

MATCH NUMBER 64: SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION

At The Ipswich and East Suffolk Cricket Club Ground, Portman Road, Ipswich on Monday 7, Tuesday 8 August 1911

Result: Cambridgeshire won by 8 wickets Points: Cambridgeshire 5 Toss: Suffolk

Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk 3 (H E Hambro, C J Phillips, A D Whatman); Cambridgeshire none

First day score: Suffolk 154, Cambridgeshire 428-7 wickets (K G Reid 59*, H B Hart 53*)

Suffolk

A K Watson	b Bryan	25	(1)	hit wicket b Tebbutt	128
D Mustard	b Rose	19	(3)	lbw b Tebbutt	69
A D Whatman	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(2)	b Rose	77
+ A H Lang	lbw b K G Reid	41	(4)	b Rose	12
F L Titchmarsh	c Ling b Coulson	6	(6)	c and b Coulson	19
C H B Blount	st Watts b coulson	5	(8)	b Rose	7
Capt H E Hambro	b Bryan	4	(9)	b Rose	7
C J Phillips	lbw b Bryan	1	(10)	not out	3
* P W Cobbold	c and b K G Reid	0	(5)	st Watts b Tebbutt	4
Penfold, W	b Rose	24	(7)	c and b Rose	5
Trudgett, F	not out	3	(11)	b Rose	0
Extras		22		nb 2	34
Total		154			365

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	44	49	87	96	112	125	125	125	127	154
Second innings	171	282	306	315	315			353	365	365

Coulson	15	8	29	2	-	-	(1)	20	6	58	1	-	-
Hunt	5	1	18	0	-	-	(6)	7	1	29	0	-	-
Rose	7.1	0	28	2	-	-	(3)	18.4	2	91	6	-	-
Bryan	10	3	20	3	-	-	(2)	8	0	34	0	-	-
K G Reid	4	1	20	2	-	-	(5)	9	0	58	0	-	-
Tebbutt	4	0	17	1	-	-	(4)	11	0	61	3	-	2
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-		-	-	34	0	-	-
Total	45.1	13	154	10	-	-		73.4	9	365	10	0	2

Cambridgeshire

W C Hunt	c Blount b Cobbold	78	(1)	not out	16
L J Reid	c Cobbold b Trudgett	29	(3)	c and b Cobbold	7
Ling, A S	c Whatman b Penfold	52	(4)	not out	12
H C Tebbutt	c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84			
K G Reid	b Penfold	84			
F W Bryan	b Cobbold	4			
+ Watts, G H	c Trudgett b Cobbold	0			
G A Rose	c Blount b Cobbold	47	(2)	c Phillips b Cobbold	13
H B Hart	b Cobbold	56			
* C Pigg	b Cobbold	16			
Coulson, H	not out	0			
Extras		23			3
Total		473		(2 wickets)	51

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	73	110	235	250	254	254	310	435	473	473
Second innings	15	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cobbold	37.1	8	155	7	-	-	(1)	5	0	31	2	-	-
Penfold	31	6	134	2	-	-	(2)	4	0	17	0	-	-
Trudgett	18	0	91	1	-	-							
Mustard	3	0	37	0	-	-							
Titchmarsh	3	0	33	0	-	-							
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-		-	-	3	0	-	-
Total	92.1	14	473	10	-	-		9	0	51	2	-	-

Notes: Cambridgeshire won this return match by 8 wickets at shortly before 5 pm on the second day. The match between the two sides at Newmarket in July had been drawn. For the winners, there were 12 fours in K G Reid's 84, 12 fours in Tebbutt's 84, 14 fours in Hunt's 78, 7 fours in Hart's 56, and 6 fours in Ling's 52. Rose took 8 wickets in the match for 119 runs, including 6 wickets for 91 runs in the Suffolk second innings. The scoring rate for the Cambridgeshire first innings was reported as being over a hundred runs an hour. In the Cambridgeshire first innings Ling and Tebbutt put on 125 runs for the third wicket, K G Reid and Rose 56 for the seventh and K G Reid and Rose 125 for the eighth. For the losers, there were 18 fours in Watson's 128, an innings of 2 hours and 35 minutes. Whatman's 77 included 10 fours and Mustard's 69 contained 7 fours. In the Suffolk second innings Watson and Whatman added 171 runs for first wicket and Whatman and Mustard 111 runs for the second. Cobbold took 9 wickets in the match for 186 runs, including 7 for 155 in the Cambridgeshire first innings. On the first day 582 runs were scored for the loss of 17 wickets, a new record for the Championship. [Check] The match aggregate was 1,043 runs.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; in Suffolk second innings twos and threes in Watson's 128, and falls of sixth and seventh wickets; in Cambridgeshire first innings fall of seventh and eighth wickets; precise time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 8,9 August; Cambridge Independent Press 11 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 11 August; The Sportsman 8,9 August; Cricket 12 August, p 430.

Status: Revised draft match score.

EADT

MATCH NUMBER 64: SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE – NORTH AND EAST DIVISION
 At The Ipswich and East Suffolk Cricket Club Ground, Portman Road, Ipswich on Monday 7, Tuesday 8 August 1911
 Result: Cambridgeshire won by 8 wickets Points: Cambridgeshire 5 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk 3 (H E Hambro, C J Phillips, A D Whatman); Cambridgeshire none
 First day score: Suffolk 154, Cambridgeshire 428-7 wickets (K G Reid 59*, H B Hart 53*)

Suffolk											
A K Watson	b Bryan	25	(1)	hit wicket b Tebbutt							128
D Mustard	b Rose	19	(3)	lbw b Tebbutt							69
A D Whatman	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(2)	b Rose							77
+ A H Lang	lbw b K G Reid	41	(4)	b Rose							12
F L Titchmarsh	c Ling b Coulson	6	(6)	c and b Coulson							19
C H B Blount	st Watts b coulson	5	(8)	b Rose							7
Capt H E Hambro	b Bryan	4	(9)	b Rose							7
C J Phillips	lbw b Bryan	1	(10)	not out							3
* P W Cobbold	c and b K G Reid	0	(5)	st Watts b Tebbutt							4
Penfold, W	b Rose	24	(7)	c and b Rose							5
Trudgett, F	not out	3	(11)	b Rose							0
Extras		22		nb 2							34
Total		154									365

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	44	49	87	96	112	125	125	125	127	154
Second innings	171	282	306	315	315			353	365	365

Coulson	15	8	29	2	-	-	(1)	20	6	58	1	-	-
Hunt	5	1	18	0	-	-	(6)	7	1	29	0	-	-
Rose	7.1	0	28	2	-	-	(3)	18.4	2	91	6	-	-
Bryan	10	3	20	3	-	-	(2)	8	0	34	0	-	-
X K G Reid	4	1	20	2	-	-	(5)	9	0	58	0	-	-
Tebbutt	4	0	17	1	-	-	(4)	11	0	61	3	-	2
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-		-	-	34	0	-	-
Total	45.1	13	154	10	-	-		73.4	9	365	10	0	2

Cambridgeshire											
W C Hunt	c Blount b Cobbold	78	(1)	not out							16
L J Reid	c Cobbold b Trudgett	29	(3)	c and b Cobbold							7
Ling, A S	c Whatman b Penfold	52	(4)	not out							12
H C Tebbutt	c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84									
K G Reid	b Penfold	84									
F W Bryan	b Cobbold	4									
+ Watts, G H	c Trudgett b Cobbold	0									
G A Rose	c Blount b Cobbold	47	(2)	c Phillips b Cobbold							13
H B Hart	b Cobbold	56									
* C Pigg	b Cobbold	16									
Coulson, H	not out	0									
Extras		23									3
Total		473						(2 wickets)			51

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	73	110	235	250	254	254	310	435	473	473
Second innings	15	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cobbold	37.1	8	155	7	-	-	(1)	5	0	31	2	-	-
Penfold	31	6	134	2	-	-	(2)	4	0	17	0	-	-
Trudgett	18	0	91	1	-	-							
Mustard	3	0	37	0	-	-							
Titchmarsh	3	0	33	0	-	-							
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-				3	0	-	-
Total	92.1	14	473	10	-	-		9	0	51	2	-	-

Notes: Cambridgeshire won this return match by 8 wickets at shortly before 5 pm on the second day. The match between the two sides at Newmarket in July had been drawn. For the winners, there were 12 fours in K G Reid's 84, 12 fours in Tebbutt's 84, 14 fours in Hunt's 78, 7 fours in Hart's 56, and 6 fours in Ling's 52. Rose took 8 wickets in the match for 119 runs. The scoring rate for the Cambridgeshire first innings was reported as being over a hundred an hour. For the losers, there were 18 fours in Watson's 128, an innings of 2 hours and 35 minutes. Whatman's 77 included 10 fours and Mustard's 69 contained 7 fours. Cobbold took 9 wickets in the match for 186 runs, including 7 for 155 in the Cambridgeshire first innings. On the first day 582 runs were scored for the loss of 17 wickets, a new record for the Championship. [Check] The match aggregate was 1,043 runs.
 [Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; in Suffolk second innings twos and threes in Watson's 128, and falls of sixth and seventh wickets; in Cambridgeshire first innings fall of seventh and eighth wickets; precise time completed.]
 Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 8,9 August; Cambridge Independent Press 11 August; Cambridgeshire Weekly News 11 August; The Sportsman 8,9 August; Cricket 12 August, p 430. [East Anglian Daily Times not held at Colindale.]

Status: Revised draft match score.

ACS/MCCA Joint Match Scores Project – 12 May 2015 (CM/TP/KP/AJW)

including 6 wickets for 91 runs in the Suffolk second innings.

In the Cambs first innngs Ling and Tebbutt put on 125 runs for the 7th wicket & K G Reid and Rose 56 for the 7th wicket & K G Reid and Rose 125 runs for the eighth wicket

In the Suffolk second innngs Watson & Whatman added 171 runs for the first wicket and Whatman and Mustard 111 runs for the second.

X

EADT

X

X

Suffolk v Lamb Ipswich 1911 Aug 7, 8
Lamb Team Averages require K.G. Reid to bowl
 Averages require C. Pegg to play

K.G. Reid bowled ✓
 C. Pegg ✓

Suffolk 1st innings

- (a) A.H. Lang 1bw b K.G. Reid ✓
 (b) P.W. Cobbold c b K.G. Reid ✓
 (c) Batting Order The EADT narrative clearly indicates the order as printed. C.I.P. & C.W.N. agree. CR is the odd one out ✓

Lang 1bw b K.G. Reid ✓
 Cobbold c b K.G. Reid ✓
 O-order as printed ✓

Suffolk 2nd innings

- (a) F.O.W. (i) EADT states "282 for two was decidedly good"
 C.I.P. & C.W.N. both state (clearly they are not independent reports) "the next wicket crossed the score to 288"
 The EADT narrative provides extensive detail of F.O.W.
 I think we should accept EADT
 (ii) 6th & 7th wickets cannot open anything.
 (b) Lamb's bowling Tabbull 2/3 no balls willing to accept
 C.I.P. & C.W.N. who give 2 nb

282-2. ✓
 — ✓
 Tabbull 2 nb ✓

Lamb 1st innings

- (a) Hunt scored 75/78? Averages require 78 runs scored which makes total agree Hunt 78 runs ✓
 scored
 (b) F.O.W. (i) 7th/8th. The EADT narrative states "Three hundred & ten for seven wickets" and states "Cobbold bowled that the score being 435". This gave a stand of 125.
 Against this I see from your sheet C.W.N. refer to = 124 partnership presumably without stating F.O.W. scores.
 Perhaps it would be best to leave 7th and 8th wickets blank and put in note. Lamb's paper refers to an 8th wicket partnership of 124 whilst Suffolk paper state 125. The former version has been adopted. 7th 310
 8th 435
 (ii) 9th EADT narrative is contradictory in that it states that "His (Reid) balls were scattered by Pegg when the score was 463" then "The last batsman was bowled & without any attention to the score Pegg was bowled".
 I think 463 is an error and am prepared to accept latter statement i.e. 473-9. If you do not agree leave it blank 473-9 ✓

Note Line 1. "by 8 wickets at 7 pm". EADT states "Lamb's required 47 to win which they got for the loss of 2 wickets just before 5 o'clock"

Insert "just before 5 o'clock" ✓
 2 missing insert
 "previous before" "time completed" ✓

MATCH NUMBER 64: SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE
 At The Ipswich and East Suffolk Cricket Club Ground, Portman Road, Ipswich on Monday 7, Tuesday 8 August 1911
 Result: Cambridgeshire won by 8 wickets Points: Cambridgeshire 5 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk 3 (H E Hambro, C J Phillips, A D Whatman); Cambridgeshire none
 First day score: Suffolk 154, Cambridgeshire 428-7 wickets (K G Reid 59*, H B Hart 53*)

Suffolk										
A K Watson		b Bryan	25	(1)	hit wicket b Tebbutt		128			
D Mustard	(4)?	b Rose	19	(3)	lbw b Tebbutt		69			
A D Whatman	(2)?	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(2)	b Rose		77			
+ A H Lang	(5)?	lbw b K G Reid	41	(4)	b Rose		12			
F L Titchmarsh	(3)?	c Ling b Coulson	6	(6)	c and b Coulson		19			
C H B Blount		st Watts b coulson	5	(8)	b Rose		7			
Capt H E Hambro		b Bryan	4	(9)	b Rose		7			
C J Phillips		lbw b Bryan	1	(10)	not out		3			
* P W Cobbold		c and b K G Reid	0	(5)	st Watts b Tebbutt		4			
Penfold, W		b Rose	24	(7)	c and b Rose		5			
Trudgett, F		not out	3	(11)	b Rose		0			
Extras			22		nb 2		34			
Total			154				365			

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Fall of wickets										
First innings	44	49	87	96	112	125	125	125	127	154
Second innings	171	282	306	315	315			353	365	365
		282								
Coulson	15	8	29	2	-	(1)	20	6	58	1
Hunt	5	1	18	0	-	(6)	7	1	29	0
Rose	7.1	0	28	2	-	(3)	18.4	2	91	6
Bryan	10	3	20	3	-	(2)	8	0	34	0
K G Reid	4	1	20	2	-	(5)	9	0	58	0
Tebbutt	4	0	17	1	-	(4)	11	0	61	3
Extras	-	-	22	0	-		-	-	34	0
Total	45.1	13	154	10	-		73.4	9	365	10

Cambridgeshire										
W C Hunt		c Blount b Cobbold	78	(1)	not out		16			
L J Reid		c Cobbold b Trudgett	29	(3)	c and b Cobbold		7			
Ling, A S		c Whatman b Penfold	52	(4)	not out		12			
H C Tebbutt		c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84							
K G Reid		b Penfold	84							
F W Bryan		b Cobbold	4							
+ Watts, G H		c Trudgett b Cobbold	0							
G A Rose		c Blount b Cobbold	47	(2)	c Phillips b Cobbold		13			
H B Hart		b Cobbold	56							
* C Pigg		b Cobbold	16							
Coulson, H		not out	0							
Extras		?	23		?		3			
Total			473		(2 wickets)		51			

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Fall of wickets										
First innings	73	110	235	250	254	254	310?	435?	473	473
Second innings	15	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cobbold	37.1	8	155	7	-	(1)	5	0	31	2
Penfold	31	6	134	2	-	(2)	4	0	17	0
Trudgett	18	0	91	1	-					
Mustard	3	0	37	0	-					
Titchmarsh	3	0	33	0	-					
Extras	-	-	23	0	-		-	-	3	0
Total	92.1	14	473	10	-		0	51	2	-

Notes: Cambridgeshire won this return match by 8 wickets at 7 pm on the second day. The match between the two sides at Newmarket in July had been drawn. For the winners, there were 12 fours in K G Reid's 84, 12 fours in Tebbutt's 84, 14 fours in Hunt's 78, 7 fours in Hart's 56, and 6 fours in Ling's 52. Rose took 8 wickets in the match for 119 runs. The scoring rate for the Cambridgeshire first innings was reported as being over a hundred an hour. For the losers, there were 18 fours, 4 threes and 2 twos in Watson's 128, an innings of 2 hours and 35 minutes. Whatman's 77 included 10 fours and Mustard's 69 contained 7 fours. Cobbold took 9 wickets in the match for 186 runs, including 7 for 155 in the Cambridgeshire first innings. On the first day 582 runs were scored for the loss of 17 wickets, a new record for the Championship. The match aggregate was 1,043 runs.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; confirm C Pigg played for Cambridgeshire in this match, not C H Pigg; in Suffolk first innings check batting order, which Reid bowled - CDN/CIP/CWN give K G while Suffolk sources have L J, and Cricket fails to give initials; in Suffolk second innings twos and threes in Watson's 128, confirm fall of second wicket at 288 not 282, fall of sixth and seventh wickets, and check if Tebbutt bowled 2 no ball as in CDN/CIP/TS or 3 as in Suffolk sources; in Cambridgeshire first innings confirm Hunt scored 78 not 75, fall of ninth wicket, and check fall of seventh and eighth wickets as CDN refers in account to 124 partnership for the eighth wicket; time completed - previous time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 8,9 August; Durham Suffolk Ledger (Colin Munford); Cambridge Independent Press 11 August (Tony Percival); Cambridgeshire Weekly News 11 August; The Sportsman 8,9 August; Cricket 12 August, p 430 (Tony Webb). [East Anglian Daily Times and Cambridge Independent Press not held at Colindale.]

Status: Revised draft match score - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement. Date 26 Feb 2009

Colin - Pl help with falls of 7th & 8th in Carls 1st inn.

185 and eight

MATCH NUMBER 60: SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE - MINOR COUNTIES CHAMPIONSHIP

Played at Portman Road, Ipswich on Monday 7th, Tuesday 8th August 1911

Result: Cambridgeshire won by 5 wickets Points: Cambridgeshire 5

Toss: Suffolk Umpires: Debutants: H E Hambro, C J Phillips & A D Whatman (all Suffolk); Cambs none

First day score: Suffolk 154, Cambridgeshire 428-7 (K G Reid 59* & H B Hart 53*)

Suffolk

- A K Watson
- D Mustard
- A D Whatman
- A H Lang
- F L Titchmarsh
- C H Blount
- H E Hambro
- C J Phillips
- P W Cobbold
- W Penfold
- F Trudgett
- Extras

Not known

b Bryan	24.5	25
b Rose	24.5	19
c Ling b Tebbutt		4
lbw b L Reid	5.4	41
c Ling b Coulson		6
st Watts b coulson		5
b Bryan		4
lbw b Bryan		1
c b L J Reid		0
b Rose		24
not out		2
Extras		33
Total		154

11.55

hit wicket b Tebbutt	18.4	128
lbw b Tebbutt	7.4	69
b Rose	10.4	77
b Rose		12
c & b Coulson		19
b Rose		7
b Rose		7
not out		3
st Watts b Tebbutt		4
c & b Rose		5
b Rose		0
nb 3		34
Total		365

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	44	49	87	96	112	125	125	125	127	154
Second innings	171	288	282	306	315	315				

Coulson

- Hunt
- Rose
- Bryan
- L J Reid
- Tebbutt
- Extras

15	8	29	20	-	-
5	1	18	0	-	-
7.1	0	28	2	-	-
10	3	20	3	-	-
4	1	20	2	-	-
4	0	17	1	-	-
-	-	22	0	-	-
Total	45.1	13	154	10	-

(1)	20	6	58	1	-	-
(6)	7	1	29	0	-	-
(3)	18.4	2	91	6	-	-
(2)	8	0	34	0	-	-
(5)	9	0	58	0	-	-
(4)	11	0	61	3	-	2
			34	0	-	-
Total	73.4	9	365	10	-	2

Cambridgeshire

- W C Hunt
- L J Reid
- A G Ling
- H C Tebbutt
- K G Reid
- F W Bryan
- + G H Watts
- G A Rose
- H B Hart
- C H Pigg
- C Coulson
- Extras

c Blount b Cobbold	14.4.5	78	75
c Cobbold b Trudgett	5.4.5	29	
c Whatman b Penfold	6.4.5	52	
c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	12.4.5	84	
b Penfold	12.4.5	84	
b Cobbold		4	
c Trudgett b Cobbold		0	
c Blount b Cobbold	9.4.5	47	
b Cobbold	7.4.5	56	
b Cobbold		16	
not out		0	
Extras		23	
Total		473	

not out			16
c & b Cobbold			7
not out			12
c Phillips b Cobbold			13
Total			51

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	73	110	235	250	254	254	310	435	-	473
Second innings	15	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Cobbold

- Penfold
- Trudgett
- Mustard
- Titchmarsh
- Extras

37.1	8	155	7	-	-
31	6	134	2	-	-
18	0	91	1	-	-
3	0	37	0	-	-
3	0	33	0	-	-
-	-	23	0	-	-
Total	92.1	14	473	10	-

(1)	5	0	31	2	-	-
(2)	4	0	17	0	-	-
Total	9	0	51	2	-	-

Notes:

[Missing: Umpires, breakdown of extras, some fall of wickets, both captains & Suffolk wicket-keeper

Sources: Durham Ledger, E.A.D.T. CWN 11 Aug (CWN)

[E.A.D.T. and C.T.P. not held at Chardale.]

CON 7, 8, 9 Aug TS 8, 9 Aug (A.J.W.)

Scoring rate for Cambs 1st wins reported as being over 100 per hour.

Club - CC, CDN & TS for missing details.

Compare C.T.P. played at CH. Return match - match at Newmarket in July drawn.

C.T.P. 11 Aug (19)

1043-32

First day 582 runs

SUFFOLK v
CAMBRIDGESHIRE
PORTMAN RD
IPSWICH
1911 AUG 7, 8

The Cambs. team had a representative side. The home eleven had first knock, and compiled 104. This total was somewhat put in the shade by the visitors, who scored the Suffolk bowling record, and they scored 228 for seven wickets before play ceased for the day. They thus stand probably good position.

Suffolk won the toss, and A. H. Watson and D. Mustard started the innings to the bowling of Penfold and Hunt. Watson hit his first bat to the boundary, and at the next he was nearly caught in the slips. Batting was slow, three out of four overs being maidens, and the fourth producing 6 runs. Watson cut Hunt prettily to leg for 3, and repeated his performance in his next over. Both batsmen were playing warily, and the first 20 runs took as many minutes to get. Watson was doing all the scoring, getting nearly all of the first 30 runs. Penfold relieved Hunt, and Tebbutt, Coulson, and Bryan continued to come slowly. Mustard putting one ball off Tebbutt nicely through the slips for 4. Forly went up after thirty-five minutes' play. Two minutes later Watson had his stumps scattered by Bryan. Out of 44 he had contributed 25, which included two 4's and four 3's. Whatman joined Mustard, but he only stayed five minutes, being easily caught in the slips by Ling off Tebbutt. The total was 49, of which the outgoing batsman had contributed 4. Lang was the new-comer, and in his first over he got Tebbutt through the slips for 2. Fifty was signalled after three-quarters of an hour's play. Lang got a 4 and a 3 off Tebbutt in one over. Mustard had a narrow escape in the long field, Hart just failing to get to a high ball in time. Coulson replaced Tebbutt, when the score stood at 60, which had been obtained in as many minutes. Rose also relieved Bryan, but the cricket was slow; Lang, however, did get two 4's off Coulson in one over. With the score at 87 Mustard's wicket was captured by Rose; he had made a patient 19 in just over an hour's play, which included two 4's and one 3. Titchmarsh joined Lang, and more merry cricket was looked for. His first contribution to the score was a 4 off Rose. He was nearly 6 and b Coulson in his second over, and at the next ball he was caught in the slips. He had made only 6, which was a disappointment to the Suffolk supporters. Ninety-six for four wickets and the chances of the home county making a fairly big score seemed remote. Blount became associated with Lang, and the pair scored at a slightly accelerated pace, the century going up after an hour and a half's play. Blount's stay lasted only ten minutes; he was stumped by the wicket-keeper when the score stood at 112. Capt. Hambro was the new-comer, and for a few minutes he and Lang gave a bright display. In fact, the last-named was playing the best cricket of the innings, and his drives were much appreciated. Bryan relieved Rose, and with his third ball he scattered Hambro's stumps. One hundred and twenty-five for 5. Phillips joined Lang, and Reid relieved Coulson. To his fourth ball Lang was lbw; his well-played 41, compiled in an hour, included five 4's and two 3's. Cobbold was c and b Reid to his first ball; the last three wickets had all fallen at the same score, viz., 125 (for eight). Penfold joined Phillips just before the luncheon interval.

Reid and Penfold were nearly a and b Reid in the first over. In the next over Phillips was lbw. One hundred and twenty-seven for nine wickets. Trudgett was the last batsman, and he and Penfold made a bit of a stand, hitting hard nearly every ball; the latter scoring three fours and a three. When he had made 24 he was bowled by Rose, and the innings closed for 154, after lasting two hours and ten minutes. The fielding of the Cambridgeshire players was very good.

Hunt and L. J. Reid started the Cambs innings to the bowling of Cobbold and Penfold. Hunt got a four out of Cobbold's first over, and two fours out of his second. Reid also got Penfold for a four, and the batsmen altogether hit freely on an easy wicket, frequently putting the ball high up, but out of the way of the fielders. Hunt had one life, Cobbold just failing to hold him in the slips. Thirty-nine in six overs was not at all bad batting, especially seeing that the batsmen appeared to be very comfortable. Fifty went up on the board after eighteen minutes' play—there had been only one maiden out of eight overs. Trudgett went on amid applause, relieving Cobbold, but his first over produced three singles. Sixty-four runs from twelve overs was quick scoring with a vengeance, and this too, with the fielders doing smart things. At length the partnership was broken, Reid being caught at mid-on by Cobbold, when the score stood at 73. His 22 was the product of hard hitting, and included five fours and one three, and took half-an-hour to make. Ling became associated with Hunt, who reached his fifty after thirty-five minutes' batting. Cobbold went on at Penfold's end, and Hunt sent his first ball for a boundary. Still runs did not come quite so fast as formerly, Ling not being so venturesome as Reid. In fact he only obtained two singles in ten minutes, but Hunt did not slacken his efforts, and the hundred went up after forty-five minutes' play. Hunt was badly missed in the long-field directly afterwards by

Penfold, who was nearly a and b Reid in the first over. In the next over Phillips was lbw. One hundred and twenty-seven for nine wickets. Trudgett was the last batsman, and he and Penfold made a bit of a stand, hitting hard nearly every ball; the latter scoring three fours and a three. When he had made 24 he was bowled by Rose, and the innings closed for 154, after lasting two hours and ten minutes. The fielding of the Cambridgeshire players was very good.

Hunt and L. J. Reid started the Cambs innings to the bowling of Cobbold and Penfold. Hunt got a four out of Cobbold's first over, and two fours out of his second. Reid also got Penfold for a four, and the batsmen altogether hit freely on an easy wicket, frequently putting the ball high up, but out of the way of the fielders. Hunt had one life, Cobbold just failing to hold him in the slips. Thirty-nine in six overs was not at all bad batting, especially seeing that the batsmen appeared to be very comfortable. Fifty went up on the board after eighteen minutes' play—there had been only one maiden out of eight overs. Trudgett went on amid applause, relieving Cobbold, but his first over produced three singles. Sixty-four runs from twelve overs was quick scoring with a vengeance, and this too, with the fielders doing smart things. At length the partnership was broken, Reid being caught at mid-on by Cobbold, when the score stood at 73. His 22 was the product of hard hitting, and included five fours and one three, and took half-an-hour to make. Ling became associated with Hunt, who reached his fifty after thirty-five minutes' batting. Cobbold went on at Penfold's end, and Hunt sent his first ball for a boundary. Still runs did not come quite so fast as formerly, Ling not being so venturesome as Reid. In fact he only obtained two singles in ten minutes, but Hunt did not slacken his efforts, and the hundred went up after forty-five minutes' play. Hunt was badly missed in the long-field directly afterwards by

SUFFOLK

A. K. Watson, b Bryan	25
D. Mustard, b Rose	19
A. D. Whatman, c Ling, b Tebbutt	4
A. H. Lang, lbw, b L. J. Reid	41
F. L. Titchmarsh, c Ling, b Coulson	6
C. Blount, st Watts, b Coulson	5
Capt. Hambro, b Bryan	4
C. J. Phillips, lbw, b Bryan	1
P. W. Cobbold (capt.), c and b L. J. Reid	0
Penfold, b Rose	24
Trudgett, not out	3
Extras	22
	154

CAMBRIDGESHIRE.

W. C. Hunt, c Blount, b Cobbold	78
L. J. Reid, c Cobbold, b Trudgett	29
Ling, c Whatman, b Penfold	52
H. C. Tebbutt, c Titchmarsh, b Cobbold	24
K. G. Reid, not out	59
F. W. Bryan, b Cobbold	4
Watts, c Trudgett, b Cobbold	0
G. A. Rose, c Blount, b Cobbold	47
H. B. Hart, not out	53
Extras	22
(7 wickets)	428

C. H. Pigg and Coulson to bat.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK—First Innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.
Coulson	15	8	29	2
W. C. Hunt	5	1	18	0
G. A. Rose	7.1	0	28	2
F. W. Bryan	10	3	20	3
K. J. Reid	4	1	20	2
H. C. Tebbutt	4	0	17	1

SUFFOLK v. CAMBS. THE VISITORS WIN.

This match was resumed on Tuesday morning at Portman Road, Ipswich, before a small number of spectators. The previous day Suffolk were all dismissed for 154, while Cambs had scored 428 for seven wickets when play closed for the day, thus being in an exceptionally good position. They were all dismissed just before noon for 473. Suffolk made an extremely good fight in their second innings, scoring 128. Cambs required 47 to win which they got for the loss of two wickets just before 5 o'clock.

Cambs resumed their innings, K. G. Reid (59) and H. B. Hart (55) facing the bowlers of Cobbold and Penfold. At the end of the first 25 minutes Cobbold bowled Hart, the score being 435. Hart had made 54, including seven 4's. C. H. Pigg (the Cambs captain) was the new comer, and by and by he batted fairly quickly, the last named doing most of the damage. His balls were scattered by Penfold when the score was 463. His really got 64 in about twelve 4's, and took two hours all but five minutes to compile. He did not give a single chance. The last batsman was Coulson, and without any alteration in the score Pigg was bowled by Cobbold, the innings, which lasted just four hours, producing a total of 473.

Suffolk commenced their second innings, A. K. Watson and A. D. Whatman meeting the bowling of Bryan and Coulson. Watson got a couple of nice boundaries off Bryan in one over, but batsmen were slow, the first 10 runs taking 15 minutes to compile. Early appeared after 33 minutes play. Rose relieved Bryan, but the batsmen continued to play steady cricket, 12 overs producing 53 runs in half an hour. K. G. Reid went on in place of Coulson, and W. C. Hunt instead of Rose, but the batsmen appeared set, making 4's in nearly every over. When Whatman had made 49 he was badly dropped in the slips by Ling. This was the only chance given so far, the score being 23 and 100 in 50 minutes. The first hour's batting produced 110. Tebbutt relieved Rose, but the changes had no effect, runs being made off nearly every ball. One hundred and fifty was signalled after an hour and three quarters' play. With the score at 171 Whatman was bowled by Rose, having made a splendid 77 in an hour and a half; it included ten 4's. Mustard joined Watson, and the luncheon interval was taken with the score at 181.

Recurring Watson and Mustard played steady cricket, but the latter had a narrow escape of being run out. Two hundred appeared after an hour and 50 minutes' play, and Suffolk's supporters naturally gave an appreciative clap at this improvement in their side's position. Cambs changed their bowlers, but the batsmen had got their measure. Mustard especially hitting freely, he made nine off Coulson in one over. At one stroke of which he came 2, his bat flew out of his hand yards, Watson and an applause completed his century with a three-off Reid, in two hours and five minutes. Mustard made his 50 after 40 minutes' batting, he was scoring faster than Watson. The wicket kicked up a bit, while the fielding left something to be desired. The fielders were placed out in the country, and this had the effect of keeping the boundaries down. Watson had several times come near hitting his wicket, and he at length did so, much to the chagrin of the spectators. He had compiled 128, which included 13 4's in two hours and 35 minutes. 282 for two was decidedly good. Ling joined Mustard, and the pair batted freely, 300 being signalled after the innings had lasted two hours and 40 minutes. Mustard's wicket (the third) fell at 306, he being lbw to Tebbutt. His 69 took an hour and 20 minutes, and included seven 4's. P. W. Cobbold partnered Ling, and the Mayor of Ipswich got a 4 in his first over from Tebbutt. In the next over Ling was clean bowled by Rose. He had made 12 in a quarter of an hour. Cobbold was dismissed for 4, the last two wickets falling at 315. Titchmarsh and Penfold became associated, and the latter was badly missed by Bryan at cover.

His score of 45 was equalled by Rose, who had made 6. C. Blount joined Titchmarsh, and the former had a life given him when the score was 340, being dropped by Pigg at mid-on. He was bowled in the same over by Rose, having made 13. Titchmarsh was c and b Coulson, having made 13, and the score being 353 for 8. C. J. Phillips joined Capt. Hambro, and they carried the score to 365 when the last named was bowled by Rose, having made 7. Trudgett lost his wicket at the first ball. Suffolk were thus out for 365, the innings having taken three hours and 55 minutes.

Cambs required 27 runs to win, but Hunt and Rose started the bowling of Cobbold and Penfold. Reid was the next batsman, and he was c and b Cobbold when he had made 7, the score standing at 27. Ling and Hunt quickly made the required balance of runs, and Cambs thus won by eight wickets.

Table with 2 columns: Player Name and Score. Includes Suffolk and Cambs batting lineups and totals.

SUFFOLK v CAMBRIDGESHIRE at PORTMAN RD, IPSWICH on 1911 AUG 7, 8

Table titled 'CAMBRIDGESHIRE' showing batting scores for players like W. C. Hunt, L. J. Reid, and others.

Table titled 'BOWLING ANALYSIS. SUFFOLK-First Innings' showing bowling figures for Coulson, W. C. Hunt, G. A. Rose, etc.

Table titled 'CAMBS-First Innings' showing bowling figures for P. W. Cobbold, Penfold, Trudgett, etc.

Table titled 'SUFFOLK-Second Innings' showing bowling figures for Coulson, Bryan, Rose, Tebbutt, etc.

Table titled 'CAMBS-Second Innings' showing bowling figures for Cobbold and Penfold.

CRICKET.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.
Cams. v. Suffolk.

CAMBS. WIN BY EIGHT WICKETS.

A good holiday crowd assembled at Ipswich on Monday for the return fixture between Suffolk and Cambs., and even if the run of the game did not go quite to their liking, they had full value for their money, for they saw a really blue day's cricket. True, Ireland, who had been the mainstay of the home county this year, was absent, but in his place they had P. W. Cobbold. However, although Cambs. lost the toss, they soon obtained a strong hold of the game, which they never relaxed. By dint of good round bowling and equally good fielding, Cambs. trounced Suffolk out for 151 soon after lunch, and then spent the remainder of the day thrashing the home side's bowling, scoring at the rate of over 100 an hour, and at the drawing of stumps had only 7 wickets down for 428. Hunt and Reid started the severe punishment of the bowling, and it was kept up by the remainder of the team. The bowling was practically assigned to Cobbold, Penfold and Trudgett, but in this Cobbold made a mistake, as with so many runs being scored all the team might perhaps have been given a turn. Then, again, the fielding of the home side was slack.

Cambs. made a number of changes in the team. B. W. Pigg was not well enough to play and may not reappear in the side for some time. F. L. Gulliver, F. W. Wilson and C. Sheldrick were also absent, C. Pigg and W. C. Hunt re-appearing, whilst K. G. Reid and H. B. Hart were included for the first time this season. K. G. Reid has played several times of recent years, but was not able to play at all last season owing to ill-health. Suffolk were not at full strength, J. F. Ireland, Caxo, Wilson and H. L. Wilson being absent, but P. W. Cobbold the old Blue, who is Mayor of Ipswich this year, was leading the side.

Although Cambs. were in such a favourable position when this game at Ipswich was resumed on Tuesday morning, and seemed to have the match well in hand, a great change came over the game, and for some considerable time there were doubts if Cambs. would, after all, gain the coveted five points. The remaining three Cambs. wickets added 43 runs, making the total 471, which left Suffolk with 219 to get to save the innings defeat—a total which, judging by their display in the first innings, they did not seem at all capable of getting. Then came the surprise. The Cambs. bowling was very indifferent, and the fielding tone of their strong points this year was slack. Watson and Whatman played the hitting game, and put on 171 for the first wicket, whilst the next wicket carried the score to 288. It was then about three o'clock, and it looked very much as if Suffolk would make a big score and not leave Cambs. sufficient time to get the run. Then yet another change came over the game. The fielding improved with the bowling. Rose recovered himself, and sending down some of his "extra specials," the last eight wickets only required 77 runs, and Cambs. were thus left with but 47 runs to get to win, and these they got for the loss of only two wickets, and gained another victory by eight wickets.

SUFFOLK.—1st innings.

A. Watson b Bryan	25
D. Mustard b Rose	19
A. Whatman c Ling b Tobbutt	4
A. H. Lang b K. Reid	41
P. L. Titchmarsh c Ling b Cobbold	6
C. Blount c Watte b Coulson	5
Capt. Hambro b Bryan	4
C. J. Phillips lbw b Bryan	1
P. W. Cobbold c and b K. Reid	24
Penfold b Rose	3
Trudgett not out	22
Extras	22
Total	151

CAMBS.—1st innings.

W. C. Hunt c Blount b Cobbold	75
L. J. Reid c Cobbold b Trudgett	29
Ling c Whatman b Penfold	52
H. C. Tobbutt c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84
K. G. Reid b Penfold	84
P. W. Bryan b Cobbold	4
Watte c Trudgett b Cobbold	0
G. A. Rose c Blount b Cobbold	47
H. B. Hart b Cobbold	56
C. Pigg b Cobbold	16
Coulson not out	0
Extras	23
Total	473

SUFFOLK.—2nd innings.

A. Watson hit wkt b Tobbutt	128
A. Whatman b Rose	27
D. Mustard lbw b Tobbutt	60
A. H. Lang b Rose	12
P. W. Cobbold c Watte b Tobbutt	4
P. L. Titchmarsh c and b Coulson	19
Penfold c and b Rose	7
C. Blount b Rose	7
Capt. Hambro b Rose	7
C. J. Phillips not out	3
Trudgett b Rose	34
Extras	34
Total	363

CAMBS.—2nd innings.

W. C. Hunt not out	16
G. A. Rose c Phillips b Cobbold	15
L. J. Reid c and b Cobbold	7
Ling not out	12
Extras	3
Total (2 wks)...	51

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK.—1st innings.

	O.	M.	R.	W.	O.	X.	R.	W.	
Coulson	15	8	29	2	F. W. Bryan	10	3	20	3
W. C. Hunt	5	1	1	0	K. G. Reid	4	1	20	2
G. A. Rose	7	1	0	2	H. C. Tobbutt	4	0	17	1

CAMBS.—1st innings.

Cobbold	37	1	157	7	D. Mustard	3	0	37	0
Penfold	31	6	134	2	Titchmarsh	3	0	33	0
Trudgett	18	0	91	1					

SUFFOLK.—2nd innings.

Coulson	20	6	89	1	Tobbutt	11	0	61	3
Bryan	8	0	31	0	K. G. Reid	9	0	54	0
G. A. Rose	18	4	91	6	W. C. Hunt	7	1	29	0

Tobbutt bowled 2 no balls.

CAMBS.—2nd innings.

Cobbold	6	0	31	2	Penfold	4	0	17	0
---------	---	---	----	---	---------	---	---	----	---

CRICKET.

MINOR COUNTIES' CHAMPIONSHIP.
Cambs. v. Suffolk.

ANOTHER VICTORY FOR CAMBS.

A good holiday crowd assembled at Ipswich on Monday for the return fixture between Suffolk and Cambs., and even if the run of the game did not go quite to their liking, they had full value for their money, for they saw a really fine day's cricket. True, Ireland, who had been the mainstay of the home county this year, was absent, but in his place they had P. W. Cobbold. However, although Cambs. lost the toss, they soon obtained a strong hold of the game, which they never relaxed. By dint of good all-round bowling and equally good fielding, Cambs. trundled Suffolk out for 154 soon after lunch, and then spent the remainder of the day thrashing the home side's bowling, scoring at the rate of over 100 an hour, and at the drawing of stumps had only 7 wickets down for 423. Hunt and Reid started the severe punishment of the bowling, and it was kept up by the remainder of the team. The bowling was practically confined to Cobbold, Penfold and Trudgett, but in this Cobbold made a mistake, as with so many runs being scored all the team might perhaps have been given a turn. Then again, the fielding of the home side was slack.

Although Cambs. were in such a favourable position when the game was resumed on Wednesday morning, and certainly seemed to have the match well in hand, a great change came over the game, and for some considerable time there were doubts if Cambs. would, after all, gain the coveted five points. The remaining three Cambs. wickets added 45 runs, making the total 473, which left Suffolk with 210 to get to save the innings defeat—a total which, judging by their display in the first innings, and the fact that the pitch was in a bad condition, they did not seem at all capable of getting. Then came the surprise. The Cambs. bowling was very indifferent, and the fielding (one of their strong points this year) was slack. Watson and Whatman played the hitting game, and put on 171 for the first wicket, whilst the next wicket carried the score to 288. It was then about three o'clock, and it looked very much as if Suffolk would make a big score and not leave Cambs. sufficient time to get the runs. Then yet another change came over the game. The fielding improved with the bowling. Rose recovered himself, and sending down some of his "extra specials," the last eight wickets only realised 77 runs, and Cambs. were thus left with but 41 runs to get to win, and these they got for the loss of only two wickets, and gained another victory by eight wickets.

Cambs. made a number of changes in the team. B. W. Pigg was not well enough to play and may not reappear in the side for some time. F. E. Collier, F. W. Wilson and C. Sheldrick were also absent, whilst K. G. Reid and W. C. Hunt reappearing, whilst K. G. Reid and H. B. Hart were included for the first time this season. K. G. Reid has played several times of recent years, but was not able to play at all last season owing to ill-health. Suffolk were not at full strength, J. F. Ireland, Capt. Wilson and H. L. Wilson being absent, but P. W. Cobbold the old Blue, who is Mayor of Ipswich this year, was leading the side.

SUFFOLK.—1st innings.

A. Watson b Bryan	25
D. Mustard b Rose	19
A. Whatman c Ling b Tebbutt	4
A. H. Lang lbw b K. Reid	41
E. L. Titchmarsh c Ling b Coulson	6
C. Blount st Watts b Coulson	5
Capt. Hambro b Bryan	4
C. J. Phillips lbw b Bryan	1
P. W. Cobbold c and b K. Reid	0
Penfold b Rose	24
Trudgett not out	3
Extras	22
Total	154

CAMBS.—1st innings.

W. C. Hunt c Blount b Cobbold	75
L. J. Reid c Cobbold b Trudgett	23
Ling c Whatman b Penfold	52
H. C. Tebbutt c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84
K. G. Reid b Penfold	84
F. W. Bryan b Cobbold	4
Watts c Trudgett b Cobbold	0
G. A. Rose c Blount b Cobbold	47
H. B. Hart b Cobbold	56
C. Pigg b Cobbold	16
Coulson not out	0
Extras	23
Total	473

SUFFOLK.—2nd innings.

A. Watson hit wkt b Tebbutt	128
A. Whatman b Rose	77
D. Mustard lbw b Tebbutt	69
A. H. Lang b Rose	12
P. W. Cobbold st Watts b Tebbutt	4
F. L. Titchmarsh c and b Coulson	19
Penfold c and b Rose	5
C. Blount b Rose	7
Capt. Hambro b Rose	7
C. J. Phillips not out	3
Trudgett b Rose	0
Extras	34
Total	365

CAMBS.—2nd innings.

W. C. Hunt not out	16
G. A. Rose c Phillips b Cobbold	13
L. J. Reid c and b Cobbold	7
Ling not out	12
Extras	3
Total (2wks.)	51

The county cricket team have this season so far greatly improved on their performances of late years, and the two fine victories over Norfolk and Suffolk, and the points obtained from Hertfordshire by virtue of leading on the first innings, have lifted them to a position in the Minor Counties' Championship table that very few expected, especially after the indifferent way they commenced the season. However, their lucky star is at last in the ascendant. Last season one could do nothing but bemoan the ill-luck that pursued them from beginning to end. At the beginning of this year it seemed as if a similar state of things was to prevail, but a change for the better gradually came over the eleven, which should do much to revive our faith in them. In one way these wins should do the team a lot of good, that is by making for an increased attendance at the home matches. Last year when Cambs. were playing on Fenner's it was pitiable to see the meagre number of spectators, but this week in the match versus Norfolk I expect to hear that the gate receipts have taken a turn for the better.

The batting strength of the team is decidedly above the average this year. L. J. Reid, F. E. Collier, Ling, and H. C. Tebbutt have got back to their form, and this department has been strengthened by the capture—in the nick of time—of F. W. Wilson. Wilson, who hails from Wisbech, is well known in the footballing world, and is also qualified for Norfolk, who were after him. He made a successful debut against the latter last week, scoring 24 and 26. It is in the bowling department that the team is perhaps weak, and this is aggravated by the loss—it may unfortunately be for some time—of B. W. Pigg, who strained himself at King's Lynn. G. A. Rose is having some difficulty in getting away from business, which further weakens the side.

Cambs. are to be heartily congratulated on the way they overcame Norfolk at the first time of asking, and on the severe drubbing they gave Suffolk in the return. They were perhaps a little fortunate in catching Norfolk at a time when the latter were minus several good men, despite which Norfolk led on the first innings. L. J. Reid topped the scores of the visiting side with 37, and seemed to be the only one who could face the bowling. F. W. Wilson's first appearance realised 24, and both these players went one better in the second venture, Reid getting 58 and Wilson 25. Tebbutt increased his 3 to 61, and Bryan added 30.

The two meetings of Suffolk and Cambs. have been productive of some large totals, and on the second occasion Cambs. had decidedly the best of it. This is the county's finest performance for many a long day. Good fielding and bowling brought about the dismissal of Suffolk very cheaply, and they would doubtless have been glad of the assistance of J. F. Ireland, who was absent. Then Cambs. went in and got runs rapidly, and the scores in both innings make pleasant reading for the team's supporters. On the first day both Tebbutt and K. G. Reid were within reasonable distance of centuries. Hunt was not very far behind, and Ling and Hart were over the half-century line. Suffolk put together a very much better score the second time, and the first two wickets gave Cambs. something of a fright, A. Watson making the best score with 128, but Cambs. won easily with only two wickets down.

BOWLING ANALYSIS.

SUFFOLK.—1st innings.

S. M. A. W.		O. M. H. W.	
Coulson	15 8 29 2	F. W. Bryan	10 3 20 3
W. C. Hunt	5 1 18 0	K. G. Reid	4 1 20 2
G. A. Rose	7 1 0 29 2	H. C. Tebbutt	4 0 17 1

CAMBS.—1st innings.

Cobbold	37 18 155 7	D. Mustard	3 0 37 0
Penfold	31 6 134 3	F. L. Titchmarsh	3 0 35 0
Trudgett	18 0 91 1		

SUFFOLK.—2nd innings.

Coulson	20 6 58 1	Tebbutt	11 0 61 3
Bryan	8 0 34 0	K. G. Reid	9 0 64 0
G. A. Rose	18 4 2 91 6	W. C. Hunt	7 1 29 0

Tebbutt bowled 2 no balls.

CAMBS.—2nd innings.

Cobbold	5 0 31 2	Penfold	4 0 17 0
---------	----------	---------	----------

KENT v. HAMPSHIRE.

TWO SEPARATE 100'S BY FRY.

Played at Canterbury on August 7, 8 and 9 and left drawn. For the fifth time in his career Fry made two separate hundreds in a match, but in neither innings was he seen at his best. He gave no actual chance in his first display, but in his second (which lasted four hours) was missed when 59 and 81 and once later. In his first innings he made 65 with Bowell and 116 with Brown, and in his second caused considerable discussion by an action whilst Blythe was bowling. (See Gossip.) Woolley's innings, though marked by chances when 20 and 104, was a brilliant display which contained a 5 and seventeen 4's. He made 76 with Humphreys (Woolley claiming 55 of the number) and 60 with Dillon, who was responsible for a skilful 78. Sprot hit freely when Hampshire were batting the second time, and made his 61 out of 89 in 54 minutes, and the side's last 158 runs were obtained in an hour and a-half. There was no likelihood of Kent making the necessary 335 in 160 minutes, and they did well to score 223 for three wickets. Score and analysis:—

Table showing scores for Kent and Hampshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Kent and Hampshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Kent and Hampshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

ANSWERS TO CORRESPONDENTS.

“ZUMMERZET.”—(1.) Business in the first case. We have not heard about the second. (2.) Westminster and Dulwich. (3.) No: a different player. (4.) No such suggestion has yet been made, except by a few writers. The matter will probably be discussed during the non-cricket season. (5.) 521 on first day and 604 on second. (6.) Your letter has been forwarded, as suggested.
“BRAS D'OR.”—Many thanks. Your wish will probably be gratified.
R. MEEH.—Write to Hawkins, of Brighton, after August 23rd.
“A READER OF Cricket.”—We cannot open our columns for the purpose you suggest.
B. HENDEN.—We are not. The number containing the “Chat” with him is out of print.
“RUEBY.”—(a.) Davies' score for Devon was 45, not 5. (b.) Cannot account for the discrepancy. (c.) We know of no source from which information on the subject is available. We have made many enquiries.

DERBYSHIRE v. ESSEX.

Played at Derby on August 7, 8 and 9 and won by Essex by seven wickets. The cricket seen in this match was of a very dull description and calls for no detailed remarks. Score and analysis:—

Table showing scores for Derbyshire and Essex in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Derbyshire and Essex in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Derbyshire and Essex in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Derbyshire and Essex in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

SUFFOLK v. CAMBRIDGESHIRE.—Played at Ipswich on August 7 and 8 and won by Cambridgeshire by eight wickets. Score and analysis:—

Table showing scores for Suffolk and Cambridgeshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Suffolk and Cambridgeshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

Table showing scores for Suffolk and Cambridgeshire in the first and second innings. Includes player names and runs scored.

MATCH NUMBER 64: SUFFOLK V CAMBRIDGESHIRE - NORTH AND EAST DIVISION
 At The Ipswich and East Suffolk Cricket Club Ground, Portman Road, Ipswich on Monday 7, Tuesday 8 August 1911
 Result: Cambridgeshire won by 8 wickets Points: Cambridgeshire 5 Toss: Suffolk
 Umpires: Not known Debutants: Suffolk 3 (H E Hambro, C J Phillips, A D Whatman); Cambridgeshire none
 First day score: Suffolk 154, Cambridgeshire 428-7 wickets (K G Reid 59*, H B Hart 53*)

Suffolk										
A K Watson		b Bryan	25	(1)	hit wicket b Tebbutt					128
D Mustard	4?	b Rose	19	(3)	lbw b Tebbutt					69
A D Whatman	2?	c Ling b Tebbutt	4	(2)	b Rose					77
+ A H Lang	8?	lbw b K G Reid	41	(4)	b Rose					12
F L Titchmarsh	8?	c Ling b Coulson	6	(6)	c and b Coulson					19
C H B Blount		st Watts b coulson	5	(8)	b Rose					7
Capt H E Hambro		b Bryan	4	(9)	b Rose					7
C J Phillips		lbw b Bryan	1	(10)	not out					3
* P W Cobbold		c and b K G Reid	0	(5)	st Watts b Tebbutt					4
Penfold, W		b Rose	24	(7)	c and b Rose					5
Trudgett, F		not out	3	(11)	b Rose					0
Extras			22		nb 2, R					34
Total			154							365

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	44	49	87	96	112	125	125	125	127	154
Second innings	171	288?	306	315	315			353	365	365

	15	8	29	2	-	-	(1)	20	6	58	1	-	-
Coulson	15	8	29	2	-	-	(1)	20	6	58	1	-	-
Hunt	5	1	18	0	-	-	(6)	7	1	29	0	-	-
Rose	7.1	0	28	2	-	-	(3)	18.4	2	91	6	-	-
Bryan	10	3	20	3	-	-	(2)	8	0	34	0	-	-
K G Reid	4	1	20	2	-	-	(5)	9	0	58	0	-	-
Tebbutt	4	0	17	1	-	-	(4)	11	0	61	3	-	2
Extras	-	-	22	0	-	-		-	-	34	0	-	-
Total	45.1	13	154	10	-	-		73.4	9	365	10	0	2

Cambridgeshire										
W C Hunt		c Blount b Cobbold	78?	(1)	not out					16
L J Reid		c Cobbold b Trudgett	29	(3)	c and b Cobbold					7
Ling, A S		c Whatman b Penfold	52	(4)	not out					12
H C Tebbutt		c Titchmarsh b Cobbold	84							
K G Reid		b Penfold	84							
F W Bryan		b Cobbold	4							
+ Watts, G H		c Trudgett b Cobbold	0							
G A Rose		c Blount b Cobbold	47	(2)	c Phillips b Cobbold					13
H B Hart		b Cobbold	56							
* C Pigg		b Cobbold	16							
Coulson, H		not out	0							
Extras			23							3
Total			473							51

Fall of wickets	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
First innings	73	110	235	250	254	254	310	435		473
Second innings	15	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

	37.1	8	155	7	-	-	(1)	5	0	31	2	-	-
Cobbold	37.1	8	155	7	-	-	(1)	5	0	31	2	-	-
Penfold	31	6	134	2	-	-	(2)	4	0	17	0	-	-
Trudgett	18	0	91	1	-	-							
Mustard	3	0	37	0	-	-							
Titchmarsh	3	0	33	0	-	-							
Extras	-	-	23	0	-	-		-	-	3	0	-	-
Total	92.1	14	473	10	-	-		9	0	51	2	-	-

Notes: Cambridgeshire won this return match by 8 wickets at 2 pm on the second day. The match between the two sides at Newmarket in July had been drawn. For the winners, there were 12 fours in K G Reid's 84, 12 fours in Tebbutt's 84, 14 fours in Hunt's 78, 7 fours in Hart's 56, and 6 fours in Ling's 52. Rose took 8 wickets in the match for 119 runs. The scoring rate for the Cambridgeshire first innings was reported as being over a hundred an hour. For the losers, there were 18 fours, 3 threes and 2 twos in Watson's 128, an innings of 2 hours and 35 minutes. Whatman's 77 included 10 fours and Mustard's 69 contained 7 fours. Cobbold took 9 wickets in the match for 186 runs, including 7 for 155 in the Cambridgeshire first innings. On the first day 582 runs were scored for the loss of 17 wickets, a new record for the Championship. The match aggregate was 1,043 runs.

[Missing: Umpires, extras breakdown; confirm C Pigg played for Cambridgeshire in this match, not C H Pigg; in Suffolk first innings check batting order, which Reid bowled - CDN/CIP/CWN give K G while Suffolk sources have L J; and Cricket fails to give initials; in Suffolk second innings twos and threes in Watson's 128, confirm fall of second wicket at 288 not 282, fall of sixth and seventh wickets, and check if Tebbutt bowled 2 no ball as in CDN/CIP/TS or 3as in Suffolk sources; in Cambridgeshire first innings confirm Hunt scored 78 not 75; fall of ninth wicket, and check fall of seventh and eighth wickets as CDN refers in account to 124 partnership for the eighth wicket; time completed.]

Sources: East Anglian Daily Times 8,9 August; Durham Suffolk Ledger (Golin Munford); Cambridge Independent Press 11 August (Tony Percival); Cambridgeshire Weekly News 11 August; The Sportsman 8,9 August; Cricket 12 August, p 430 (Tony Webb). [East Anglian Daily Times and Cambridge Independent Press not held at Colindale.]

Status: Revised draft match score - to be sent to the two County Statisticians for additions, comment and agreement.

1) In the Cambridgeshire first innings Ling and Tebbutt added 125 runs for the third wicket, K G Reid and Rose 56 runs for the seventh wicket and K G Reid and Hart 125 runs for the eighth wicket.
 2) In the Suffolk second innings Watson and Whatman added 171 for the first wicket and Watson and Penfold 111 runs for the second wicket.

