket Field Road, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);

First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 wicket (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk

D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt	65								
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt	28								
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt	5								
J F Ireland	b B W Pigg	229								
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt	84								
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt	10	(2)				lbw b Tebbutt			14
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt	17								
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt	0	(3)				c Rose b Sheldrick			18
G D Wood	st Watts b Tebbutt	0	(1)				b Tebbutt			28
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg	0	(4)				st Watts b Tebbutt			24
* H L Wilson	not out	29	(5)				not out			9
Extras		31								18
Total		498					(4 wickets)			111

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67	220							498
Second innings				111	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16
Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	(3)	6.3	0	29
Sheldrick	8	0	47	0	-	-	(4)	6	0	28
Ling	3	0	43	0	-	-				1
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-		18.3	0	111

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip	10								
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold	70								
Ling, A S	c Godley b Ireland	181								
O W Boccock	c Godley b Ireland	14								
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold	11								
B W Pigg	b Penfold	51								
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold	7								
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold	15								
* C Pigg	not out	10								
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold	6								
C Sheldrick	b Wilson	0								
Extras		22								
Total		397								

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184	218	239	342	364			385	397
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-				
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-				
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-				
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-				
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-				
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-				
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-				
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-				

Notes: This match was drawn after torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were 4 sixes, 22 fours and 10 twos in Ling's 181. Collier scored 70 and B W Pigg 51. In the first Suffolk innings Tebbutt performed the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket, and Ling and B W Pigg 103 runs for the fifth wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs, including 8 wickets for 120 runs in the Suffolk first innings.. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in 2 hours and fifty minutes, included 9 sixes, 26 fours, 5 threes and 9 twos. Bennett scored 84 and Mustard 65. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket, and Ireland and Bennett added at least 180 runs for the fourth wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check.]. During the match 1006 runs were scored.

[Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65, and size of fourth wicket partnership; in Suffolk second innings part bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings duration of Ling's 181, and boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 5.]

Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July; Cambridge Independent Press 4 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August; East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405.

Status: Revised draft match score.

**1911 – MATCH 45 – CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK – NEWMARKET – 27, 28 JULY
“THAT SUFFOLK PARTNERSHIP”**

A very helpful note. I still find myself disappointed that there is no reference found in Suffolk newspaper sources to the exact size of the partnership. If there was such a source then I would be inclined to accept it. I seem to remember that East Anglian Daily Times used to have a 'Cricket Notes' column similar but less good than that found in the Eastern Daily Press also in their Mondays editions' commenting on county cricket matches played the previous week. Has that been looked at? Is there any chance the size of the partnership would have been mentioned in the EADT Suffolk season review notes? Am I right in assuming you have looked at any daily evening Ipswich newspapers and found nothing?. Apart from that, I do not have any other suggestions to offer – but others might!

If nothing comes from other colleagues, then my feeling is that we should follow your suggestion of offering a range.

Tony Webb

7 July 2015

1911 Match 45 Cambs & Suffolk Newmarket July 27, 28

Fall of 4th wicket in Suffolk innings

Cambs. Weekly News states - "With the total at 431 for 4 things looked particularly black for Cambs but....."

E. A. Daily Times states - "The 4th 100 went up soon after 4pm with Bennett and Ireland batting as well as ever.....
Just before the tea interval Ireland's great innings was ended..... When tea was taken the score stood at 431-4, S G Bennett being 76 not out.....
After tea Mayor Wood knocked up 10....."

Therefore at tea score was	Mustard	65
	Penfold	28
	Fitchmarsh	5
	Ireland	229
	Bennett	76 ^x
	L Wood	0 ^x
	Ex	28
		<hr/>
		431-4

After tea scoring was	Bennett	8	(84-76)
	L Wood	10	
	Inskip	17	
	Hoyland	0	
	G Wood	0	
	Godley	0	
	Wilson	29	
	Ex	3	
		<hr/>	
		67	

When the 4th wicket fell the score was over 400. It was no greater than 431. How much weight can be given to the phrase "Just before the tea interval"? After Ireland was out L Wood did not score any runs ^{before tea}, we do not know for certain that he went to the wicket before tea.

Assuming that L Wood went to the wicket the question is therefore did Bennett score any runs

Since I look after both Cambs and Suffolk I do not feel confident that 4th wicket fell at 431 but if independent minds (i.e. you and Tulein) decide otherwise I would accept it.

Therefore I think the 8th line of the notes should say.... and Ireland and Bennett added at least 180 runs for the fourth wicket

180 because score was 400 ~~th~~ at least before Ireland was out so
400 - 220 (fall of 3rd wkt) = 180

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The Town Ground, Cricket Field Road, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);

First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 wicket (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk											
D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt		65								
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt		28								
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt		5								
J F Ireland	b B W Pigg		229								
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt		84								
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt	10	(2)	lbw b Tebbutt						14	
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt	17									
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt	0	(3)	c Rose b Sheldrick						18	
G D Wood	st Watts b Tebbutt	0	(1)	b Tebbutt						28	
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg	0	(4)	st Watts b Tebbutt						24	
* H L Wilson	not out	29	(5)	not out						9	
Extras		31								18	
Total		498		(4 wickets)						111	

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67	220	431						498
Second innings				111	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coulson	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16
Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	(3)	6.3	0	29
Sheldrick	8	0	47	0	-	-	(4)	6	0	28
Ling	3	0	43	0	-	-				1
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-	18.3	0	111	4

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip		10
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold		70
Ling, A S	c Godley b Ireland		181
O W Boccock	c Godley b Ireland		14
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold		11
B W Pigg	b Penfold		51
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold		7
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold		15
* C Pigg	not out		10
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold		6
C Sheldrick	b Wilson		0
Extras			22
Total			397

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184	218	239	342	364			385	397
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-				
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-				
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-				
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-				
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-				
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-				
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-				
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-				

Notes: This match was drawn after torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were 4 sixes, 22 fours and 10 twos in Ling's 181. Collier scored 70 and B W Pigg 51. In the first Suffolk innings Tebbutt performed the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket, and Ling and B W Pigg 103 runs for the fifth wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs, including 8 wickets for 120 runs in the Suffolk first innings.. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in 2 hours and fifty minutes, included 9 sixes, 26 fours, 5 threes and 9 twos. Bennett scored 84 and Mustard 65. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket, and Ireland and Bennett 211 runs for the fourth wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check.]. During the match 1006 runs were scored.

[Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65; in Suffolk second innings part bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings duration of Ling's 181, and boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 51.]

Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July; Cambridge Independent Press 4 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August; East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405.

Status: Revised draft match score.

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The Town Ground, Cricket Field Road, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911

Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);

First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 wicket (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk

D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt	65								
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt	28								
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt	5								
J F Ireland	b B W Pigg	229								
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt	84								
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt	10	(2)				lbw b Tebbutt			14
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt	17								
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt	0	(3)				c Rose b Sheldrick			18
G D Wood	st Watts b Tebbutt	0	(1)				b Tebbutt			28
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg	0	(4)				st Watts b Tebbutt			24
* H L Wilson	not out	29	(5)				not out			9
Extras		31								18
Total		498					(4 wickets)			111

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67	220	431						498
Second innings				111	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16
Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	(3)	6.3	0	29
Sheldrick	8	0	47	0	-	-	(4)	6	0	28
Ling	3	0	43	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-		18.3	0	111

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip	10
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold	70
Ling, A S	c Godley b Ireland	181
O W Boccock	c Godley b Ireland	14
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold	11
B W Pigg	b Penfold	51
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold	7
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold	15
* C Pigg	not out	10
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold	6
C Sheldrick	b Wilson	0
Extras		22
Total		397

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184	218	239	342	364			385	397
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-

Notes: This match was drawn after torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were 4 sixes, 22 fours and 10 twos in Ling's 181. Collier scored 70 and B W Pigg 51. In the first Suffolk innings Tebbutt performed the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket, and Ling and B W Pigg 103 runs for the fifth wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs, including 8 wickets for 120 runs in the Suffolk first innings.. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in 2 hours and fifty minutes, included 9 sixes, 26 fours, 5 threes and 9 twos. Bennett scored 84 and Mustard 65. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket, and Ireland and Bennett 211 runs for the fourth wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check.]. During the match 1006 runs were scored.

[Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65; in Suffolk second innings part bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings duration of Ling's 181, and boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 51.]

Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July; Cambridge Independent Press 4 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August; East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405.

Status: Revised draft match score.

EADT

X

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK *NORTH AND EAST DIVISION*
 At The Town Ground, Cricket Field Road, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911
 Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);
 First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 wicket (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk	11.55 -									
D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt	2 hrs 45 - no chance	65							
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt		28							
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt		5							
J F Ireland	b B W Pigg		229							
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt		84							
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt		10	(2)	lbw b Tebbutt				14	
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt		17							
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt		0	(3)	c Rose b Sheldrick				18	
G D Wood	st Watts b Tebbutt		0	(1)	b Tebbutt				28	
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg		0	(4)	st Watts b Tebbutt				24	
* H L Wilson	not out		29	(5)	not out				9	
Extras			31						18	
Total			498		(4 wickets)				111	

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67 ✓	220 ✓	431						498
Second innings				111						

Coulson	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20 0 - -
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16 0 - -
Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	(3)	6.3	0	29 3 - -
Sheldrick	8	0	47	0	-	-	(4)	6	0	28 1 - -
Ling	3	0	43	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0 - -
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-		18.3	0	111 4 - -

Cambridgeshire	5.20									
L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip		10							
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold		70							
Ling, A S	b Ireland		181							
O W Boccock	c Godley b Ireland		14							
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold		11							
B W Pigg	b Penfold		51							
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold		7							
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold		15							
* C Pigg	not out		10							
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold		6							
C Sheldrick	b Wilson		0							
Extras			22							
Total			397							

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184	218	239	342	364			385	397
Second innings	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-

Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-				
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-				
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-				
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-				
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-				
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-				
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-				
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-				

is added in Collier and Ling
and Ling and BWPigg
103 runs for the fifth wicket.
including 8 wickets for
120 runs in the Suffolk
first innings

Notes: This match was drawn after torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were 4 sixes, 22 fours and 10 twos in Ling's 181, an innings of ? minutes. Collier scored 70 and B W Pigg's 51. In the first innings Suffolk innings Tebbutt performed the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in 2 hours and fifty minutes, 9 sixes, 26 fours, 5 threes and 9 twos. Bennett scored 84 and Mustard 65. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check]
 [Missing: Umpires; extras breakdown; part fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65; in Suffolk second innings part bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings duration of Ling's 181, and boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 51.]
 Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July; Cambridge Independent Press 4 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August; East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405.

added
111 for
the fourth
wicket.

X
X
X

Status: Revised draft match score.

and 1006 runs in the match.

45 Camb v Suffolk Newmarket 1911 July 27, 28

Ground EAST Aug 1 page 7 states "The feature was an awing match for Suffolk but as a matter of fact the ground is practically on the Suffolk side. There is only one thing against the Newmarket enclosure and that is the smallness of the boundaries."

First day score F E Collier 48^x separate initial E from Collier

F E Collier

The CWN and CIP reports the same and of little help in spite of it being a Lamb home fixture. The EAST had a reporter present.

Suffolk 1st innings

- (a) Ireland c or c=b? EAST narrative "Ireland's great innings ended. Pegg bowling him." CWN + CIP records give b Ignor CR
- (b) G D Wood c or st? EAST narrative "G D Wood was stumped off his next delivery." As this was the last back ball I think we should accept that the reporter was awake
- (c) Ireland's 229 EAST states "scored in 2 hours 50 minutes." "His bats included 9+6, 26+4, 5+3, 9+2"
- (d) Bennett - Mustard - cannot supply 4's record
- (e) FOW cannot add
- (f) Lamb bowling

Ireland b Cigg Bw

Wood st Watts

Order Although the analysis given in EAST, CWN + CIP agree the EAST narrative of st and clearly contradicts this It clearly indicates the order is printed

Order is printed

Suffolk 2nd innings

- (a) May L. Wood lbw or b? EAST, CWN, CIP + CR all give lbw
- (b) Lamb bowling

L Wood lbw

(i) EAST does not give any analysis. My figures were supplied by KSCT. He gave no source and I suspect they were calculated as he gave no over or minutes for Tebbutt. I think we should accept the CWN and CIP analysis. To accept KSCT's figures would upset more than it corrected

accept CWN + CIP analysis is printed

(ii) Order I do not think Tebbutt would have been taken off therefore I think Pegg + Rose opened, but in what order I do not know thus Tebbutt would be (3) with 6 3overs and Sheldrick (4) with 6 overs

Tebbutt (3)
Sheldrick (4)

(c) Suffolk declared? I cannot see any evidence for that! CWN + CIP state "when they had scored 111-4 a torrential downpour drove the players to the pavilion and its continuance put an end to the match"

Delete dec.
but I do not have EAST which gives c Godley

Lamb innings

(a) Lang c Godley or b? EAST narrative (Lamb narrative give no help) Lang however was then out to a good catch by Godley behind the wicket. I have included this in my notes

Lang c Godley?
APW has
All scores give b Ireland
not c Godley

45 Cants & Suffolk (cont)

(b) Bocock c Godley or b? EADT narrative "Bocock when he just touched me from Ireland and was surely caught by Godley". CR also gives c Godley

Bocock c Godley

(c) Ling's 181 EADT 4x6, 22x4, 10x2
CWN 4x6, 24x4

I know which of the two I prefer but in case I am biased I leave the choice to you.

(d) Collier's 70 - BW Peggy 51 - cannot help.

(e) FOW EADT narrative 1-43 L5 Reed out

2-184 Collier out "with Ling put on 141"

3-218 Bocock out

Very difficult to read

4-239 Tibbutt out

5-342 Peggy BW out

6-364 With out

7- Ron out

8- Ling out

Possibly 385 but very difficult to read. I have been back to Reed 9-385?

Gold Corden out

affair but am still uncertain!

Sheldrick out

Peggy C *

accept these FOW

Notes June 5 Tibbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 149 runs (not 141)

Tibbutt 11-149

CIRM

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK
 At The Newmarket Cricket Club Ground, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911
 Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);
 First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 (F.E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk									
D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt	65							
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt	28							
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt	5							
J F Ireland	?b B W Pigg	229							
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt	84							
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt	10	(2)	?lbw b Tebbutt					14
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt	17							
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt	0	(3)	c Rose b Sheldrick					18
G D Wood	?st Watts b Tebbutt	0	(1)	b Tebbutt					28
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg	0	(4)	st Watts b Tebbutt					24
* H L Wilson	not out	29	(5)	not out					9
Extras	?	31		?					18
Total		498		(4 wickets declared)					111

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67	220							498
Second innings				111	-	-	-	-	-	-
Coulson (6)?	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16
Tebbutt (1)?	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	()	6.3	0	29
Sheldrick (4)?	8	0	47	0	-	-	()	6	0	28
Ling (5)?	3	0	43	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-	18.3	0	111	4

Cambridgeshire									
L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip	10							
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold	70							
Ling, A S	b Ireland	181							
O W Bocoock	c Godley b Ireland	14							
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold	11							
B W Pigg	b Penfold	51							
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold	7							
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold	15							
* C Pigg	not out	10							
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold	6							
C Sheldrick	b Wilson	0							
Extras	?	22							
Total		397							

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184			342					397
Second innings										
Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-				
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-				
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-				
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-				
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-				
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-				
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-				
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-				

Notes: This match was drawn. Torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were ? fours, ? threes and ? twos in Ling's 181, an innings of ? minutes. There were ? fours in Collier's 70 and ? fours in B W Pigg's 51. In the first innings he did the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in ? hours contained ? fours, ? threes and ? twos. Bennett's 84 included ? fours and Mustard's 65 ? fours. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check.]

[Missing: Ground location; umpires; extras breakdown; fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings check if Ireland bowled B W Pigg as in all sources listed except Cricket which gives cand bowled, check if G D Wood st Watts as in Suffolk sources or caught as Cambs sources and Cricket, threes and twos and duration of Ireland's 229, boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65, and confirm bowling order of Coulson 1st not 6th as confirmed by CWN account; in Suffolk second innings check if Maj L Wood lbw as in Cambs sources and Cricket or just bowled as in Suffolk sources, confirm bowling analysis as given in CIP and CWN with Coulson not bowling, B W Pigg conceding 20 runs not 27, Tebbutt 29 not 21 and Sheldrick 28 not 26, and bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings check and confirm Ling bowled Ireland as in CIP, CWN and Cricket not caught Godley as in Suffolk sources; check and confirm Bocoock b Ireland as in in CDN, CIP/CWN/TS/Cricket not c Godley as in Suffolk source, breakdown and duration of Ling's 181, boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 51.]

Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July (Tony Webb); Cambridge Independent Press 4 August (Tony Percival); Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August (Tony Webb); East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; Durham Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405 (Tony Webb) [Bowling analysis not given in Cricket. Cambridge Independent Press and East Anglian Daily Times not held at Colindale]

Newmarket

MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK

At [The Cricket Club Ground, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911
Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings) Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk
Umpires: X X Debutants: Cambridgeshire none Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);
First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Table of player statistics for Suffolk, including names like D Mustard, Penfold, W, F L Titchmarsh, J F Ireland, S G Bennett, Maj. L Wood, R D Inskip, H Hoyland, G D Wood, + E Godley, * H L Wilson, and Extras, with runs and wickets.

Table of fall of wickets and second innings scores for Suffolk, showing runs and wickets per over.

Table of player statistics for Cambridgeshire, including names like L J Reid, F E Collier, Ling, A S, O W Boccock, H C Tebbutt, B W Pigg, + G H Watts, G A Rose, * C Pigg, Coulson, H, C Sheldrick, and Extras, with runs and wickets.

Table of fall of wickets and second innings scores for Cambridgeshire, showing runs and wickets per over.

Notes: This match was drawn. For the home side, there were ? fours, ? threes and ? twos in Ling's 181, an innings of ? minutes. There were ? fours in Collier's 70 and ? fours in B W Pigg's 51. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in ? hours contained ? fours, ? threes and ? twos. Bennett's 84 included ? fours and Mustard's 65 ? fours.

Source: East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; Durham Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford)
Status: First draft match score - to check Cambridgeshire and other sources for missing details.

Handwritten notes: CDN 28, 29 July TS 28, 29 July. ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project - 2 December 2008 (CM/KP/AJW). Toss not enforced as no possibility of completing the match. 6.24 on first day.

CRICKET.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.

Cambs. v. Suffolk.

This two-days' match in the Minor Counties' Championship came to a conclusion on Friday, when, after prolific scoring on both sides, Suffolk secured three points by virtue of their lead of 101 on the first innings. Suffolk scored 488 and Cambs. replied with 397. The outstanding features of the match were the fine innings of J. F. Ireland (229) and Lang (181) and the bowling of Tebbutt, who took eight wickets at a cost of 120 in an innings where all but 500 runs were scored. F. E. Collier also played a very fine and attractive innings of 70 for Cambs., but together with a blenheim, Ling's big innings was not so free from fault; he, however, obtained his runs by clean, hard hitting, and "tried hard" to overtop the score of his opponents. He hit out boldly the first day, but when there seemed a chance on Friday of making the runs, he played steadily, and his efforts in face of a most discouraging total were most praiseworthy, and he received a great ovation when he returned to the pavilion. At the conclusion of Cambs. innings, and as there was no possibility of completing the match, Suffolk decided to bat again, although they could have expelled Cambs. to follow on. After they had scored 111 for four wickets a torrential down-pour of rain drove the players to the pavilion, and its continuance put an end to the match. For Suffolk the best bowling was shown by Penfold, who took six wickets for 104 runs. Score and bowling analysis:

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

D. Mustard b Tebbutt	65
Penfold b Tebbutt	28
F. E. Titchmarsh c Collier b Tebbutt	5
J. F. Ireland b Pigg	229
S. G. Bennett st Watt b Tebbutt	84
Major Wood c Watt b Tebbutt	10
G. D. Inskip st Watt b Tebbutt	17
H. Hoiland b Tebbutt	6
G. D. Wood c Watt b Tebbutt	6
L. Giffney b Pigg	8
H. F. Wilson not out	29
Extras	31
Total	495

CAMBS.—1st innings.

F. E. Collier c Wilson b Penfold	19
F. E. Collier c Wilson b Penfold	70
Lang b Ireland	181
G. W. Boreock b Ireland	14
H. C. Tebbutt c Ireland b Penfold	11
H. W. Pigg b Penfold	31
Watts c Mustard b Penfold	7
G. A. Rose c Titchmarsh b Penfold	15
C. Pigg not out	10
Coulson c Hoiland b Penfold	6
C. Sheldrick b Wilson	6
Extras	22
Total	397

SUFFOLK—2nd innings.

G. D. Wood b Tebbutt	28
Major Wood b Tebbutt	14
H. Hoiland c Rose b Sheldrick	18
L. Giffney st Watt b Tebbutt	24
H. F. Wilson not out	8
Extras	18
Total	111

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

Suffolk—1st innings.											
Tebbutt	22.1	120	8	C. Sheldrick	8	0	17	0			
H. Pigg	21	0	113	2	Lang	3	0	43	0		
G. A. Rose	17	2	72	0	Conlon	17	3	59	0		
2nd innings.											
Tebbutt	6.3	0	29	3	G. A. Rose	3	0	16	0		
Sheldrick	6	0	28	1	B. Pigg	3	0	30	0		
Cambs.—1st innings.											
Ireland	31	3	95	2	D. Mustard	2	0	16	0		
Inskip	18	5	28	1	D. Wood	2	1	3	0		
Penfold	28	9	101	6	H. Hoiland	4	1	10	0		
Wilson	18.10	110	1								

CRICKET.

**MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.
Cams. v. Suffolk.**

VISITORS SECURE THREE POINTS.
TALL SCORING BY BOTH TEAMS.

This two-days' match in the Minor Counties' Championship came to a conclusion on Friday, when, after prolific scoring on both sides, Suffolk secured three points by virtue of their lead of 101 on the first innings. Suffolk scored 498 and Cams. replied with 397. The outstanding features of the match were the fine innings of J. P. Ireland (229) and Ling (181) and the bowling of Tebbutt, who took eight wickets at a cost of 120 in an innings where all but 500 runs were scored. F. E. Collier also played a very fine and attractive innings of 70 for Cams., put together without a blemish. Ling's big innings was not so free from fault; he, however, obtained his runs by clean, hard hitting, and tried hard to overtop the score of his opponents. He hit out boldly the first day, but when there seemed a chance on Friday of making the runs, he played steadily, and his efforts in face of a most discouraging total were most praiseworthy, and he received a great ovation when he returned to the pavilion. At the conclusion of Cams. innings, and as there was no possibility of completing the match, Suffolk decided to bat again, although they could have compelled Cams. to follow on. After they had scored 111 for four wickets a torrential down-pour of rain drove the players to the pavilion, and its continuance put an end to the match. For Suffolk the best bowling was shown by Penfold, who took six wickets for 104 runs. Score and bowling analysis—

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

D. Mustard lbw b Tebbutt	65
Penfold b Tebbutt	28
F. L. Titchmarsh c Collier b Tebbutt ..	5
J. P. Ireland b Pigg	229
S. G. Bennett st Watts b Tebbutt ..	34
Major Wood c Watts b Tebbutt	10
R. D. Inskip st Watts b Tebbutt	17
H. Hoyland b Tebbutt	0
G. D. Wood c Watts b Tebbutt	0
E. Godley b Pigg	0
H. L. Wilson not out	29
Extras	31
Total	498

CAMS.—1st innings.

L. J. Reid c Wilson b Inskip	10
F. E. Collier c Wilson b Penfold	70
Ling b Ireland	181
O. W. Bocock b Ireland	14
H. C. Tebbutt c Ireland b Penfold ..	11
B. W. Pigg b Penfold	51
Watts c Mustard b Penfold	7
G. A. Rose c Titchmarsh b Penfold ..	13
C. Pigg not out	10
Coulson c Hoyland b Penfold	6
C. Sheldrick b Wilson	0
Extras	22
Total	397

SUFFOLK—2nd innings.

G. D. Wood b Tebbutt	28
Major Wood lbw b Tebbutt	14
H. Hoyland c Rose b Sheldrick	18
E. Godley st Watts b Tebbutt	24
H. L. Wilson not out	9
Extras	18
Total	111

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK—1st innings.

o. m. r. w.				o. m. r. w.					
Tebbutt ..	20	1	120	8	C. Sheldrick	8	0	47	0
B. Pigg ..	21	0	112	2	Ling	3	0	43	0
G. A. Rose ..	17	2	75	0	Coulson	17	8	69	0

2nd innings.

Tebbutt ..	6	8	0	29	3	G. A. Rose ..	3	0	16	0
Sheldrick ..	5	0	28	1	E. Pigg ..	3	0	20	0	

CAMS.—1st innings.

Ireland ..	21	4	95	2	D. Mustard	2	0	16	0
Inskip ..	18	5	38	1	G. D. Wood	2	1	2	0
Penfold ..	38	9	104	6	H. Hoyland	4	1	10	0
Wilson ..	15	10	110	1					

It sometimes happens that a batsman takes a special liking to particular bowling, and invariably gets a lot of runs when he plays against it, but it is doubtful if any man has scored as many runs against the Cams. bowling as has J. P. Ireland, who must have an average of well over a hundred for Cams. matches. He always has got runs against Cams., but brilliant as was his 223 at Newmarket two year ago, and splendid as was his much-needed century against Cams. at Felixstowe last summer, the innings at Newmarket last week must be regarded as his finest achievement.

Granted that the ground is small, that the match was perfect and reflected great credit upon the Newmarket groundsmen, and that the Cams. bowling is not of the most brilliant, he gives us fine a display of forcing cricket as could possibly be wished for. From his first over he seemed set, and treating all the bowling with contempt, scored at a rapid pace, hitting freely all round the wicket, his 229 runs occupying him less than three hours. He hit no fewer than nine 6's and twenty-six 4's, and only gave one chance, and that when he had reached 145. He would have been out then had not the sun cleared the ball from Ling's sight.

With the total at 431 for four things looked particularly black for Cams., but with H. C. Tebbutt doing the "bat trick" they were all set for 400. Tebbutt did remarkably well with the mallet, and will be well advised to give the style of bowling further trials during the season. On the face of it, the Suffolk total seems very large, and might have been larger had not the last batsmen been ordered to hit, but it was not very extraordinary considering the wicket, although Cams. ought to have been capable of getting them out for under 400.

Subsequent events proved that the home batting was by no means weak. Collier and Ling added 142 for the second wicket, and the side eventually totalled 397. Towards this "Sammy" Ling contributed 181, F. E. Collier 70, and B. W. Pigg 51. Ling was lucky, it is true, but he played fine cricket, waiting patiently for the bad ball. He was playing quite as carefully when he got out as when he first went in. It was obvious that he was struggling hard to help his team secure the three points, and it was no wonder of his that they failed. Those who have seen Ling in local cricket hitting a boundary

almost every ball will realise how difficult it must have been for him to restrain himself for well over four hours. He hit four 6's and twenty-four 4's. The winning of the toss gave Suffolk a chance, which they took full advantage of, but if they thought they would get Cams. out twice on the second day, they were mistaken. Cams. lost the three points on the first innings, but only after an excellent struggle. You are doing much better now, Cams! Keep it up!

No 45

HOME COUNTY'S HUGE SCORE.

GREAT INNINGS BY J. F. IRELAND.

(By Our Special Correspondent.)

Suffolk commenced their first match in the Minor Counties Championship at Newmarket on Thursday, in glorious weather. There was one alteration from the team advertised on Wednesday. Will Catchpole being obliged to call off, and E. G. Bennett took his place. The Suffolk skipper, H. L. Wilson, was unable to arrive in time for the start, and J. F. Ireland, the Cambridge University captain, tossed in his stead.

The spin of the coin went in his favour, and Suffolk started batting on a good wicket, though it was open to question whether it would last. Cambridgeshire have so far played two matches, losing to Lincolnshire and beating Hertfordshire on the first innings. There were three alterations from the team that beat Herts. C. Pigg, B. W. Pigg, and C. Sheldrick coming in for R. H. Whybro, W. C. Hunt, and M. Gray.

A start was made at 11.55, David Mustard and Penfold opening to the bowling of Coulson and B. W. Pigg. Penfold opened with a single on Coulson's first ball. The Bury pro. received a nasty smack on the knee in Pigg's first over, and showed signs of lameness. Penfold out Pigg nicely for 2, and then hit him to leg for 5, all run out—a fine shot. Mustard, too, batted with every confidence, and sent up 20 with a nice drive to the boundary off the Cambridge pro. Penfold was especially strong on the leg side, whilst he also indulged in some pretty cutting, and runs came freely. At 32 B. W. Pigg gave place to G. A. Rose, and Mustard got him nicely to leg for 4. The pair were running exceedingly well, and not a run was wasted, 50 runs being scored in thirty minutes. At this total H. G. Tebbutt took the bat from Coulson, and Penfold survived an appeal for stumping. Another very nasty blow on the leg from Rose disabled Penfold for some minutes, and almost immediately after he was bowled by a first wicket falling at 55, of which Penfold had contributed 25 in capital style. K. Titchmarsh then became associated with Mustard, and opened with a nice shot to leg off Mustard for a brace. At 67, Titchmarsh went out to a hand volley from Tebbutt, and was out to a fine leg catch by F. E. Collier on the long field. J. F. Ireland then joined Mustard, and was playing very nice cricket, placing and hitting with sound judgment. Although the scoring was not so rapid as in the early stages, runs came steadily, and the score board assumed a very satisfactory appearance. The 100 went up as a result of an hour and a quarter's play, and C. Sheldrick went on for Rose. The Light Blue captain hit the new bowler's first ball for 4. After a quiet opening Ireland batted in his usual free style, and with Mustard brought on several bowling changes. Ireland hit Sheldrick out of the ground at the pavilion end for 6, fourteen runs resulting from the over. Mustard completed his century before lunch, with the total at 147 for two.

B. W. Pigg and G. A. Rose were the bowlers after lunch, and in the second over Ireland completed his 50. The batsmen continued to score with ease, both playing capital cricket. Ireland especially hit very hard, and soon passed Mustard's total. Coulson was tried again in place of Rose, but Ireland treated all the bowling alike, and helped himself to another 6—this time off the Cambridge professional. The second hundred went up to the accompaniment of loud applause from the assembled spectators. The fine partnership was at last broken up at 220, Mustard being out lbw to Tebbutt. Mustard's innings of 65 was quite one of the best he has ever played, and during his stay at the wickets of two hours and a quarter he never gave a chance. With Ireland, the outgoing batsman had helped to put on 153 runs, and the partnership was invaluable to the side. S. G. Bennett, who has just returned from Egypt, where he has been playing on matting, joined Ireland. Tebbutt was bowling very well, and the batsmen could not take any liberties with him. It was a curious fact that every time he was put on Tebbutt took a wicket, and he was the only one whom Ireland seemed to have any doubt about hitting. Having once taken his measure, however, he began to trounce him with the rest, hitting him for 15 in one over. S. G. Bennett played capital cricket, and sent up the 300 with a lovely square cut to the boundary. This was indeed a splendid start for Suffolk, and Ireland's hitting was a perfect delight to watch. Ireland seems to have a particular liking for the Cambridgeshire bowling, and it would be interesting to know how his average works out against them, for he has reached three figures or thereabouts on each occasion he has played for Suffolk against Cambridgeshire. A. B. Ling was given a trial, but Ireland hit him for three 6's in his first over. Bennett was playing splendid cricket, but all interest was centred in Ireland's dash for his second century, and this he obtained amidst much enthusiasm, having been at the wickets only two hours and a half. The fourth hundred went up soon after 4 p.m., with Bennett and Ireland batting as well as ever. It was certainly a day out for Suffolk, and the fieldsmen must have been heartily sick of leather hunting. Just before the tea interval Ireland's great innings was ended, Pigg bowling him. His 229 was obtained in two hours and fifty minutes, and was as brilliant an effort as one could wish to see. His hits included nine 6's, twenty-six 4's, five 3's, and nine 2's. When tea was taken the score stood at 431 for four wickets. S. G. Bennett being not out 76. This was the highest individual score on record for Suffolk, the previous record

CAMBRIDGESHIRE v SUFFOLK AT NEWMARKET

1911 JUL 27, 28

was on record for Suffolk, the previous record being also made by Ireland, who in the same month three years ago made 221. After tea the batsmen had evidently cooled in him, and the result was somewhat disastrous. Such a word can be used at this stage of the game. Major Wood knocked up 10, including a 4, before he was caught by Watts on Tebbutt's first ball. For England's skipper and wicket-keeper, G. D. Wood was stumped off his next delivery, and Tebbutt then performed the hat-trick. S. G. Bennett had played a fine innings of 113, but he was more than justified in his place in the XI. He is certainly in great form just now, and it is to be hoped his services will be available in some of the other county fixtures. H. L. Wilson and E. D. Inskip were the last pair, and the Suffolk county skipper made things very merry, the majority of his last being 4's. Inskip hit R. W. Pigg clean over the pavilion for six, but the innings closed with only two short of the 500, H. L. Wilson being not out 29. Tebbutt took eight wickets for 120, and B. W. Pigg two for 113.

L. J. Reid and F. E. Collier started on the Cambridgeshire innings at 5.20, on a wicket which despite the big score run up had worn wonderfully well. J. F. Ireland and E. D. Inskip were the bowlers. The pair batted very well, Collier especially making some very nice shots. The score was brought to 43 before Reid was dismissed. H. L. Wilson bringing off a fine left-handed catch low down in the slips off Inskip. Ling was the new-comer. With the total at 66 Penfold succeeded Ireland. His leg was still troubling him, and he was unable to bowl fast. The Bury pro. sent down a maiden, but the Suffolk skipper then went on himself, setting the field out for catches. Ling hit Wilson twice to leg for four, and then gave a chance to Titchmarsh in the slips. Penfold went on again vice Inskip. The batsmen were continually lifting wickets but were lucky in putting them out of reach. Ling got in one lovely shot off the Suffolk captain, pulling him over the scoring box and out of the ground for six twice in successive overs. Penfold was applauded for a fine piece of playing. Runs came freely, however, and at 106 David Mustard was given a trial with the ball, whilst G. D. Wood relieved Penfold. Ling completed his 50 with a four to leg off Mustard, and then helped himself to a six—right out of the 57 and the biggest hit of the match. Suffolk were handicapped through Penfold's leg preventing him bowling fast, and the batsmen soon had the measure of the other bowlers, scoring freely on both sides of the wickets. When stumps were drawn at 6.45 Cambridgeshire had made 126 for one wicket. Ling being not out 59, and F. E. Collier not out 48. The excellence of the wicket was proved by the fact that although 624 runs were scored on it, in the course of the day it was playing almost as well as the close as it did in the morning.

Present scores:—
Score:—

SUFFOLK.	
D. Mustard, lbw.	b
Tebbutt	65
Penfold, b Tebbutt	28
F. I. Titchmarsh, c Collier	b Tebbutt
J. F. Ireland, b R. W. Pigg	229
S. G. Bennett, st. Watts	76
H. L. Wilson, not out	29
E. D. Inskip, b Tebbutt	10
R. D. Inskip, st Watts, b Tebbutt	17
G. D. Wood, st Watts, b Tebbutt	0
F. E. Collier, not out	48
H. L. Wilson, not out	29
Extras	31
498	

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.	
L. J. Reid, c H. L. Wilson, b Inskip	10
F. E. Collier, not out	48
Ling, not out	59
Extras	9
(1 wicket) 126	

ANALYSIS OF THE BOWLING.
Suffolk.—1st innings.

Bowler	O.	M.	R.	W.
H. G. Tebbutt	20	1	120	8
B. W. Pigg	21	0	113	2
G. A. Rose	17	2	75	—
C. Sheldrick	8	0	47	—
Ling	3	0	43	—
Coulson	17	3	50	—

SUFFOLK GAINS THREE POINTS.

FINE BATTING BY LING.

(From Our Special Representative.)

It was another broiling hot day when this match was resumed at Newmarket. Overnight, Cambridgeshire, in response to the big total of 498 by their opponents, had replied with 126 for one wicket. On Friday F. E. Collier, (8) and Lang (59) resumed at 11 a.m. to the bowling of R. D. Inskip and J. F. Ireland. Penfold's leg was again troubling him, and it was doubtful whether he would be able to bowl. Inskip sent down a maiden, and the batsmen opened quietly. Collier completed his 50 with a nice hit to leg off Ireland, and, though the batsmen took no liberties, runs came steadily. Suffolk were secretly feeling their lack of bowling, and the pair brought the score to 105. At this total Penfold went on to bowl slowly, in the hope of catches resulting. Although this would have been a good policy in the ordinary way, the ground is too small for the purpose—indeed this is a decided drawback to the Newmarket enclosure. The prolific partnership was aided through the medium of Penfold, Collier putting up one from the Bury pro. to the Suffolk skipper at point. The outgoing batsman had played very attractive cricket for his 103 runs, which were collected without a blemish. Once in the course of the innings did he look like getting out, and that was when he nearly played on the previous night, hitting a ball down hard which just cleared the wickets. When Ling be put on 141 for the second wicket. Ireland succeeded Ireland at the pavilion end, and O. W. Boccock joined Ling, who was playing a great game for his side. The second hundred went up at 12.15, and in the same over Ling completed his century, being loudly applauded. "Sammy Ling" is a very popular member of the Cambs team, and he is undoubtedly a fine batsman. He obtained his runs by clean hard hitting. F. L. Titchmarsh should have taken him in the slips when in the 40's the previous night, but subsequently Ling did not give a chance. He hit them up a bit on occasions, but they were never to hand, and his innings was an invaluable one to his side. Boccock stayed with Ling until the total had been brought to 218, when he just touched one from Ireland, and was smartly caught by Godley behind the wicket. H. C. Tebbutt was the newcomer. Ling was badly missed by Wilson off Penfold. The scoring was very slow as compared with the previous day, only 100 runs resulting from two hours' play. At 2.15 Tebbutt was caught in the slips by Ireland off Penfold, and B. W. Pigg became associated with Lang. The pair were together at each time, when the score stood at 271 for four wickets. Penfold and H. L. Wilson were the bowlers after lunch. The Bury pro, although unable to bowl at his usual pace, was keeping a perfect length, and he was a source of considerable trouble to the batsmen. The third hundred went up with Lang and Pigg still together. The heat was terrific, and if a few chances were neglected perhaps there was reason for the sun was almost unbearable. Pigg was missed in the slips by Penfold off Wilson. Godley kept wicket exceedingly well, and for this alone proved himself well worth his pay. The Suffolk ground holding was excellent, and Penfold, Ireland, Hoyland, and Titchmarsh were all applauded for smart work in this direction. Pigg profiting by a bit of luck, scored freely and knocked up 61 before he was bowled by Penfold the fifth wicket falling at 342, of which Ling at the time had made 163. Watts followed, and the energetic cricketer early survived an appeal for his evidently with the object of getting the best possible. Ling played steadily as compared with his vigorous hitting of the day before. He was, of course, having a lot of luck, and when 100 he was again given a life, this time by Mustard a deep square leg. With the total at 364 Watts was dismissed by Mustard, who brought off a capital one-handed catch off Penfold. G. H. Rose followed, and hit with great freedom, being especially severe on Wilson. When he had scored 20 he was out to a brilliant catch by Titchmarsh on the deep field boundary. It was a terrific lorry

drive, and Titchmarsh had to run several yards, and took it with one hand. It was the catch of the match, and deservedly elicited general applause. At 384 Ireland succeeded Wilson, who had been on for some considerable time without success. C. Pigg called Ling for a very dangerous run but he just gained his crease in time. Ling, however, was then out to a good catch by Godley behind the sticks off Ireland, having scored a great innings of 181. His hitting on the first day was wonderful, but on Friday he showed that he is not only a natural hitter, but can play steadily when occasion warrants. His great effort to help his side to get the runs in face of a total which was enough to discourage any team was most praiseworthy, and he was the recipient of quite an ovation on returning to the pavilion. His hits included four 6's, twenty-two 4's, and ten 2's. Coulson joined Pigg, and was very badly dropped by Bennett when he had only scored a brace. At 385 Coulson was caught by Hoyland off Penfold, and the innings closed for 397, Cambs being 101 behind on the first innings. They deserve the greatest credit for putting up such a game fight. The loss said about the catching of the Suffolk a.l. the better, for a regular epidemic of dropped and missed catches seemed to prevail, and if Cambs had managed to top the Suffolk total, no one could have grudged them their triumph, and the Suffolk men would have only had themselves to thank. All the missed catches were by no means "sitters," yet there was not one which could be described as really difficult. Despite being handicapped by his injured knee, Penfold bowled exceedingly well yesterday, taking six wickets for 104 runs. The other bowlers did not meet with any so much success. By the by it was understood that G. D. Wood was included chiefly for his bowling. He bowled two overs on Thursday night for two runs, one being a maiden, and it was somewhat inexplicable why the Suffolk skipper did not put him on again. Wilson's one wicket cost 110 runs, and J. F. Ireland captured two for 25, and R. D. Inskip one for 38. For the sake of the spectators it was decided to play on until 5.30, but so far as the match was concerned, Suffolk got three points for a win on the first innings. On going in again Suffolk scored 111 for four wickets, G. D. Wood and Godley both batting well. In the two days no less than 1,006 runs were scored, and every credit is due to the groundsmen for preparing quite the best wicket that Suffolk has ever played on.

Full score—

SUFFOLK.

D. Mustard, lbw. b			
Tebbutt	65		
Penfold, b Tebbutt	28		
F. L. Titchmarsh, c			
Collier, b Tebbutt	5		
J. F. Ireland, b B. W.			
Pigg	229		
G. H. Bennett, st. Watts, b			
Tebbutt	84		
M. Wood, c Watts, b			
Tebbutt	10	lbw. b Tebbutt	14
R. D. Inskip, st. Watts, b			
Tebbutt	17		
H. Boyland, b Tebbutt	0	c Rose, b Sheldrick	18
G. D. Wood, st. Watts, b			
Tebbutt	0	b Tebbutt	28
E. Godley, b B. W. Pigg	0	st. Watts, b Tebbutt	24
H. L. Wilson, not out	29	not out	9
Extras	31	Extras	16
	498		111

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

L. J. Reid, c H. L. Wilson, b Inskip	10
F. E. Collier, c Wilson, b Penfold	70
Lang, c Godley, b Ireland	181
Land	
O. W. Boccock, c Godley, b Ireland	12
H. C. Tebbutt, c Ireland, b Penfold	11
B. W. Pigg, b Penfold	51
Watts, c Mustard, b Penfold	7
G. A. Rose, c Titchmarsh, b Penfold	15
C. Pigg, not out	10
Coulson, c Hoyland, c Penfold	6
C. Sheldrick, b Wilson	0
Extras	24
	397

ANALYSIS OF THE BOWLING.

Suffolk—1st Innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
H. C. Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8
B. W. Pigg	21	0	113	2
G. H. Rose	17	2	75	—
C. Sheldrick	8	0	47	—
Ling	3	0	43	—
Coulson	17	3	69	—

Cambridgeshire.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
J. F. Ireland	31	3	95	2
R. D. Inskip	18	5	38	1
Penfold	58	9	104	6
H. W. Wilson	18.1	0	110	1
D. Mustard	2	0	16	—
G. D. Wood	2	1	2	—
H. Hoyland				

MATCH NUMBER 38: HERTFORDSHIRE V CAMBRIDGESHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The County Ground, Clarence Park, St Albans on Friday 21, Saturday 22 July 1911

Result: Match drawn - Cambridgeshire won on first innings Points: Cambridgeshire 3 Hertfordshire 1 Toss: Cambridgeshire

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Hertfordshire none; Cambridgeshire none

First day score: Cambridgeshire 322; Hertfordshire 177-6 wickets (C H Titchmarsh 63*, H F Sanders 0*)

Cambridgeshire

F E Collier	b Burton	6	(2)	b Burton	62
R H V Whybro	b Coleman	6	(1)	c Dumbleton b Marsh	14
Ling, A S	c Cuthbertson b Coleman	15	(3)	c Anderson b Burton	25
* H C Tebbutt	b Coleman	30	(4)	c Cuthbertson b Bonsey	47
L J Reid	b Shelford	132	(5)	b Burton	0
W C Hunt	c Dumbleton b Shelford	23	(6)	c Dumbleton b Bonsey	50
O W Bocock	c Golding b Burton	32	(7)	b Burton	37
M Gray	st Cuthbertson b Burton	8	(10)	not out	3
+ Watts, G H	c Cuthbertson b Bonsey	34	(9)	c Golding b Shelford	12
G A Rose	b Shelford	23	(8)	c substitute (A S Ling) b Golding	14
Coulson, H	not out	0			
Extras		13			17
Total		322		(9 wickets declared)	281

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	11	21	37	64	91	170		240	321	322
Second innings	56	98	123	123	182			262	281	-

Coleman	29	4	94	3	-	-	(2)	5	0	18	0	-	-
Burton	27	4	113	3	-	-	(3)	19.4	3	65	4	-	-
Shelford	18	6	36	3	-	-	(1)	16	1	66	1	-	-
Marsh	5	0	24	0	-	-	(5)	8	1	36	1	-	-
Dumbleton	4	0	26	0	-	-	(6)	2	0	13	0	-	-
Bonsey	5	1	17	1	-	-	(4)	7	2	33	2	-	-
Golding							(7)	5	0	33	1	-	-
Extras	-	-	13	0	-	-		-	-	17	0	-	-
Total	88	15	323x	10	-	-		62.4	7	281	9	-	-

322
Hertfordshire

J O Anderson	b Rose	9	(4)	not out	20
Rev F R Bonsey	b Coulson	82	(1)	b Coulson	0
C H Titchmarsh	b Coulson	89	(3)	c Whybro b Tebbutt	74
G G Dumbleton	b Coulson	6	(2)	c and b Tebbutt	42
+ E H Cuthbertson	b Rose	0			
* W H Marsh	b Rose	0			
Burton, F A	lbw b Rose	1			
H F Sanders	c Whybro b Coulson	25			
Golding, A J	b Tebbutt	5			
Coleman, W E	not out	19			
Shelford, W	b Coulson	1			
Extras		18			17
Total		255		(3 wickets)	153

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	21	137	164							255
Second innings	0	102	153	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Coulson	22.2	4	76	5	-	-	(1)	16	3	32	1	-	-
Rose	17	0	73	4	-	-	(2)	9	0	38	0	-	-
Hunt	3	0	16	0	-	-	(5)	3	1	2	0	-	-
Whybro	4	0	36	0	-	-	(6)	1	0	4	0	-	-
Tebbutt	7	1	36	1	-	-	(3)	7.1	2	40	2	-	-
Reid							(4)	3	0	20	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	18	0	-	-		-	-	17	0	-	-
Total	53.2	5	255	10	-	-		39.1	6	153	3	-	-

Notes: This match was drawn, with Cambridgeshire winning on first innings. The Cambridgeshire declaration had set Hertfordshire a victory target of 349 runs in two hours and 10 minutes. For the home side Titchmarsh played two innings of 89 and 74, and Bonsey scored 82, which contained 13 fours. In the Hertfordshire first innings, Bonsey and Titchmarsh put on 116 runs for the second wickets, and in the second innings Dumbleton and Titchmarsh added 102 runs for the same wicket. For the visitors, Reid's 132 included 14 fours and 7 threes, an innings of 3 hours and 20 minutes. Collier scored 62 which contained 2 fives and 2 fours and Hunt 50. In the Cambridgeshire first innings Reid and Watts added 81 runs for the ninth wicket. 499 runs were scored on the first day and the match aggregate was 1,011 runs. Coleman hurt his right foot when bowling during the Cambridgeshire second innings and left the field. Ling acted as the substitute fielder and caught Coulson.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; part fall of wickets; in Cambridgeshire first innings twos in Reid's 132, runs conceded bowling 1 in excess; in Cambridgeshire second innings boundaries in Hunt's 50; in Hertfordshire first innings boundaries in Titchmarsh's 89; in Hertfordshire second innings boundaries in Titchmarsh's 74.]

Sources: Herts Advertiser 29 July; Watford Observer 29 July; Cambridge Daily News 21,22,24 July; Cambridge Independent Press 28 July; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 28 July; The Sportsman 22,24 August; Cricket 5 August, p 399.

Status:

Revised draft match score.

July 27.—P. H. Bonham-Carter, Band of Brothers v. Shorncliffe Garrison ...	103
27.—H. M. Forster, Sutton v. Butterflies ...	156
27.—R. P. Meherhomji, All-India v. Northumberland ...	117
27.—J. S. Warden, All-India v. Northumberland ...	116
27.—F. N. Garnett, Old Cliftonians v. Blue Mantles ...	163
27.—N. F. Druce, Blue Mantles v. Old Cliftonians ...	160
27.—E. H. C. Druce, Blue Mantles v. Old Cliftonians (2nd inns.) ...	*108
27.—J. F. Ireland, Suffolk v. Cambridgeshire ...	229
27.—Harrison, Durham v. Norfolk ...	104
27.—H. E. Crawford, Oxford Authentics v. Shropshire Gentlemen ...	*106
27.—E. W. Burdett, Old Brutonians v. South Wills ...	169
27.—H. Taunton, South Wills v. Old Brutonians ...	115
27.—N. Robinson, T. Firth's XI. v. Shepherd's Bush ...	*102
27.—C. L. K. Peel, Old Bedfordians v. Bedford Grammar School ...	106
28.—Ling, Cambridgeshire v. Suffolk ...	181
28.—F. H. Carroll, Devon v. Berkshire ...	110
28.—T. A. Bradford, Durham v. Norfolk ...	130
28.—J. W. F. Crawford, Oxford Authentics v. Shropshire Gentlemen ...	148
28.—T. H. Hedworth, Shropshire Gentlemen v. Oxford Authentics ...	*119
28.—C. D. Fisher, Capt. Heywood Lonsdale's XI. v. Liverpool Athenaeum ...	107
28.—H. D. G. Leveson-Gower, C. E. Hambro's XI. v. Old Cliftonians ...	110
28.—Capt. L. L. Hoare, Eton Ramblers v. Band of Brothers ...	*100
28.—A. W. Isaac, Gents of Warwickshire v. Worcestershire Regt. ...	145
28.—Newman, M.C.C. and Ground, v. St. Lawrence ...	133
28.—W. P. Robertson, I. Zingari v. Rickling Green ...	104
28.—P. W. Vasey, Old Brutonians v. Sidmouth ...	*282
28.—H. E. Hippiisley, Old Brutonians v. Sidmouth ...	152
28.—Meads, Woodbrook v. Phoenix ...	*110
29.—C. A. Meldon, Leinster v. Pembroke ...	*204
29.—R. H. Lambert, Leinster v. Pembroke ...	168
29.—G. Potter, Oldham v. Royton ...	*109
29.—G. Glover, Morley v. Ossett ...	124
29.—D. T. Marsden, Morley v. Ossett ...	*153
29.—Rendler, Cleckheaton v. Dewsbury Savile ...	*100
29.—Robinson, Hopton Mills v. Gomersal ...	127
29.—W. W. Meldon, St. George's v. South Northumberland ...	120
29.—D. H. Coomber, Benwell Hill v. Royal Grammar School ...	*108
29.—R. A. Bennett, Capt. Heywood Lonsdale's XI. v. Whitchurch ...	118
29.—H. W. French, South London v. East London ...	103
29.—R. H. Fox, I. Zingari v. Royal Engineers ...	111
29.—F. O. P. Harrison, Bédington v. Croydon ...	*102
29.—G. Norman, Shepherd's Bush v. Neasden ...	*105
29.—P. Mills, Cheltenham v. Cheltenham College ...	130
29.—H. Hargreaves, Incogniti v. Army Service Corps ...	101
29.—P. J. Egerton, Eltham v. Army Ordnance ...	102
29.—D. H. Butcher, Upper Tooting v. Norbury Park ...	*120
29.—H. W. French, South London v. East London ...	103
29.—A. P. Nicoll, Herefordshire Gents v. Worcestershire Gents ...	128
29.—E. P. C. Collin, Chiswick Park v. Surbiton ...	*121
29.—G. Pinnick, Warner's XI. v. Swindon ...	*100
29.—D. MacLennan, Northern Counties v. Forres St. Lawrence ...	*100
29.—G. Kay, Menzies' XI. v. Mount Florida ...	111
29.—J. S. F. Morrison, Charterhouse v. Hampshire Hogs ...	114
29.—G. S. Patullo, Clydesdale 2nd v. Partick XI. ...	*107
29.—C. Sprackley, Sidcup v. Beckenham ...	*114
29.—B. W. Stenning, Beckenham v. Sidcup ...	127
29.—J. F. Orchard, Sidmouth v. Old Brutonians ...	*216
29.—N. Miller, Streatham v. Wimbledon ...	*118
29.—A. Baker, Eastbourne Old Town v. East End ...	*106
29.—E. T. Peel, East Grinstead v. Hayward's Heath ...	*106
29.—R. Budgen, Cophthorn v. North End ...	*100
29.—W. Butt, Steyning v. Southwick ...	*101
29.—G. Crosdale, Hampstead v. Uxbridge ...	111
29.—D. G. Smith, Finchley (East) v. Union Castle ...	*137
29.—C. H. B. Blount, Cock-House Match at Harrow ...	106
29.—H. F. Milman, West Shene v. Fulham ...	112
30.—H. E. Glibbery, E. O. Whitefield's XI. v. Marlborough ...	*201
Aug. 1.—R. P. Meherhomji, All India v. North of Scotland ...	150
1.—K. Salam-ud-din All India v. North of Scotland ...	*129
1.—Munds, M.C.C. & G. v. Nonsbury Park ...	*128

WORCESTERSHIRE v. ESSEX.

Played at Stourbridge on July 31, August 1 and 2 and won by Essex by an innings and 228 runs. With Fane away and neither of the Fosters nor Burns playing, both sides were below full strength. Essex were fortunate to win the toss, as doing so probably meant winning the match. Gillingham, by making 167 out of 289 in four hours, carried off the honours. He gave no chance, hit a 6 and twenty-four 4's, and added 157 with Freeman and 92 with Carpenter. When seven wickets were down Bale bowled, and with success, whilst Arnold kept wicket. Essex batted for seven and a-half hours for their runs. On a rain-affected wicket Worcestershire stood no chance and met with a very heavy reverse. Score and analysis:—

WORCESTERSHIRE				ESSEX			
O.	M.	R.	W.	O.	M.	R.	W.
34	9	118	3	3	0	34	0
56	16	76	2	6	0	33	1
29	5	66	0	3	0	10	1
57	12	62	1	4	1	20	2
167	4	57	0				
21							
68							
Total ... 165				Total ... 112			

WORCESTERSHIRE				ESSEX			
O.	M.	R.	W.	O.	M.	R.	W.
7	1	6	1	3	0	34	0
2	5	3	1	6	0	33	1
32	5	66	0	3	0	10	1
27	12	62	1	4	1	20	2
22	4	57	0				
7							
11							
9							
2							
Total ... 165				Total ... 112			

Cambridgeshire v. Suffolk.—Played at Newmarket on July 27 and 28 and left drawn. Score:—

CAMBRIDGESHIRE				SUFFOLK			
O.	M.	R.	W.	O.	M.	R.	W.
10	2	45	1	7	1	6	1
12	1	46	4	3	0	23	1
10	1	23	2	11	0	41	4
9.4	2	23	3	7.5	0	38	4
2	0	10	0				
Total ... 498				Total (4 wkts) ... 111			

Sussex Martlets v. Seaford.—Played at Seaford on July 29. Score:—

SUSSEX MARTLETS				SEAFORD			
O.	M.	R.	W.	O.	M.	R.	W.
0	0	0	0	23	0	41	0
0	0	0	0	44	0	5	0
0	0	0	0	22	0	23	0
0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	9	0	14	0
0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	24	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total ... 210				Total (9 wkts) ... 211			

CRICKET FIELD ROAD

TOWN
MATCH NUMBER 45: CAMBRIDGESHIRE V SUFFOLK - NORTH AND EAST DIVISION
 At The Newmarket Cricket Club Ground, Newmarket on Thursday 27, Friday 28 July 1911
 Result: Match drawn - Suffolk won on first innings Points: Suffolk 3 Cambridgeshire 1 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Cambridgeshire none; Suffolk 1 (Maj L Wood);
 First day score: Suffolk 498, Cambridgeshire 126-1 (F E Collier 48*, A S Ling 59*)

Suffolk

D Mustard	lbw b Tebbutt	65								
Penfold, W	b Tebbutt	28								
F L Titchmarsh	c Collier b Tebbutt	5								
J F Ireland	b B W Pigg	229								
S G Bennett	st Watts b Tebbutt	84								
Maj. L Wood	c Watts b Tebbutt	10	(2)				lbw b Tebbutt			14
R D Inskip	st Watts b Tebbutt	17								
H Hoyland	b Tebbutt	0	(3)				c Rose b Sheldrick			18
G D Wood	st Watts b Tebbutt	0	(1)				b Tebbutt			28
+ E Godley	b B W Pigg	0	(4)				st Watts b Tebbutt			24
* H L Wilson	not out	29	(5)				not out			9
Extras		31								18
Total		498					(4 wickets declared)			111

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	58	67	220							498
Second innings				111						

Coulson	17	3	69	0	-	-				
B W Pigg	21	0	113	2	-	-	()	3	0	20
Rose	17	2	75	0	-	-	()	3	0	16
Tebbutt	20.1	1	120	8	-	-	()	6.3	0	29
Sheldrick	8	0	47	0	-	-	()	6	0	28
Ling	3	0	43	0	-	-				
Extras	-	-	31	0	-	-			18	0
Total	86.1	6	498	10	-	-		18.3	0	111

Cambridgeshire

L J Reid	c Wilson b Inskip	10
F E Collier	c Wilson b Penfold	70
Ling, A S	b Ireland	181
O W Boccock	c Godley b Ireland	14
H C Tebbutt	c Ireland b Penfold	11
B W Pigg	b Penfold	51
+ G H Watts	c Mustard b Penfold	7
G A Rose	c Titchmarsh b Penfold	15
* C Pigg	not out	10
Coulson, H	c Hoyland b Penfold	6
C Sheldrick	b Wilson	0
Extras		22
Total		397

- BADi new stone - "out the a good catch by Godley behind the stumps off Ireland.

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	43	184	218	239	342	364				397
Second innings										

Ireland	31	3	95	2	-	-
Inskip	18	5	38	1	-	-
Penfold	38	9	104	6	-	-
Wilson	18.1	0	110	1	-	-
Mustard	2	0	16	0	-	-
G D Wood	2	1	2	0	-	-
Hoyland	4	1	10	0	-	-
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-
Total	113.1	19	397	10	-	-

2 hours 50 minutes contained 9 runs, 26 from 5 threes and 9 twos and Ling and B W Pigg 103 for the fifth wicket

Notes: This match was drawn. Torrential rain ended the play early on the second day. Cambridgeshire failed by two runs to save the follow on, but the Suffolk captain did not enforce it, as he judged too little time remained for Cambridgeshire to be bowled out again. For the home side, there were 7 fours, 2 threes and 2 twos in Ling's 181, an innings of 2 minutes. There were 2 fours in Collier's 70 and 2 fours in B W Pigg's 51. In the first innings he did the hat-trick, taking the wickets of Inskip, Hoyland and G D Wood. In the Cambridgeshire innings, Collier and Ling put on 141 runs for the second wicket. Tebbutt took 11 wickets in the match for 141 runs. For the visitors, Ireland's 229, scored in 2 hours contained 9 fours, 3 threes and 2 twos. Bennett's 84 included 2 fours and Mustard's 65 included 2 fours. In the Suffolk first innings, Mustard and Ireland put on 153 runs for the third wicket. On the first day 624 runs were scored, which was a new record for the Championship. [Check 1-?] [Missing: Ground location; umpires; extras breakdown; fall of wickets, in Suffolk first innings check if Ireland bowled B W Pigg as in all sources listed except Cricket which gives c and bowled, check if G D Wood st Watts as in Suffolk sources or caught as Cambs sources and Cricket, threes and twos and duration of Ireland's 229, boundaries in Bennett's 84 and Mustard's 65, and confirm bowling order of Coulson 1st not 6th as confirmed by CWN account; in Suffolk second innings check if Maj L Wood lbw as in Cambs sources and Cricket or just bowled as in Suffolk sources, confirm bowling analysis as given in CIP and CWN with Coulson not bowling; B W Pigg conceding 20 runs not 27, Tebbutt 29 not 21 and Sheldrick 28 not 26, and bowling order; in Cambridgeshire innings check and confirm Ling bowled Ireland as in CIP, CWN and Cricket not caught Godley as in Suffolk sources; check and confirm Boccock b Ireland as in in CIP, CIP/CWN/TS/Cricket not c Godley as in Suffolk source, breakdown and duration of Ling's 181, boundaries in Collier's 70 and B W Pigg's 51.]

moved including 9 for 120 in the Suffolk first innings, in which

Sources: Cambridge Daily News 27,28,29 July (Tony Webb); Cambridge Independent Press 4 August (Tony Perceival); Cambridgeshire Weekly News 4 August (Tony Webb); East Anglian Daily Times 28,29 July; Durham Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); The Sportsman 28,29 July; Cricket 5 August, p 405 (Tony Webb) [Bowling analysis not given in Cricket - Cambridge Independent Press and East Anglian Daily Times not held at Colindale]

